

Alfred Rader Fonds

Chemistry and Art
More Adventures of a Chemist Collector

Hofstra

QUEEN'S UNIVERSITY ARCHIVES	
LOCATOR	5095.5
BOX	9
FILE	66 (10F3)

H OFSTRA



4-17-86-15

Emily Lowe Gallery, Hopstru, Hampstead NY

1988 "Landscape Work: Seventeenth Century Dutch Art"

7 paintings, very best my collection

5-26-88 Gail Guburd, Director, informed of Soga damage, req. written authority

6-2-88 GG called Marilyn - also slight scratch to varnish of Verhout

6-2-88 Gail to GG authorizing minor varnish repairs

Damage discovered UV by Munch

11-4-97 Reg. Conservator's report

11-11 Mary Trakford sent - first time seen!

11-17 To my conservator

1-14 Notice of claim Mary Trakford @ 17

2-24 Ltr. from our attorney

3-3 Christman letter "we find no merit in your claim"

3-30 Shuarr, Pres. - no response

3-30 Note to Christman

6-16 Offered \$300 7-16 Jrg Kubin letter

7-17 Reg. corrup. covering Verhout from Christman (A)

8-10 Sent Christman essay to ck. for accuracy

8-12 Verhout 200,000 less 20-30% - not suing to IK

8-30 1150 bill from Munch

9-9 Donna Darnu


9-15 Replied w/essay

9-30 Darnu gave Guburd address

10-6 Sent essay to Guburd

11-13 Guburd "Hopstru problem" 2/15

12-9 Christman offered \$1150 to settle amicably




12-10 Gebard f-u. Will continue to mail away to art comm
Take to court if you like

12-29 No response from GG - sent another copy of 12/10

2-12 Ltr. to Christman? accepting offer

3-11 Darnes. Will accept offer - if GG agrees

3-24 ~~Requested~~ ^{Received} CK from U. - David Ch.

4-9 Munch's 1150 included add'l work
Refunded \$  to Hofstra

4-20 Ltr. from Christman

was prepared for this volume to read through our own
the volume of the

the volume of the
the volume of the
the volume of the
the volume of the

the volume of the
the volume of the

the volume of the



Dr. Alfred Bader
924 East Juneau, Suite 622
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202
Phone: 414/277-0730
Fax: 414/277-0709

A Chemist Helping Chemists
October 23, 1998

Professor Donna R. Barnes, Ed.D.
16 Sterling Place
Brooklyn, NY 11217

Dear Dr. Barnes,

Isabel and I have thought a good deal and discussed our long telephone conversation with you.

Of course with hindsight we now wish that you had been involved shortly after the scratch to the Verhout was made, or at least when I wrote to your Museum a few months ago. Had you been involved, our argument would have been settled very quickly.

I must tell you that until recently I knew nothing whatever about Hofstra University except for your having that one exhibition which was curated by you.

Since then, however, I have learned more.

Last Sunday's New York Times showed, for instance, that Mr. James M. Shuart, your President, is the country's 7th highest paid University president with a salary of \$438,554. Salary and morality do not have to go hand in hand. I can tell you that I was the CEO of a company with several thousand employees and many hundreds of millions of dollars in sales and if I had received a letter such as I sent to Mr. Shuart, I would have answered immediately.

Egbert Haverkamp-Begemann wrote to me recently, after receiving the horror story, that "the institution for me is an endearing example of good will, public spirit and expression of gratefulness toward this country on the part of people who were so well received here and for whom this country meant so



Dr. Donna R. Barnes
October 23, 1998
Page Two

much. The Hofstras were great responsible benefactors and their founding and financing of the college were admirable acts of foresighted and constructive contributions." I presume that the Hofstras were Friesians and truly good people.

I was astounded when you told us that David Christman is both the Director of the Hofstra Museum and a Dean of the University and – most surprisingly – a good human being.

I am certain that the University's lawyer, Emil V. Cianciulli was mistaken in alleging that the statute of limitations for my claim had expired. But that is a matter of law that one cannot expect Mr. Christman to be able to judge. But how he could then write "further, we find no merit in your claim" is beyond my understanding.

Now clearly he is hiding behind your skirts: Not once has he had the simple courtesy of writing to me or calling me to say yes, you do have a good claim and we are sorry.


Stonewalling is so common in American life. Dr. Gelburd also has not replied.

Thank you for the time you spent with us, writing, and talking with us. As you know, I have decided not to file a lawsuit, but I want to make certain that other lenders to the Hofstra Museum will be cautioned.

Isabel joins me in sending best regards.

Yours sincerely,

AB/az



Dr. Alfred Bader
2961 North Shepard Avenue
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53211

(414) 962-5169

February 16, 2004

Donna R. Barnes, Ed.D.
16 Sterling Place
Brooklyn, NY 11217

Dear Donna,

I enjoyed talking to you the other day.

Enclosed please find a rough draft for a chapter in my next book, which will be entitled *More Adventures of a Chemist Collector*. Work on that is proceeding slowly and I will have to live a few more years to see it published.

You will see that there are really only two villains in that affair. Namely, Hofstra's lawyer and Dr. Gelburd.


I also enclose the description of that beautiful winter landscape in our 1985 *Aldrichimica Acta*.

Wolf Stechow was a wonderful wordsmith and also my very good friend. You could not find a better description of such winter landscapes.

With best wishes I remain

Yours sincerely,

Alfred Bader
AB/az
Enc. - 2



from the desk of **Donna R. Barnes, Ed.D.**
16 Sterling Place
Brooklyn, New York 11217

30 September 1998

Dr. Alfred Bader
2961 N. Shepard Avenue
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53211-3435

Dear Dr. Bader:

Thank you for having sent me your autobiography. Glancing through it makes it abundantly clear why you hold your University in such deep affection, and why you intend to bequeath many of your art works to Queen's University.

Your letter of 23 September asked for my telephone number, which I will gladly give you. You can reach me at home (718) 857-9542. I also have a FAX machine, should you wish to communicate more quickly than the postal service seems capable. The FAX is (718) 857-4567.

Dr. Bader, I know that David Christman is eager to put aright the situation with respect to your Verhout painting. He has told me that Hofstra would be quite willing to pay for the further conservation work you had done to that painting by Charles Munch and Jane Furchgott.

Is there some way we can come to an understanding and amicable resolution? The spectre of lawyers and law suits seems unnecessarily caution-inducing. I suspect that David Christman's response to you of 3 March was based on the informal advice of the University's counsel, since I believe you had mentioned discussing the matter with your attorney. I only wish that we three had had a conference telephone conversation that might have settled the matter earlier.

Would you be kind enough to telephone me at home? Perhaps there is still a way that we can come to a harmonious and honorable resolution. I certainly am eager to do so.

You also asked for Gail Gelburd's personal address. I have had no contact with her since she left Hofstra, but checked with the Hofstra Museum. Their records (as of 1997) indicate that she is the Executive Director, Council for Creative Projects. Two mailing addresses were listed. Those are:



Council for Creative Projects
154 West Park Street
Lee, Massachusetts 01238
telephone: (413) 243 - 8030
FAX: (413) 243 - 8031

Two Pennsylvania Plaza
Suite 1500
New York, New York 10121
telephone: (212) 490 - 7501

I look forward to hearing from you soon, Dr. Bader. In the meantime, I hope that you will convey my warm personal regards to your wife, Isabel. I continue to cherish her hospitality when we met so many years ago.

With warm regards,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Donald Bader".

cc: David Christman



Dr. Alfred Bader
2961 North Shepard Avenue
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53211

February 12, 1999

Mr. David C. Christman, Director
Hofstra Museum
112 Hofstra University
Hempstead, NY 11549

Dear Mr. Christman,


Thank you for your letter of December 9th which arrived here while I was in England.

Unfortunately, the Museum's conservator used a fair amount of gesso which showed up so badly under ultraviolet. That had to be removed very painstakingly by my conservator. The scratch is still there but not as glaring. I just wish that Professor Barnes had known about this in 1988. She would have given me all the facts and there would not have been such a hassle.

I enclose a copy of Dr. Gelburd's letter to me, clearly also guided by her attorney. I have replied to her twice, and she has not yet replied to me.

I will accept your offer to reimburse me for the additional conservation and unless Dr. Gelburd decides to take the matter to court by claiming libel, that will conclude the matter.


However, should she sue me for libel, I will of course counterclaim for full damages and reserve my right to do so against her and the Museum, crediting of course the amount you now pay me.



I have re-written the essay and enclose a copy.

With best regards, I remain


Yours sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Alfred Bader".

Alfred Bader

Enc.

C: Prof. Donna Barnes




Charles Munch & Jane Furchgott
A·R·T R·E·S·T·O·R·E·R·S
510093A Bear Valley Rd. Lone Rock WI 53556
608 583·2431

August 30, 1998

~~Alfred Bader Fine Arts
Astor Hotel Suite 622
924 East Juneau Ave.
Milwaukee WI 53202~~

*All personal -
not ABFA*

Adam Pynacker, <u>Cow & Donkey in a Landscape</u> , oil on wood panel, 13 3/8" x 15 3/4" (without added strips): picking off huge amounts of lint and some flyspots, and revarnishing	\$225.
Rembrandt, <u>Bearded Old Man with Cap</u> , oil on wood panel, 9 1/2" x 8": cleaning, retouching, and varnishing	500.
Constantin Verhout, <u>The Brewer Cornelis Graswinckel</u> , oil on wood panel, 13 1/2" x 11": UV photography, cleaning, filling, retouching, and varnishing	<u>1150.</u>
	\$1875.



Revised, Feb. 1999


How Not To Handle an Accident In A Museum

In 1988 The Hofstra Museum of Hofstra University mounted an exhibition entitled "People at Work: Seventeenth Century Dutch Art" which included 26 oil paintings, seven of which were borrowed from my collection.

On May 26, 1988, the Museum's Director, Dr. Gail Gelburd, wrote a long letter describing in detail a very slight problem with the varnish of one painting, the Alchemist by Cornelis Bega. Nothing in that letter was said about damage to any other painting.

On June 2, Dr. Gelburd telephoned, again mentioning the problem with the Bega varnish and adding that there was also a slight scratch, only to the varnish, of another painting, the portrait of a brewer by Constantijn Verhout, described in the Hofstra catalog entry enclosed. On that same day my secretary confirmed by fax that the Museum's conservator could proceed with the repair of the Bega varnish and the "slight scratch, only to the varnish of the Verhout".

The undated conservator's report and recommendation for treatment must have been available to the Museum in June 1988, for the invoice for the completed work is dated June 24, 1988: Had I seen the recommendation "... The deeper part of the scratch as needed should be inpainted and varnished locally and where it might be necessary, filled with gesso putty ...", I would have known that this was not a scratch to the varnish only.




In October 1997, my conservator, Charles Munch, examined some newly acquired paintings under ultraviolet light. Wanting to show him one painting in really good condition, I took down the Verhout and was shocked to see a deep scratch right across the brewer's face (photo under ultraviolet light enclosed). This was not visible without ultraviolet light.

I wrote to the Museum to ask for details of the Verhout restoration and I was promptly sent the conservator's report and invoice, enclosed. This was the first time I had seen either. Had I been shown that, I would have requested that my own conservator repair this damage and that the insurance company compensate me for the repair and for the obvious loss in value. I wrote to the Museum's Director, now David C. Christman, pointing out that I had not before been sent or even advised of the existence of the conservator's report nor the invoice, both of which detailed the extent of restoration required. Clearly, damage to the face of the brewer has considerably devalued the painting.

Mr. Christman replied curtly that the statute of limitations on my claim has expired and, "we find no merit in your claim." That I found the most galling. Also, surprisingly, I have had no reply from Dr. James Shuart, the President of Hofstra University, to whom I sent the entire correspondence last March.


Now I wish that the guest curator of the exhibition, Professor Donna Barnes, had been involved in this from the very beginning. She did not learn of the damage until September 1998, when I sent her the details. She was very taken aback and spoke to Mr. Christman who has since sent to me a more considerate response,



explaining that his first response ("we find no merit in your claim") was shaped by the University's lawyer. Mr. Christman also offered to pay for my conservator's restoration which has much improved the scratch.

My attorney advised me that the statute of limitations does not expire when a Museum fails to disclose the extent of damage, as is the case here. Nevertheless, I would rather not sue the Museum, but I think it is important that other museums, collectors, and art historians know how the Hofstra Museum has handled this matter.

If Professor Barnes had known of this in 1988, none of this would have happened. She would have told me of the deep scratch, my conservators would have restored it very differently and no lawyer would have given wrong advice.





DR. ALFRED BADER CBE
2A Holmesdale Road
Bexhill-on-Sea
East Sussex TN39 3QE
England
Phone/Fax: 01424-222223

December 10, 1998

Dr. Gail Gelburd
Executive Director
Council for Creative Projects

Dear Dr. Gelburd:


Your most remarkable letter dated November 13 reached me in England on December 7th, Pearl Harbor Day – remarkable for what it omits. You are writing about a conservator whose report you say was available ten years ago. It was available to you, but its very existence was not revealed to me. You fail to mention the serious discrepancies between your description to me of the damage as “a slight scratch only to the varnish of the Verhout” and your conservator’s report which led to the substantial restoration so visible under UV. Do re-read the conservator’s report. Had you sent it to me (as you sent the Bega report describing a much slighter problem) I would, of course, have asked my own conservator for advice. I learned of the existence of this report for the first time in 1997!

My communication simply states the facts. If these imply less than professional handling on your part, perhaps you should re-examine your handling this, rather than my statements.

Despite the serious damage to my painting, I chose not to go to Court, but rather to advise the art community of what had occurred. Please be assured, however, that if you or any other party involved choose otherwise, I will respond, including a full claim for damages.

Sincerely,

Alfred Bader
c: Marvin Klitsner, Esq.



HOFSTRA UNIVERSITY



HOFSTRA MUSEUM

December 9, 1988

Dr. Alfred Bader
2961 North Shepard Avenue
Milwaukee, WI 53211

Dear Dr. Bader;

Dr. Donna Barnes has been discussing with me your recent correspondence and conversations about the damage to your Verhout while in the custody of the Hofstra Museum in 1988. I believe that one item in your conversations with Dr. Barnes was the involvement of attorneys, and I hope you understand that when a correspondent refers to legalities, I am obliged to consult with the University's general counsel and shape my responses by the results of that consultation.

I am deeply sorry that the Verhout which you generously loaned to the Hofstra Museum in 1988 suffered damage, and I am equally sorry to know of your disappointment with the quality of conservation provided by the Museum. It is the policy of the Museum to care for all works of art entrusted to it through loan and donation, and I am quite proud of the Museum's excellent record. My apologies to you for the condition of the Verhout are all the more sincere because of this record.


If I remember correctly, in your correspondence with Dr. Barnes, you mentioned that the cost to you of additional conservation to the Verhout was \$1,150.00. If this amount is correct, I will request a reimbursement check to you from the University as an additional acknowledgement of your professional courtesy in loaning the Verhout to us and of the Museum's obligation to conserve the work in an agreeable manner. I look forward to your response.

Please accept my best wishes for happy and healthy holidays to you and Mrs. Bader as well as my sincere hope that the new year brings to you both much satisfaction.

Sincerely,

David C. Christman
Director





an AIMemo

FROM DR. AL BADER


Dr. Gelberd:

I wish I knew
how you could
act as you did.

Anna Bader

6 X 98

10-6 Topetra package to Dr. Neil Gelberd
@ 2 addresses from Anna Bader #2





ALFRED BADER FINE ARTS

ESTABLISHED 1991

August 10, 1998

Mr. David Christman, Director
Hofstra University Art Museum

4 pages


Dear Mr. Christman:

Thank you for your fax of July 30 with a copy of my fax to Dr. Gelburd dated June 2, 1988.

Now the events are clear to me.

Please look over the enclosed essay "How Not to Handle an Accident in a Museum" and advise me if it contains any mistakes.

Sincerely,




Alfred Bader

AB/az
Enc.

By FAX to 516-463-4832

By Appointment Only
ASTOR HOTEL SUITE 622
924 EAST JUNEAU AVENUE
MILWAUKEE WISCONSIN USA 53202
TEL 414 277-0730 FAX 414 277-0709




How Not To Handle an Accident In A Museum

In 1988 The Hofstra Museum of Hofstra University mounted an exhibition entitled "People at Work: Seventeenth Century Dutch Art" which included 26 oil paintings, seven of which were borrowed from my collection.

On May 26, 1988, the Museum's Director, Dr. Gail Gelburd, wrote a long letter describing in detail a very slight problem with the varnish of one painting, the Alchemist by Cornelis Bega. Nothing in that letter was said about damage to any other painting.

On June 2, Dr. Gelburd telephoned, again mentioning the problem with the Bega varnish and adding that there was also a slight scratch, only to the varnish, of another painting, the portrait of a brewer by Constantijn Verhout, described in the Hofstra catalog entry enclosed. My secretary confirmed by fax that the Museum's conservator could proceed with the repair of the Bega varnish and the "slight scratch, only to the varnish of the Verhout".

In October 1997, my conservator, Charles Munch, examined some newly acquired paintings under ultraviolet light. Wanting to show him one painting in really good condition, I took down the Verhout and was shocked to see a deep scratch right across the brewer's face (photo under ultraviolet light enclosed).



I wrote to the Museum to ask for details of the Verhout restoration and I was promptly sent the conservator's report and invoice, enclosed. This was the first time I had seen either. Had I known that the Verhout was so deeply scratched, (it was not "a slight scratch" and not "only to the varnish") I would have requested that my own conservator repair this damage and that the insurance company compensate me for the repair and for the obvious loss in value. I wrote to the Museum's Director, now David C. Christman, pointing out that I had not before been sent the conservator's report nor the invoice, both of which detailed the extent of restoration required. Clearly, damage to the face of the brewer has considerably devalued the painting. Mr. *Christman* Christman replied curtly that the statute of limitations on my claim has expired and, *to me most gallingly, that* "we find no merit in your claim." *That I found the most galling!*

I have had no reply from Dr. James Shuart, the President of Hofstra University, to whom I sent the entire correspondence last March. However, Mr. Christman has since offered to pay for improving the restoration provided I release the Museum from further claims.

My attorney advised me that the statute of limitations does not expire when a Museum hides the extent of damage in so blatant a manner. X

I would like other museums, collectors, and art historians to know how badly the Hofstra Museum has handled this matter.

X I will not sue the museum,
as I do not plan to sell the
Verhout, but leave it to my
alma mater, Queen's University
However I ---

HOFSTRA MUSEUM

May 26, 1988

Dr. Alfred Bader
Chairman
Aldrich Chemical Company
940 West Saint Paul Avenue
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53233

Dear Dr. Bader:

The exhibition at Hofstra is going extremely well, breaking all of our attendance records. I have enclosed some of the reviews that have come out in order to give you some additional insight about the exhibition.


However, I do have to also write about a small but important problem concerning one of your paintings. Our staff is well-trained to carefully observe all paintings in an exhibition constantly and note any and all changes. We noticed on "The Alchemist" by Cornelis Pietersz. Bega, a slight change in its condition. In an area of about 1/2" x 1/16" near the top right corner of the painting, the varnish is separating. Our conservator, who is on retainer, confirmed our opinions. He has suggested that this can happen from even slight environmental changes especially if the varnish is new. He has informed us that it is a minor task to restore it---application of a mild solvent in the area rebonds the varnish.

If you would like us to take care of it, we can do so immediately, but need permission from you in writing. If you have any questions the conservator, Mervin Honig, can be reached at (516) 334-6157 or of course, you can call me at (516) 560-5672.


We are truly sorry for any concern or anxiety this may evoke, but wish to reassure you that it is minor and can be taken care of easily.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely,



Gail Gelburd
Director





Dr. Alfred Bader
924 East Juneau, Suite 622
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202
Phone: 414/277-0730
Fax: 414/277-0709

October 20, 1998
A Chemist Helping Chemists

Dr. Laurie Harwood
Grove Wharf House
Hempstead Road
Watford
Herts WD1 3NL
ENGLAND

Dear Laurie,

Please do not think for a moment that I have forgotten about Irina Sokolova, but I just have not known quite how to help her.

Egbert Haverkamp-Begeman's letter, copy enclosed, does not really make it much easier.


Isabel and I will be in England from November 11th until December 21st and I plan to call you to discuss.

With all good wishes from house to house, I remain

Yours sincerely,

AB/az

Enc.





New York University

A private university in the public service

Institute of Fine Arts

1 East 78th Street
New York, NY 10021-0178
Telephone: (212) 772-5800
Facsimile: (212) 772-5807

October 13, 1998

Dr. Alfred Bader
924 East Juneau, Suite 622
MILWAUKEE, WI 53202

Dear Alfred,


On my desk I have three topics about which you wrote me, or which you brought to my attention in xeroxes of letters you received. I apologize for the delay of my reaction. In my academic world, there is no assistance, and "do it yourself" is the rule.

First, the Stechow publication. I am so happy that it is going to go through. He was such a nice person, such a good art historian, and so self-effacing. What Ursula Stechow wrote about Wolf's early training and experiences will undoubtedly be a fine contribution to our understanding of his career and personality, and to our insights in the history of our discipline.

Thank you so much for sending me a copy of Ursula Stechow's letter. I received a copy of an early letter of Wolf's to Horst Gerson which throws light on his decision to go to Holland in the late 1930s. I have passed it on to the Rijksbureau in the Hague.

As for the Hofstra Museum and their mishandling of your painting, I have often been asked to help them with exhibitions and information, but I never have done anything for them. I once went to a lecture and participated in a question and answer session following the speaker's contribution. The institution for me is an endearing example of good will, public spirit and an expression of gratefulness towards this country on the part of people who were so well received here and for whom this country meant so much. The Hofstras were great, responsible benefactors, and their founding and financing of the college were admirable acts of foresighted, constructive contribution.

Finally, the question of Irina Sokolova, and whether we can alleviate her plight. I know her well and have spoken with her at the Hermitage a number of times over the last ten years. I have met her here in New York (and taken her to Boston to show her the




museums) and followed her work in the Hermitage. I saw her last this past June (in St. Petersburg). Irina Sokolova is a fine art historian (Flemish and Dutch painting is her specialty), and a very nice person. (Her husband and daughter I unfortunately have never met). She is the successor to Irina Linnik who recently retired. She has published frequently; most recently contributing a fine essay on the history and interpretations of Rembrandt's Danaë to a book about the painting and its fate (the recent reconstruction is a heroic effort, but the painting is a ruin). Her plight at present is dismal, as it is for all her colleagues and, frankly, for everyone in Russia except for those who interpret the recently gained democratic freedom as a license to implement maffia practices. People are not paid; the contributions from the West are lost in the deep pockets of a few people who transfer the funds to banks in Cyprus, Switzerland, and the Caribbean.

I have great sympathy for her, yet I don't know whether I can support efforts to find her a job here. The situation in the museums and universities is not favorable at the moment. Salaries are low in general, and Flemish and Dutch art is being marginalized. Our own students cannot find jobs. The situation has changed from the 1960s when expansion was ubiquitous, and when as a museum employee one could live on a salary. In my opinion we train too many art historians for the positions available, and those that find a place must have other funds or an earning companion to survive.

Should we try to find her a job here under these circumstances? There is another issue. In Russia, actually in the former Soviet Union, that enormous area with so many museums, among them the great Hermitage, there are only two people that know Dutch and Flemish painting: Irina Sokolova and Vadim Sadkov, both pupils of Yury Ivanovitch Kuznetsov and Irina Linnik (widow of Y.I. Kuznetsov). Sadkov is curator at the Pushkin Museum in Moscow. Irina Sokolova represents 50% of the expertise in Dutch and Flemish painting in Russia.

What she needs now is more training and experience. A term or a year at the CASVA in Washington or a similar center (the Getty, Princeton, Wellesley), would be beneficial. Even more useful would possibly be an opportunity for her to study great



p./3


collections of Dutch and Flemish paintings outside Russia. She has traveled quite a lot as a courier, but better opportunities to study art *in situ* would be most useful for her, in my opinion. Such arrangements need money, and the approval of her director (Mikhail Piotrovsky) who cannot refuse very easily if she, like all her colleagues, is not paid.

If you are in touch with Laurie Harwood, give her my best regards. I have great respect for her, and for her works on Adam Pynacker.

Thanks for your letters! And best wishes to you and Isabel.

Yours,

Egbert



Dr. Alfred Bader
2961 North Shepard Avenue
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53211

September 23, 1998

Professor Donna R. Barnes, Ed.D.
16 Sterling Place
Brooklyn, NY 11217

Dear Professor Barnes,

Thank you for your thoughtful letter of September 16.


I wish that you had been involved with the damage to my Verhout from the very beginning. If you had seen the deep scratch, you would surely have insisted that Dr. Gelburd send me the condition report which I didn't see until years later. Clearly it wasn't a slight scratch just to the varnish, but deep into the paint film.

I was in Europe when the paintings were returned. As I had been told that the scratch to the Verhout was only in the varnish, I didn't have the wits to look at the painting under ultraviolet light. Visually it looked fine, but of course you know how such restorations change with time and diminish the value of paintings otherwise in such fine condition.

Dr. Gelburd did send me the detailed condition report on the Bega, one of my favorite alchemical paintings and of course I immediately approved the conservation which was well done and there was no further problem.

Had I known of the deep scratch to the Verhout, I would have asked that my own conservator restore this.

Could you please send me Dr. Gelburd's personal address and also your telephone number.



Dr. Donna Barnes

- 2 -

September 23, 1998

I have now had the Verhout conserved much better by Charles Munch and Jane Furchgott, who carefully removed the gesso, most of which was unnecessary.


In response to your last paragraph, please re-read Mr. Christman's letter of March 3rd and my letter to him of August 10th, to which he never replied.

As I believe I have already told you, I have discussed the matter in great detail with the best attorney in Milwaukee I know (Marvin Klitsner, referred to many times in my autobiography) and he advised me that I could file suit in a Milwaukee court and that the statute of limitations does not expire when damage is hidden, as it was at Hofstra. But then I asked myself whether at 74 I need the hassle of a lawsuit. I do not plan to sell the Verhout, but plan to leave it to my University.


It is important that other collectors, museum people, and art historians know of the dangers of loaning work to Hofstra and so I have gradually been sending the "horror story" to art historians I know.

With best personal regards, I am

Yours sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read 'Arthur B. Barnes', written in dark ink.

AB/az



historians of netherlandish art

Founded 1983

September 17, 1998

Dr. Alfred Bader
2961 North Shepard Avenue
Milwaukee
Wisconsin 53211

Dear Alfred,

Thank you for sending me copies of your recent loan debacle. I am bewildered as to the seeming lack of timely communication from the museum to you as the lender. Realizing that I am only gaining insight into this state of affairs second hand, I, as a museum courier, have had occasion to witness some very strange liberties taken by institutions and commercial art handlers that give one pause.

In any case, because of the nature of the Historians of Netherlandish Art, I think that a better forum for your expression of concern would be the journal, Museum News, published by the American Association of Museums. This journal addresses, among other topical museum issues, proper curatorial, registrarial and other museum practices. It would seem to my mind to be an ideal forum in which to generate discussion on the subject of lenders' rights and expectations when lending to public institutions of any size.


Please keep me posted as to the final outcome of your loans to Hofstra University. I remember your Bega painting as being in virtually flawless condition and hope that the damage was, indeed, restricted to the varnish layer and that it has been restored to your satisfaction.

With kind regards.

Yours sincerely,



George Keyes
President, HNA



historians of netherlandish art

Founded 1983

September 17, 1998

Dr. Alfred Bader
2961 North Shepard Avenue
Milwaukee
Wisconsin 53211

Dear Alfred,


Thank you for sending me copies of your recent loan debacle. I am bewildered as to the seeming lack of timely communication from the museum to you as the lender. Realizing that I am only gaining insight into this state of affairs second hand, I, as a museum courier, have had occasion to witness some very strange liberties taken by institutions and commercial art handlers that give one pause.

In any case, because of the nature of the Historians of Netherlandish Art, I think that a better forum for your expression of concern would be the journal, Museum News, published by the American Association of Museums. This journal addresses, among other topical museum issues, proper curatorial, registrarial and other museum practices. It would seem to my mind to be an ideal forum in which to generate discussion on the subject of lenders' rights and expectations when lending to public institutions of any size.


Please keep me posted as to the final outcome of your loans to Hofstra University. I remember your Bega painting as being in virtually flawless condition and hope that the damage was, indeed, restricted to the varnish layer and that it has been restored to your satisfaction.

With kind regards.

Yours sincerely,



George Keyes
President, HNA



Dr. Alfred Bader
2961 North Shepard Avenue
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53211

September 15, 1998

Professor Donna R. Barnes
16 Sterling Place
Brooklyn, NY 11217

Dear Professor Barnes,

Thank you so much for your thoughtful letter of September 9.

Of course I knew that you must have been unaware of the scratch to my beautiful Verhout and so I didn't want to bother you until the matter was finalized.

Of course you will realize that Dr. Gelburd should have told me about the scratch but frankly I was most shaken by David Christman telling me that I had no case.

A very competent attorney assured me that as the paintings were borrowed from Milwaukee I could sue in Milwaukee and that the statute of limitations has not expired when damage is hidden, as it was. But I am 74 and do not relish a lawsuit. Also, I do not plan to sell the painting, but it will go to my University, Queen's in Canada.


My conservator has told me that the restoration done in New York was done very poorly with far too much gesso.

I am sending the package which I sent you to many art historians, museum people and collectors.


But as you were a guest curator and editor of the catalogue, I now enclose a few more bits of correspondence, which will interest you.

With all good wishes, I remain

Very sincerely,



AB/az
enc.



from the desk of **Donna R. Barnes, Ed.D.**
16 Sterling Place
Brooklyn, New York 11217

9 September 1998

Dr. Alfred Bader
2961 N. Shephard Avenue
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53211-3435

Dear Dr. Bader:

The packet of materials which you sent to me last week arrived on Saturday. It was the first I had heard of the situation regarding your Verhout painting, the portrait of the brewer.

Yesterday morning I spoke with David Christman, the Director of the Hofstra Museum. We have set up an appointment to discuss this matter on Monday, September 14. You can rest assured that I shall be in touch with you following that meeting.


In the meantime, please accept my warm personal regards.

Sincerely yours,



Donna R. Barnes
Professor
Hofstra University

cc: David C. Christman, Director, Hofstra Museum




Charles Munch & Jane Furchgott
A·R·T R·E·S·T·O·R·E·R·S
510093A Bear Valley Rd. Lone Rock WI 53556
608 583·2431

August 30, 1998

Alfred Bader Fine Arts
Astor Hotel Suite 622
924 East Juneau Ave.
Milwaukee WI 53202

Adam Pynacker, <u>Cow & Donkey in a Landscape</u> , oil on wood panel, 13 3/8" x 15 3/4" (without added strips): picking off huge amounts of lint and some flyspots, and revarnishing	\$225.
Rembrandt, <u>Bearded Old Man with Cap</u> , oil on wood panel, 9 1/2" x 8": cleaning, retouching, and varnishing	500.
Constantin Verhout, <u>The Brewer Cornelis Graswinckel</u> , oil on wood panel, 13 1/2" x 11": UV photography, cleaning, filling, retouching, and varnishing	<u>1150.</u>
	\$1875.




Charles Munch & Jane Furchgott
A·R·T R·E·S·T·O·R·E·R·S
510093A Bear Valley Rd. Lone Rock WI 53556
608 583·2431

August 30, 1998

Alfred Bader Fine Arts
Astor Hotel Suite 622
924 East Juneau Ave.
Milwaukee WI 53202

*All personal -
not ABFA*

Adam Pynacker, <u>Cow & Donkey in a Landscape</u> , oil on wood panel, 13 3/8" x 15 3/4" (without added strips): picking off huge amounts of lint and some flyspots, and revarnishing	\$225.
Rembrandt, <u>Bearded Old Man with Cap</u> , oil on wood panel, 9 1/2" x 8": cleaning, retouching, and varnishing	500.
Constantin Verhout, <u>The Brewer Cornelis Graswinckel</u> , oil on wood panel, 13 1/2" x 11": UV photography, cleaning, filling, retouching, and varnishing	<u>1150.</u> \$1875.



Dr. Alfred Bader
2961 North Shepard Avenue
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53211

September 15, 1998

Professor Donna R. Barnes
16 Sterling Place
Brooklyn, NY 11217

Dear Professor Barnes,

Thank you so much for your thoughtful letter of September 9.

Of course I knew that you must have been unaware of the scratch to my beautiful Verhout and so I didn't want to bother you until the matter was finalized.

Of course you will realize that Dr. Gelburd should have told me about the scratch but frankly I was most shaken by David Christman telling me that I had no case.

A very competent attorney assured me that as the paintings were borrowed from Milwaukee I could sue in Milwaukee and that the statute of limitations has not expired when damage is hidden, as it was. But I am 74 and do not relish a lawsuit. Also, I do not plan to sell the painting, but it will go to my University, Queen's in Canada.


My conservator has told me that the restoration done in New York was done very poorly with far too much gesso.

I am sending the package which I sent you to many art historians, museum people and collectors.


But as you were a guest curator and editor of the catalogue, I now enclose a few more bits of correspondence, which will interest you.

With all good wishes, I remain

Very sincerely,



AB/az
enc.





ALFRED BADER FINE ARTS

DR. ALFRED BADER

ESTABLISHED 1961

August 12, 1998

Dr. Ira Kukin

Chairman & Chief Executive Officer
Apollo Technologies International Corp.
55 Regent Street, Suite 526
Livingston, NJ 07039

Dear Ira:

Thanks again for your two long faxes about Hofstra Museum.

Of course the very first thing I did on receiving these was to share them with Marvin Klitsner, who was then in Jerusalem and is now, for the next few days, staying here at the Astor Hotel in Milwaukee.


Marvin's comment was that you could not have realized how serious the damage to the Verhout was.

I have now thought about this a good deal and, of course, discussed it with Marvin. He advised me that he believed that the statute of limitation does not apply when a museum hides damage in so blatant a manner and that also if I wished to sue Hofstra, I could probably do so in Milwaukee because the paintings were borrowed from me in Milwaukee.

However, in thinking about it I have decided not to sue. The Verhout is worth about \$200,000 and several art dealers have told me that such a major scratch devalues such a painting by around 20 to 30 percent. But, Ira, I need neither the money nor the hassle. And in any case, I am leaving the painting to my university and, of course, do not plan to sell it.

On the other hand, neither individuals at Hofstra should get away with such totally unprofessional and shabby actions.

By Appointment Only
ASTOR HOTEL SUITE 622
924 EAST JUNEAU AVENUE
MILWAUKEE WISCONSIN USA 53202
TEL 414 277-0730 FAX 414 277-0709





Dr. Ira Kukin
August 12, 1998
Page two

Hence, I plan to share the information about this with as many museum people, art historians, and collectors I know.


Of course I know that you disagree with me completely about this, and for that I am sorry. I still hope that we will remain friends.

With all good wishes,

Sincerely,

AB/nik

c: Marvin Klitsner, Esq.





DR. ALFRED BADER

2A Holmesdale Road

Bexhill-on-Sea

East Sussex TN39 3QE

England

Phone/Fax: 44-1424-222223

Dr. Ira Kevlin
Apollo Technologies

001 973 525 8844

Ira:


Thank you for your two long faxes about the Hofstra Museum. I have no recollection of authorizing the (good) restoration of my portrait, and have asked my secretary to request copies of the 1988 correspondence.

I'll be back in my office on July 27 and will be in touch.

Thanks & best regards

Alfred


17 VII 98








1911

1911












100-1000


100-1000

Dear Marvin : I'll call
you to discuss
Love Anna











ALFRED BADER FINE ARTS

DR. ALFRED BADER

ESTABLISHED 1961

August 10, 1998

Mr. David Christman, Director
Hofstra University Art Museum

Dear Mr. Christman:


4 pages

Thank you for your fax of July 30 with a copy of my fax to Dr. Gelburd dated June 2, 1988.

Now the events are clear to me.

Please look over the enclosed essay "How Not to Handle an Accident in a Museum" and advise me if it contains any mistakes.

Sincerely,




Alfred Bader

AB/az
Enc.

By FAX to 516-463-4832

By Appointment Only
ASTOR HOTEL SUITE 622
924 EAST JUNEAU AVENUE
MILWAUKEE WISCONSIN USA 53202
TEL 414 277-0730 FAX 414 277-0709



HOFSTRA MUSEUM

May 26, 1988

Dr. Alfred Bader
Chairman
Aldrich Chemical Company
940 West Saint Paul Avenue
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53233

Dear Dr. Bader:

The exhibition at Hofstra is going extremely well, breaking all of our attendance records. I have enclosed some of the reviews that have come out in order to give you some additional insight about the exhibition.

However, I do have to also write about a small but important problem concerning one of your paintings. Our staff is well-trained to carefully observe all paintings in an exhibition constantly and note any and all changes. We noticed on "The Alchemist" by Cornelis Pietersz. Bega, a slight change in its condition. In an area of about 1/2" x 1/16" near the top right corner of the painting, the varnish is separating. Our conservator, who is on retainer, confirmed our opinions. He has suggested that this can happen from even slight environmental changes especially if the varnish is new. He has informed us that it is a minor task to restore it---application of a mild solvent in the area rebonds the varnish.

If you would like us to take care of it, we can do so immediately, but need permission from you in writing. If you have any questions the conservator, Mervin Honig, can be reached at (516) 334-6157 or of course, you can call me at (516) 560-5672.


We are truly sorry for any concern or anxiety this may evoke, but wish to reassure you that it is minor and can be taken care of easily.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely,



Gail Gelburd
Director




How Not To Handle an Accident In A Museum

In 1988 The Hofstra Museum of Hofstra University mounted an exhibition entitled "People at Work: Seventeenth Century Dutch Art" which included 26 oil paintings, seven of which were borrowed from my collection.

On May 26, 1988, the Museum's Director, Dr. Gail Gelburd, wrote a long letter describing in detail a very slight problem with the varnish of one painting, the Alchemist by Cornelis Bega. Nothing in that letter was said about damage to any other painting.

On June 2, Dr. Gelburd telephoned, again mentioning the problem with the Bega varnish and adding that there was also a slight scratch, only to the varnish, of another painting, the portrait of a brewer by Constantijn Verhout, described in the Hofstra catalog entry enclosed. My secretary confirmed by fax that the Museum's conservator could proceed with the repair of the Bega varnish and the "slight scratch, only to the varnish of the Verhout".

In October 1997, my conservator, Charles Munch, examined some newly acquired paintings under ultraviolet light. Wanting to show him one painting in really good condition, I took down the Verhout and was shocked to see a deep scratch right across the brewer's face (photo under ultraviolet light enclosed).




I wrote to the Museum to ask for details of the Verhout restoration and I was promptly sent the conservator's report and invoice, enclosed. This was the first time I had seen either. Had I known that the Verhout was so deeply scratched, (it was not "a slight scratch" and not "only to the varnish") I would have requested that my own conservator repair this damage and that the insurance company compensate me for the repair and for the obvious loss in value. I wrote to the Museum's Director, now David C. Christman, pointing out that I had not before been sent the conservator's report nor the invoice, both of which detailed the extent of restoration required. Clearly, damage to the face of the brewer has considerably devalued the painting. Mr. Christman replied curtly that the statute of limitations on my claim has expired and, to me most gallingly, that "we find no merit in your claim."

I have had no reply from Dr. James Shuart, the President of Hofstra University, to whom I sent the entire correspondence last March. However, Mr. Christman has since offered to pay for improving the restoration provided I release the Museum from further claims.

My attorney advised me that the statute of limitations does not expire when a Museum hides the extent of damage in so blatant a manner.

I would like other museums, collectors, and art historians to know how badly the Hofstra Museum has handled this matter.







ALFRED BADER FINE ARTS

DR. ALFRED BADER

ESTABLISHED 1961

July 17, 1998

David C. Christman, Director
Hofstra Museum
Hofstra University
Hempstead, NY 11550

Dear Director Christman:

Dr. Alfred Bader is in England at the moment and will return in a few days. We would be most grateful to you if you could locate and provide us copies of the following 1988 correspondence:

1. The letter to Dr. Bader from Hofstra explaining the damage to Constantijn Verhout's "Portrait" while in the Museum's care; and
2. Dr. Bader's reply and/or written authorization to conserve the painting.

We appreciate your help and cooperation.


Very sincerely,

ALFRED BADER FINE ARTS

(Mrs.) Ann Zuehlke, Secretary

/az

By Appointment Only
ASTOR HOTEL SUITE 622
924 EAST JUNEAU AVENUE
MILWAUKEE WISCONSIN USA 53202
TEL 414 277-0730 FAX 414 277-0709





DR. ALFRED BADER CBE

2A Holmesdale Road

Bexhill-on-Sea

East Sussex TN39 3QE

England

Phone/Fax: 01424-222223

A Chemist Helping Chemists

Mr. David Christman
Director, Spofsta Museum.

June 29 98

Dear Mr. Christ^{man}man:


Your letter of June 16 was forwarded to England where I will be until the end of July.

I have been advised by counsel that the Statute of limitation does not apply in this case. Your insurance company should pay for having the painting well restored. This is now in progress, and my carpenter has told me that it will cost between £300-500. I should also be paid for loss in value: a great painting in mint condition is worth far more than one badly scratched, even when well restored.

After my return to Milwaukee I will send you a photograph taken under UV, and also a draft of an article describing your museum's actions. After you have had a chance to consider this, I hope that it will not be necessary to send this to many art-historians, collectors and numismatists.

cc Foley & Hardner.
Tom Schreiner Esq.

Sincerely
Alfred Bader





DR. ALFRED BADER CBI

2A Holmesdale Road

Bechill on Sea

East Sussex TN39 3QJ

England

Phone/Fax: 01424-222223

4 Chemist Helping Chemists

Dear Alfred
Thank you for your letter

June 29 98

Dear Alfred


*Your letter of June 16 was forwarded to me
where I will be with the end of July*

*I have been contacted by computer from the
of limitation does not apply in the case of your
company. It will be for covering the quantity
related. This is now in progress, and a
has told me that it will cost between
I should also be glad to have in later
quantity, in which condition is with
are badly polluted, also when work is
done.*

*After my return to the office I will send you
a photograph taken under UV, and some
of our articles describing your company's
you have had a chance to consider this, I hope
it will not be necessary to send
me. I should be glad to see you.*

Alfred Bader
Chemist

*cc: John C. ...
from ...*





ALFRED BADER FINE ARTS

DR. ALFRED BADER

ESTABLISHED 1961

June 15, 1998

Dr. Marjorie E. Wieseman
Acting Director
Allen Memorial Art Museum
Oberlin College
Oberlin, OH 44074-1161

Dear Dr. Wieseman:


Thank you for your letter and the list of AAMD members sent to Dr. Bader.

As you know, Alfred and Isabel are travelling in Britain now, but I will send Alfred your cover letter in the package I am preparing to post today. Alfred will be back in the office the last week of July and will then respond to your letter.

Yours sincerely,

Niki Karp

By Appointment Only
ASTOR HOTEL SUITE 622
924 EAST JUNEAU AVENUE
MILWAUKEE WISCONSIN USA 53202
TEL 414 277-0730 FAX 414 277-0709



Dr. Alfred Bader
2961 North Shepard Avenue
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53211

March 30, 1998


Mr. David C. Christman
Director
Hofstra Museum
112 Hofstra University
Hempstead, New York 11549

Dear Mr. Christman:

I am astounded by your letter of March 3rd which I received upon my return from abroad. Astounded both by your assertion that my claim is foreclosed by the statute of limitations, and even more so by your statement that my claim is without merit.

With respect to the statute of limitations, I could understand it if you had candidly reported to me what had happened to the painting in 1988, instead of covering it over and grossly understating what had occurred, so that the true extent of the damage was not discovered by me until recently - and that only by chance. Furthermore, I understand that the statute of limitations, even if correctly applied, can be raised or waived, and must ask myself whether asserting it under the circumstances constitutes moral behaviour as between a museum and a collector who lends it his works of art.

However, I am most astounded by your assertion that my claim is without merit. While I know that you were not the museum's director in 1988, and thus bear no personal responsibility for what occurred at that time, I certainly would expect that you would carefully look into the facts as outlined in my letter and acknowledge that I was not treated in the manner to which a lender has a right to expect from a reputable museum. Instead, my letter was not even given the courtesy of a response until I found it necessary to ask my attorney to demand a response - and then, *this!*




Mr. David C. Christman
March 30, 1998
Page two

I can only think of two possible explanations for your letter: (1) You have not had or taken the time to read my letter and look into the matter; or (2) good museum practice and professional courtesy is not a part of the code at Hofstra University. If it is the first, I ask you once again to review the facts as stated in my letter and give me a proper response. If it is the latter, then I will not expect the courtesy of a proper response and, entirely aside from any other action I may decide to take, I will feel obliged to inform the art community of my experience with your museum so that other collector-lenders are not in danger of the same treatment.

Sincerely yours,

AB/nik

c: Marvin Klitsner, Esq., Foley & Lardner
Dr. James M. Shuart, President, Hofstra University, w/Enclosures



Dr. Alfred Bader
2961 North Shepard Avenue
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53211

March 30, 1998

Dr. James M. Stuart
President
Hofstra University
Hempstead, NY 11549


Dear Dr. Stuart:

Please look at the enclosed correspondence between your Museum and me.

I very much hope that your response will be different from that of Mr. Christman.

With best wishes, I remain,


Sincerely yours,



AB/cw

Enclosures

No reply :



HOFSTRA UNIVERSITY



HOFSTRA MUSEUM

March 3, 1998

Dr. Alfred Bader
2961 North Shepard Avenue
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53211

Dear Dr. Bader;

I referred your January 14, 1998 letter to the Hofstra Museum about your loan of the Constantijn Verhout's "Portrait" in 1988 to the University Counsel. I am advised to inform you that the statute of limitations on your claim has expired. Further, we find no merit in your claim.


Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "D. Christman".

David C. Christman
Director

c: Emil V. Cianciulli, Esq.





FOLEY & LARDNER

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

CHICAGO
JACKSONVILLE
LOS ANGELES
MADISON
MILWAUKEE
ORLANDO
SACRAMENTO

FIRSTAR CENTER
777 EAST WISCONSIN AVENUE
MILWAUKEE, WISCONSIN 53202-5367
TELEPHONE (414) 271-2400
FACSIMILE (414) 297-4900

SAN DIEGO
SAN FRANCISCO
TALLAHASSEE
TAMPA
WASHINGTON, D.C.
WEST PALM BEACH

WRITER'S DIRECT LINE
414/297-5613

EMAIL ADDRESS
mklitsner@foleylaw.com

February 24, 1998

CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Ms. Mary Wakeford
Assistant to the Director
Hofstra Museum
1212 Hofstra University
Hempstead, NY 11549

Dear Ms. Wakeford:

We represent Dr. Alfred R. Bader, whose certified mail, return receipt requested letter dated January 14, 1998 and received by you on January 20, 1998, remains unanswered.

Please be advised, that while Dr. Bader would much prefer to work out with you an amicable resolution of this matter, unless he receives a prompt and reasonable response, he shall have no alternative but to ask us to see to it that a demand is made in the form which will give you no alternative but to respond.

Very truly yours,



Marvin E. Klitsner

cc: Dr. Alfred R. Bader ✓

001.266649.1

ESTABLISHED 1842

A MEMBER OF GLOBALEX WITH MEMBER OFFICES IN BERLIN, BRUSSELS, DRESDEN, FRANKFURT, LONDON, SINGAPORE, STOCKHOLM AND STUTTGART



Dr. Alfred Bader
2961 North Shepard Avenue
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53211

January 14, 1998

Ms. Mary Wakeford
Assistant to the Director
Hofstra Museum
1212 Hofstra University
Hempstead, NY 11549

Via: CERTIFIED MAIL *Return Receipt Requested*


Dear Ms. Wakeford:

A trip to England and Spain in November and December has delayed my responding to your letter of November the 11th regarding the damage to two of my paintings in 1988.

I must tell you that I feel that your response now was professional but your museum's treatment of the damage to my paintings in 1988 was totally unprofessional and I am really shocked by your action at the time of the damage.

During the last 45 years I have made hundreds of loans to many museums around the world. Right now there are three of my paintings in the great "Rembrandt and His Impact" exhibition in Australia and one in the Walters Art Gallery.

In all those years only three of my paintings were damaged, two of these at your museum, and I cannot help but contrast the way the damages were handled at the two museums involved. At the other museum a painting by Peter Lastman, the teacher of Rembrandt, split in two. The museum informed me immediately, sent me detailed photographs, returned the painting in two pieces and I had my very competent conservator glue the two panels together and the total cost, covered by the museum's insurance, was about \$300. As the painting had originally been on two pieces glued together, there was no lasting damage and no claim for loss of value.



Ms. Mary Wakeford
January 14, 1998
Page two

Your museum, on the other hand, did *not* inform me of the damage, sent me no photographs, and did not allow my conservator to repair the damages.

To turn now to the two damages involved:

(1) I do not really know what happened to the painting of *An Alchemist* by Bega - incidentally one of Bega's most famous works - but examination of the painting now does not indicate any permanent damage and so, of course, there is no claim.

(2) The situation with the Verhout is entirely different.

When you received the painting it was in absolutely mint condition, one of the favourite works in my collection.


Please note what Anthony Clark, the director of the Minneapolis Institute of Arts, wrote about this wonderful work, on page 18 of the enclosed.

How highly I think of this painting you can see from the enclosed essay that accompanied reproductions of this work when the Aldrich Chemical Company used it on a catalogue cover.

Looking at the painting now under ultraviolet light, you see a 2-inch streak of restoration, right through the face of the man, and see further restoration at the bottom of the painting. Your conservator described a 1-1/4 inch scratch; the restoration is two inches in length. The polaroid photo you sent is useless: It does not even show the whole painting and may have been taken *after* restoration!

The conservator Mervin Honig, one of the earliest members of the AIC and surely now retired, was certainly not incompetent in his work, because visually the restoration is not glaring and only very close examination suggests that the gesso filling was not very well done.

Two matters must be addressed: (A) I plan to have the restoration removed by my conservator Mr. Charles Munch who assures me that this can be done, and the filling and in-painting improved, at a cost that will not exceed \$500.



Ms. Mary Wakeford
January 14, 1998
Page three

Naturally I expect you to be responsible for the cost. (B) There is a substantial difference in value between a painting in absolutely mint condition, as this work was when you received it, and a painting with a scratch through the most important part of the painting - the face of the sitter - even when that is well restored. I plan to take professional advice by how much the commercial value of this painting has been decreased and expect reimbursement from you.

Please inform your insurance company immediately of this claim. They will advise you whether they will honour a claim made nine years after the damage occurred, but this clearly is your problem. Had you informed me of the damage and treated me as fairly in 1988 as you did last November, I would have made the claim immediately.

Please send me copies of the insurance certificate and the loan form.


You will understand from this that I am deeply hurt by your action in 1988. Verhout is an exceedingly rare artist and I believe there are only three or four known works by him. His two best works are illustrated in Bernt. One is of a sleeping student, in Stockholm; the other is mine.

I sense from your prompt response to my letter of November 4th that you understand how unprofessionally your museum acted in 1988, and you will understand my dismay.


Please send me your authorization to proceed with the improved restoration and I will send you the details of my claim for loss in value shortly.

I remain,

Yours sincerely,



c: Marvin Klitsner, Esq., Foley & Lardner





ALFRED BADER FINE ARTS

DR. ALFRED BADER

ESTABLISHED 1961

November 17, 1997

Mr. Charles Munch
S10093A Bear Valley Road
Lone Rock, WI 53556

Dear Charles:

Enclosed please find a letter from Hofstra University and, what seems to be, THE ONLY EXISTING PHOTOGRAPH (Polaroid) of Alfred's scratched Verhout, along with Hofstra's conservator's condition report. Alfred requested that I send all this to you.


I am certain that Alfred will be in touch with you about this.

Yours sincerely,

Niki Karp

Enclosures

By Appointment Only
ASTOR HOTEL SUITE 622
924 EAST JUNEAU AVENUE
MILWAUKEE WISCONSIN USA 53202
TEL 414 277-0730 FAX 414 277-0709



HOFSTRA UNIVERSITY



HOFSTRA MUSEUM

November 11, 1997

Dr. Alfred Bader
2961 North Shepard Avenue
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53211

Dear Dr. Bader:

I am in receipt of your letter of November 4 regarding a request for information on the conservation of Constantijn Verhout's *Portrait of Cornelius Abrahamaz Graswinkel*.

Enclosed is a typed copy taken from the conservator's handwritten assessment and a copy of his invoice. The only photograph we can supply is the accompanying Polaroid (with magnification the scratch can be seen).

If there is anything more about which I can be of assistance do not hesitate to call at 516 463-5672 or fax 516 463-4832.


Sincerely,


A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Mary Wakeford".

Mary Wakeford
Assistant to Director

Encs.







HARVARD UNIVERSITY ART MUSEUMS

ARTHUR M. SACKLER MUSEUM

FOGG ART MUSEUM

BUSCH REANOX MUSEUM

SPENCER WINTER PARK MUSEUM

100 UNIVERSITY AVENUE
HARVARD UNIVERSITY
CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS 02138

Dr. Donna R. Barnes
16 Sterling Place
Brooklyn, NY
11217
fax: 718 657 4567

July 20, 1999

Dear Dr. Barnes:

Thank you for your fax of this morning.

Regarding the Abrams drawings of winter scenes, the Esaias van de Velde is on the preliminary list for the show in 2002, the Avercamp and the Anthonie van Borssom are not. The Esaias van de Velde and the Avercamp are promised gifts to the Fogg, although we do not know when they will be transferred to Harvard.

This is no reflection on your work, but I have to tell you that I cannot recommend to Mr. and Mrs. Abrams that they grant loans to the Harvard Museum in light of the museum's unprofessional handling of the damage to Dr. Bader's verbot painting in the People at Work show. I have seen the correspondence on this matter, which records the museum's succession of mistakes, omissions, and evasion of responsibility. For the title of the exhibition in 1988 and last year, it would be convenient if we could blame the old régime, but one of the worst documents in this exchange is a 1998 letter to Dr. Bader from David Christman, not sorry for you because it was never and was your responsibility, but the record of this incident is appalling. The Harvard send Fogg drawings to Harvard, not to Dr. Christman, and the drawings he exhibited later.

However, I cannot appropriately deny the loan of drawings still in the Abrams collection should the Abrams decide to grant it. The museum can write directly to Mr. Abrams at 60 State Street, Boston, MA 02109, and I'll send him a copy of your letter should he wish.

All the very best to you and your response.

Sincerely,

William W. Gerdner

Director and George A. Bartsch Curator of Drawings



April 20, 1969

Dr. Alfred Bader
2961 North Shepard Avenue
Milwaukee, WI 53211

Dear Dr. Bader,

Your letter of April 9, 1969 was a delightful surprise, and I thank you not only for your gift to the Hofstra Museum, but also for your kind words. I realize that some of our previous correspondence has suffered from misunderstanding, but I am pleased to know that this has passed. I hope sincerely that any and all future relationships among us will be completely informed by our mutual respect for art and its cultural value. You and I have responsibility for the works of art which we hold in trust, and I suspect both of us attempt to meet that responsibility with equal tenacity.


I am grateful for your acceptance of the Hofstra Museum's offer to resolve your conservation expenses, and I hope you know of my admiration for your integrity and fairness. Incidentally, Professor Barnes was another happy recipient of your April 9 letter. She is currently engaged in preliminary work on another exhibition and publication for 17th century Dutch Art; "Ice - Winter Pleasures in 17th Century Dutch Art" is the tentative title, and I hope the Museum will be able to fund

My best wishes go to you and Mrs. Bader for good health and much happiness.

Sincerely,

David C. Gendron

Director



from the desk of **Donna R. Barnes, Ed.D.**
16 Sterling Place Brooklyn, New York 11217

William W. Robinson
Curator of Drawings
Fogg Art Museum
Harvard University
Cambridge, Massachusetts

22 July 1999
617 495 9936

Dear Bill:

Thanks for the quick FAX response to my letter of 20 July. I was dismayed to learn that the denouement of the dispute between Dr. Alfred Bader and the Hofstra Museum was unknown to you. I think it might have bearing on your decisions regarding the possibility of a loan of drawings to Hofstra's winter landscape exhibition.

You were probably alerted to Dr. Bader's situation by copies of correspondence that he shared. But do you know the resolution?


After I talked to Dr. Bader and found out the sum he paid to have the restoration work redone, David Christman sent him a letter apologising for the damage suffered to the Verhout painting and offering to pay the amount for subsequent restoration. Dr. Bader accepted. A check was sent to Dr. Bader.

Dr. Bader is a scrupulous man. He called me at home to tell me that his conservator reminded him that the sum for charges included not only the restoration that was deemed necessary but also some monies for cleaning the painting. He decided that the compensation situation needed to be put aright.

In light of this, he wrote to David Christman saying that he wanted to make a gift to the Hofstra Museum of the portion of the monies he had received from Hofstra that were the cleaning costs. He sent a check to David Christman and, as his letter makes clear, he found the matter resolved satisfactorily.

I am not in the habit of sharing mail, but I enclose a copy of Dr. Bader's letter to David Christman and the response. My sense of the matter is that an amicable and ethical resolution was reached.

In the course of this matter, Alfred Bader and I have become friends. He and Isabel have invited me to come to Milwaukee and stay in their home while I look at the collection. He knows I am working on the "Matters of Taste




exhibition for the Albany Institute and has offered to loan two still life paintings one by Pieter Claesz and a second by Jacob Foppen van Es. He also knows I am working on "Ice" and sent me a print of the magnificent Jacob van Ruisdael winter landscape in his collection. I hope to visit with the Baders in October. I know that he has rewritten his "essay" on this now-resolved dispute. I assume that you have not yet seen a copy of the revision.

My hope, Bill, is that this letter of mine to you describing the resolution, and the copies of Dr. Bader's letter of 9 April and David Christman's response of 20 April will prompt you to reconsider your refusal to permit drawings from Harvard to come to the Hofstra Museum's exhibition of Dutch winter landscapes.


And I would like to again ask if you would be willing to introduce me to George and Maida Abrams, making it possible for me to visit with them and look closely at both drawings and paintings in their collection.

Your kindness and courtesy are much appreciated. I look forward to our working together.

With all best wishes,


Donna R. Barnes, Ed.D

Enclosures: letter of 9 April from Alfred Bader
 letter of 20 April from David Christman
cc: Alfred Bader, David Christman



HOFSTRA UNIVERSITY



HOFSTRA MUSEUM

April 20, 1999

Dr. Alfred Bader
2961 North Shepard Avenue
Milwaukee, WI 53211

Dear Dr. Bader;

Your letter of April 9, 1999 was a delightful surprise, and I thank you not only for your gift to the Hofstra Museum, but also for your kind words. I realize that some of our previous correspondence has suffered from misunderstanding, but I am pleased to know that this has passed. I hope sincerely that any and all future relationships among us will be completely informed by our mutual respect for art and its cultural value. You and I have responsibility for the works of art which we hold in trust, and I suspect both of us attempt to meet that responsibility with equal tenacity.

I am grateful for your acceptance of the Hofstra Museum's offer to resolve your conservation expenses, and I hope you know of my admiration for your integrity and fairness. Incidentally, Professor Barnes was another happy recipient of your April 9 letter. She is currently engaged in preliminary work on another exhibition and publication for 17th century Dutch Art; "Ice: Wintry Pleasures in 17th Century Dutch Art" is the tentative title, and I hope the Museum will be able to fund it.


My best wishes go to you and Mrs. Bader for good health and much happiness.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "David C. Christman".

David C. Christman
Director





Dr. Alfred Bader
2961 North Shepard Avenue
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53211

April 9, 1999

Mr. David C. Christman, Director
Hofstra Museum
112 Hofstra University
Hempstead, NY 11549

Dear Mr. Christman,

Thank you so much for your thoughtful letter of March 24th and the check.

My very able conservator and good friend, Charles Munch, came back from a trip to Germany recently and I told him about the check. He then pointed out to me that not all of the work invoiced in the enclosed was for the repair of the scratch, but that he also cleaned the entire painting because to have only the surroundings of the scratch cleaned would have made it look quite unsightly. It is of course very difficult to say exactly what percentage was due to what and so I would just like to send you a check, enclosed, as my gift to your Museum.


I am certain that all of us (except perhaps Dr. Gelburd) have learned something. I have learned that I should look at every painting returned to me under UV. Professor Barnes undoubtedly wishes that she had been involved with the damage. You probably have thought to yourself that you shouldn't have followed your attorney's advice when you knew that the advice was wrong.

All is well that ends well. I have had some happy conversations and exchanges of letters with Professor Barnes and I would even consider loaning another painting to one of her exhibitions if only she was fully responsible.

With all good wishes I remain

Yours sincerely,

Alfred Bader
AB/az
Enc.
C: Professor D. Barnes



HOFSTRA UNIVERSITY



HOFSTRA MUSEUM

March 24, 1999

Dr. Alfred Bader
2961 North Shepard Avenue
Milwaukee, WI 53211

Dear Dr. Bader;

I thank you for your patience in awaiting my response to your February 12, 1999 letter in which you accept the offer from the Hofstra Museum to reimburse you for additional conservation to the Constanijn Verhout portrait you loaned to the Museum in 1988. Some actions in private, non-for-profit universities take time, not because of neglect, but because of the decision-making process, particularly with expenditures. I have requested the Accounts Payable office at the University to prepare a check for you in the amount of \$1,150.00 which you have cited as the cost to you for the restoration of your painting.


I sincerely hope this reimbursement will help restore a professional and courteous relationship between you and the Hofstra Museum. Please accept my best wishes to you and Mrs. Bader for much happiness and good health in all the seasons to come.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "David Christman".

David Christman
Director







Dr. Alfred Bader
924 East Juneau, Suite 622
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202
Phone: 414/277-0730
Fax: 414/277-0709

A Chemist Helping Chemists

March 11, 1999

Donna R. Barnes, Ed.D.
16 Sterling Place
Brooklyn, NY 11217

Dear Professor Barnes,

Thank you for allowing me to telephone you today.

The more I learn about you the more certain I become that we would have no difficulties whatever if you had known about the scratch to the Verhout when it happened.


I hope that Dr. Gelburd will not sue me for libel, though of course it would make an interesting lawsuit. Probably Mr. Christman is so overworked as Dean that that is the reason why he has not replied to my letter of February 12th. If Dr. Gelburd does not sue me, then the matter will be concluded with Mr. Christman's positive reply and my amending the story as indicated.

Enclosed please find a reproduction of my *Winter Landscape* and of two other paintings which you know well. At Sotheby's London last December there was a similar Ruisdael winter landscape, but not in good condition.

With many thanks for your help and best personal regards, I remain

Yours sincerely,

Alfred Bader
AB/az
Enc.



HOFSTRA UNIVERSITY



HOFSTRA MUSEUM

April 20, 1999

Dr. Alfred Bader
2961 North Shepard Avenue
Milwaukee, WI 53211

Dear Dr. Bader,

Your letter of April 9, 1999 was a delightful surprise, and I thank you not only for your gift to the Hofstra Museum, but also for your kind words. I realize that some of our previous correspondence has suffered from misunderstanding, but I am pleased to know that this has passed. I hope sincerely that any and all future relationships among us will be completely informed by our mutual respect for art and its cultural value. You and I have responsibility for the works of art which we hold in trust, and I suspect both of us attempt to meet that responsibility with equal tenacity.

I am grateful for your acceptance of the Hofstra Museum's offer to resolve your conservation expenses, and I hope you know of my admiration for your integrity and fairness. Incidentally, Professor Barnes was another happy recipient of your April 9 letter. She is currently engaged in preliminary work on another exhibition and publication for 17th century Dutch Art; "Ice: Wintry Pleasures in 17th Century Dutch Art" is the tentative title, and I hope the Museum will be able to fund it.


My best wishes go to you and Mrs. Bader for good health and much happiness.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "David C. Christman".

David C. Christman
Director





Exact copy of faded fax:

Hofstra University

Hofstra Museum

June 16, 1998

Dr. Alfred Bader
2961 North Shepard Avenue
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53211

Dear Dr. Bader,

Through a mutual friend, Hofstra University has learned that you may be amenable to compensation in the amount of \$300 to cover recently discovered expenses from your loan of Constantijn Verhout's "Portrait" in 1988 to the Hofstra Museum. If this is correct and if this compensation will also release any further claims from you about the Verhout loan, I will be grateful for written confirmation. Thank you.

Sincerely,


/s/


David C. Christman
Director

c: Emil V. Cianciulli, Esq.



[illegible]





Dr. Alfred Baeder
2961 North Shepard Avenue
Milwaukee, WI 53211

November 13, 1998


Dear Dr. Baeder,

Thank you for sharing with me your concerns regarding the Verhout painting. As you know, I am no longer associated with Hofstra University and left there well over five years ago. I therefore have no control over how they are now handling this matter.

However, I do want to make it very clear that it was the policy of the museum during my tenure to get permission from the lender before conservation would be done on a work of art. My remarks only reflect what the conservator told me and a report was always available to you as a lender ten years ago. I did not see the work under a UV light and conveyed to you the condition as it was reported to me by an expert. Indeed, if at any time you had requested a copy of the report, the museum, or the conservator who had impeccable credentials, would certainly have sent the report to you. Although I am not a conservator, I am a well-seasoned museum professional whose main area of expertise is "on loan" exhibitions. I would NEVER jeopardize the good will of a lender by demonstrating insincerity in my evaluation of damage. This is why the museum had insurance and why the museum paid for an outside conservator. My personal opinion is that any work damaged should ALWAYS and UNEQUIVOCALLY receive the best possible care. That was and would always be my recommendation to the University.

I must concede that ten years later I have little recollection of the details of our discussions, nor do I know what affect ten years has had on the actual conservation. Obviously, if you have any concerns or complaints these should be taken up with the University and the registrar who handled the matter, Eleanor Rait. I believe that she is still on staff at the museum and was responsible for the follow-up on these matters. Although I am happy to tell you what I remember, I assume that you would not be so reckless as to belittle my name and reputation with any innuendoes implying that I had not acted in a professional matter. Indeed, the evidence that you have supplied shows that a well-known conservator handled the painting with your approval and that the report was available ten years ago.

COUNCIL FOR CREATIVE PROJECTS
17 Main Street, Lee, MA 01238 Telephone 413-243-8030 Fax 413-243-8031



Your circulation of your complaints and reference to me in those complaints constitute professional libel. This is especially true of your "How Not To Handle An Accident In A Museum." This document purports to present a professional summary, but completely disregards the fact that I and the University used an expert to assess the damage, and referred all issues to you for assessment and decisions.


I am sorry for your concerns, but this is a Hofstra University matter and anything that I did was purely as an employee of the University and under the auspices of President James Stuart. I would assume that you need to talk directly to him or to the individuals who actually handled this exhibition- the curator, registrar or conservator. Please cease general dissemination of your complaints with my name therein immediately.

Sincerely,



Gail Gelburd, Ph.D.
Executive Director

CC: Peter Brewer, Esq.



HOFSTRA UNIVERSITY



HOFSTRA MUSEUM

November 11, 1997

Dr. Alfred Eader
2961 North Shepard Avenue
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53211

Dear Dr. Eader:

I am in receipt of your letter of November 4 regarding a request for information on the conservation of Constantijn Verhout's *Portrait of Cornelius Abrahamz Graswinkel*.

Enclosed is a typed copy taken from the conservator's handwritten assessment and a copy of his invoice. The only photograph we can supply is the accompanying Polaroid (with magnification the scratch can be seen).

If there is anything more about which I can be of assistance do not hesitate to call at 516 463-5672 or fax 516 463-4031.

Sincerely,

Mary Wakeford

Mary Wakeford
Assistant to Director

Enc.





HOFSTRA MUSEUM

REPORT ON CONDITION AND RECOMMENDATION FOR TREATMENT

I was requested by Gail Gelburd, Director of the Hofstra Museum to examine the following painting and submit a report as described above:

Title: Portrait of Cornelis Abrahamsz Graswinckel
Artist: C. Verhout
Medium: oil on wood panel
Size: 13 1/2 x 11"

CONDITION: (PREVIOUS CONDITION)

The painting appears to be in good condition. The wood panel is sound without any visible checks or cracks. The paint film is in good condition as well. The varnish appears to be without discoloration. There are (as described in the initial report on receiving painting) 2 tiny indentations along the bottom center edge of the painting. There were several small indentations near edge of painting in the top and center left edges. The painting along its left edge appears to be away from the rabbet of the frame and not behind it. The painting is held in its frame by 3 metal brackets screwed into the frame exerting pressure on the back of the panel to secure the panel behind the rabbet of the frame. There are 3 nails with cork attached holding the panel in the other 3 positions.


(PRESENT CONDITION)

All conditions previously mentioned prevail with the exception of an irregular fine surface scratch which is visible on the face of the subject. It is 1 1/4" in length running vertically through the bottom of the fur hat over the inner part of the left eye through the left lobe of the nose across the lips ending at the edge of the beard to the right of the goatee. It is a surface scratch and portions of it are very shallow with only some parts affecting the paint film.

RECOMMENDATION FOR TREATMENT

The scratch should be treated by first returning varnish to the abraded areas to return the color there. The deeper part of the scratch as needed should be inpainted and varnished locally and where it might be necessary, filled with gesso putty and leveled to the surrounding area, inpainted with plastic pigments, varnished with synthetic acrylic resin by spraying to create an even final surface.

(TWO RECOMMENDED SUGGESTIONS FOR TREATMENT OF FRAMING CONTINGENT



Mervin Honig
Fine Art Conservation

64 Jane Court
Westbury, N. Y. 11590
516-334-6153

June 24, 1988

To: Hofstra University
Hempstead, N.Y. 11550

For Conservation Services Rendered:

1. ARTIST: Cornelius Pieters Bega
TITLE: The Alchemist (1660)
MEDIUM: oil on canvas (glue-lined)
SIZE: 16 1/4" x 15"

Treatment: Reformed separated varnish and resurfaced
entire painting to achieve even surface coat.

Cost.....\$225.00

2. ARTIST: Constantijn Verhout
TITLE: Portrait of Cornelius Abrahamaz Graswinkel
MEDIUM: oil on wood panel
SIZE: 13 1/2" x 11"

Treatment: Repaired scratch, filled, inpainted area
of scratch, filled and inpainted dent on
bottom area of painting and resurfaced
entire painting for even coat.

Cost.....\$250.00 *
Total.....\$475.00

* Cost includes initial examination
at Gallery, pickup and delivery.



HOFSTRA HEMPSTEAD, NEW YORK 11550
UNIVERSITY

Hofstra Museum




Dr. Alfred Bader
2961 North Shepard Avenue
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53211



OFFICES LOCATED AT THE EMILY LOWE GALLERY
HOFSTRA MUSEUM • HOFSTRA UNIVERSITY
HEMPSTEAD • NEW YORK 11550

AUTO





From the desk of Donna R. Barnes, Ed.D.
16 Sterling Place Brooklyn, New York 11217

24 October 1999
Dr. Alfred Bader
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202

FAX: 414 277 0709

Dear Alfred:

Thank you and Isabel for a most pleasant weekend. It was a real pleasure to get to know both of you more intimately and to get to know your wonderful collection of paintings. It was also nice to spend some time with Christiaan Vogelaar.

I have received your reproduction of the Verhout, and look forward to getting the slide, photo, and information on the Anthonie Palamedesz when you locate it. I assume that was probably done c.1632. It bears resemblance to the *Company Dining and Making Music* in the Mauritshuis (as illustrated in Bob Haak's *The Golden Age*, plate 697, page 326).


Your assurance that you will get in touch with all the people with whom you initially shared the story about your dispute with the Hofstra Museum comes as most welcome news. I will share it with David Christman later this week, when I go out to the university. He was very pleased to hear of your willingness to lend the Jacob van Ruisdael picture. And you can rest assured that he and I will pay very careful attention to the way your paintings are handled when they are in Hofstra's custody.

As you and Isabel know, based on Bill Robinson's response a while ago, I feel that unless people understand there has been an amicable and honorable resolution of the situation, it will be almost impossible for me to go forward and request paintings and drawings for Hofstra's winter landscape show. Because we must get some loan requests out quickly, I hope that your letter will also share the news that you are prepared to lend the splendid Van Ruisdael "Winter Landscape" to Hofstra.

Do you think the Jan Davidsz. de Heem *Still Life* at the Milwaukee Art Museum is in good shape? (I know you have reservations about their Pieter Claesz.) If so, I would like to ask the Albany Institute of History and Art to request the De Heem and the Hendrick Bloemaert (formerly in your collection) for the *Matters of Taste* exhibition. You can anticipate that a request will come to you from Albany for the loan of the Verhout, Palamedesz, and Claesz some time next month.

With warmest wishes for you and Isabel,





August 12, 1999

Dr. William W. Robinson
Curator of Drawings
Harvard University Art Museums/Fogg Art Museum
32 Quincy Street
Cambridge, MA 02138

Dear Bill,


I have known for a great many years that you are one of my best art historian friends and I often look at your photograph next to Sumowski's and Otto Naumann's in my autobiography.

Of course I do not need confirmation of that, but if I did it would be given by your letter to Donna Barnes of July 20th.

Donna has sent you a subsequent correspondence between David Christman and myself. Of course he made a serious mistake taking his attorney's advice and writing that I had no case. But, Bill, we all make mistakes and perhaps we should just advise Hofstra to change attorneys.

David Christman has apologized but the Director of the old regime, Dr. Gail Gelburd, has remained quite unrepentant and as you will see from the enclosed correspondence, has actually threatened to sue me for libel. I have been hoping she would, because it would make a very interesting case, though the negative side of such a fight would be that it would also involve Hofstra.

Isabel and I much look forward to Donna's visit to us the weekend of October 16th and I have told her that I will actually be willing to loan paintings to her exhibits provided that she herself would be responsible.



Dr. William Robinson
August 12, 1999
Page Two


You know from my autobiography that I enjoy fights. Just now I am involved in an interesting fight with the Amsterdam police, described in the enclosed. I have had to buy *Rembrandt's Mother* back and I now much enjoy it in our house.

I wish that you and your family would visit us before long to inspect many new acquisitions. As you know, we have two guest rooms in the attic and while you have to climb dark stairs, the beds are comfortable.

With many, many thanks for your thoughtfulness and best regards from house to house I remain

Yours sincerely,

Alfred Bader
AB/az
Enc.





ALFRED BADER FINE ARTS

DR. ALFRED BADER

ESTABLISHED 1961

October 25, 1999

Dr. William W. Robinson
Curator of Drawings
Harvard University Art Museums/Fogg Art Museum
32 Quincy Street
Cambridge, MA 02138

Dear Bill,

Recently I wrote to you about damage to one of my paintings, a portrait of a brewer by Constantyn Verhout, while exhibited at the Hofstra University Art Museum.

I am happy to be able to tell you that my argument with the Museum has been resolved.

My conservator friends, Jane Furchgott and Charles Munch, were able to remove the filling which looked so ghastly under UV, and which proved to be far too large. Now, properly restored, you can barely see a tiny scratch under UV. The Hofstra Museum paid for that improvement.

I have Professor Donna Barnes to thank for her help to resolve this.

She has asked me to loan my small Jacob van Ruisdael winter landscape to a Hofstra Museum winter landscape show and I have agreed, provided it is hand carried and under Professor Barnes' supervision.

With best regards I remain

Yours sincerely,

Alfred Bader
AB/az

By Appointment Only
ASTOR HOTEL SUITE 622
924 EAST JUNEAU AVENUE
MILWAUKEE WISCONSIN USA 53202
TEL 414 277-0730 FAX 414 277-0709






This small (13½ x 11 inches, on panel) portrait by Constantyn Verhout of a Dutch brewer, Cornelis Abrahamsz. Graswinckel (1582-1664) is one of our chemist-collector's favorite paintings. Graswinckel was a Delft brewer who was nicknamed 'The Delft Israelite' because of his looks and garb. In a home for old people long supported by Graswinckel's family in Delft, there is a much more formal portrait of Graswinckel, wearing a skull-cap rather than a fur hat; there, too, the man looks kindly and contemplative. He was "Kerkmeester" of the "Nieuwe Kerk" in Delft, where Vermeer was baptized, as well as the "Oude Kerk" where Vermeer was buried. Both churches have of course become famous far beyond Delft through being two focal points in that artist's marvelous View of Delft in the Mauritshuis.

What a time to have lived. Graswinckel is likely to have known Carel Fabritius and Vermeer personally, and one wonders why Verhout, an artist from Gouda, painted the brewer. If only paintings could talk and we could know of the circumstances when this was painted, probably right in Graswinckel's brewery, "De Drie Ackeren" in the Voorstraat in Delft.

Today only two paintings by the artist are known with certainty. The other is of a student asleep beside a pile of books, now in the museum in Stockholm. But an artist so competent must have painted many other works - unfortunately unscrupulous dealers often removed signatures to allow attributions to more famous artists. Thus even Vermeer was forgotten for 200 years! In an inventory of a later Graswinckel, Engelbert Graswinckel in 1738 in Delft, there is mentioned a still life by C. Verhout, and in the collection of Jacob Touw at Delft in 1682 there is listed an "out patroontje van C. Verhout", a description that might well fit this painting were it not unlikely that Graswinckel's identity would have been forgotten within 18 years. In both known paintings the still life is most beautiful - foreshadowing Chardin; in fact both the old man here and the student in Stockholm are as close to still life as human portraits can be. As Mr. Anthony Clark, the Director of the Minneapolis Institute of Arts, said when speaking of this painting: "The Verhout portrait of an unpretentious brewer is as beautiful a piece of still life painting, and as original, daring, and elegant a work of art as anything I know. It is also, for all its intense simplicity and tiny format, as classical and potent as the finest Greek statues of the late archaic and early classical period. It is utterly clean and fresh, and as moving and great a piece of human creation, technique and insight as possible to make."

In art as in many other aspects of life, there is real beauty in simplicity. Take our goals at Aldrich: To become the best supplier of fine chemicals. Best does not mean the largest or the fanciest, just the most reliable in quality, the best in service, truly craftsmen in chemistry.

Aldrich Chemical Company, Inc.



Dr. Alfred Bader
2961 North Shepard Avenue
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53211

November 3, 1997

Dr. Gail Gelburd
Director
Hofstra Museum
Hofstra University
Hempstead, NY 11550

Dear Dr. Gelburd:

In 1988 you had an exhibition entitled People at Work: Seventeenth Century Dutch Art which was held from April 17-June 15.

Unfortunately I was not able to visit the exhibition because I had to be in Europe at the time.


I loaned you seven of my paintings, some of these among the very best in my collection.

During my stay in Europe, my secretary Ms. Marilyn Hassman, was informed by your museum that there had been, what was described as, very slight damage to two of my paintings and one of the frames, but that this was so slight that it would be professionally and carefully restored at your museum.

Unfortunately I did not ask for photographs before the restoration as I thought that the damage must be so slight as to be immaterial. On my return I was so overworked that I did not have a chance to examine the paintings under UV.

One of the frames, that of the Vrel, was very badly banged up but of course I did not want to make a fuss about a frame of no great consequence.

Recently my conservator, Mr. Charles Munch, examined a number of paintings in my collection and on looking at the painting, No. 21 in your exhibition, the work by Constantijn Verhout, it is clear on UV inspection that the face of the old man has a sharp and long scratch which is very clear under UV, but not in ordinary light.




Dr. Gail Gelburd
November 3, 1997
Page two


My conservator has asked me to request from you the condition report from your conservator, giving the details of the work done and a photograph taken before the restoration was done.

I look forward to hearing from you, and remain,

Yours sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Anna Baer". The signature is fluid and somewhat stylized, with a long horizontal stroke at the end.

AB/nik



BOSTON COLLEGE

Fine Arts Department, Devlin Hall, Chestnut Hill, Ma. 02167

*Art History
Studio Art
Film
(617) 552-4295*

January 3, 1994

Dear Mrs. Bader,


It has taken me a while to recover my wits from the HNA Conference in October, and now that I have finally come to my senses I recall my promise to send a list of the participants in the Still Life workshop to everyone. Here it is, with special thanks to Susan Kuretsky and Alan Chong for their presentations and to all for their lively participation. I enjoyed our workshop very much and I hope that we can find a way for these kinds of sessions to be part of HNA every time.

Trusting that you are enjoying happy holidays,


Sincerely,



Kenneth M. Craig
Assoc. Professor
History of Art



- Bader, Alfred
2961 N. Shepard
Milwaukee, Wisc. 53211
- Bader, Isabel
2961 N. Shepard
Milwaukee, Wisc. 53211
- Baer, Ronni
High Museum of Art
1280 Peachtree St., N.E.
Atlanta, Ga 30309
- Carroll, Margaret D.
24 Brimmer St.
Boston, Mass. 02108
- Chong, Alan
Cleveland Museum of Art
11150 East Blvd.
Cleveland, Ohio 44106
- Chu, Petra ten-Doesschate
Seton Hall Univ.
South Orange, NJ 07079
- Davies, Alice
75 Woodchester Drive
Weston, Mass. 02193
- Decoteau, Pamela Hibbs
Southern Illinois Univ.
669 E. Vandalia
Edwardsville, Illinois 62025
- Goedde, Lawrence O.
University of Virginia
Charlottesville, VA 22903
- Handwerker, Sarah
82 Munroe St., Apt. 4C
Somerville, Mass. 02143
- Havinga, Anne E.
Museum of Fine Arts
465 Huntington Ave.
Boston, Mass 02115
- Honig, Elizabeth
Tufts University
11 Talbot Ave.
Medford, Mass. 02155
- Houghton, Charlotte.
306 Allen Ruffin Ave.
Hillsborough, NC 27278
- Koeltzsch, Erika
Busch Reisinger Museum
Harvard Univ.
32 Quincy St.
Cambridge, Mass 02158
- Konowitz, Ellen
Vanderbilt Univ.
900 19th Ave. South Apt. 401
Nashville, Tenn. 37212
- Koslow, Susan
Brooklyn College, CUNY
13 Esmond Place
Tenafly, N.J. 07670
- Kuretsky, Susan Donahue
Vassar College
Box 114
Poughkeepsie, NY 12601
- Lowenthal, Anne
340 Riverside Dr.
NY, NY 10025
- Minty, Nancy T.
1584 First Ave., #3-N
New York, NY 10028
- Rudy, Kate
2811 Schley St.
Erie, Pa. 16508
- Schrader, Kathleen
Virginia Museum of Art
407 N. Davis Ave.
Richmond, VA 23220
- Smith, Pamela H.
Pomona College
551 N. College Ave,
Claremont, CA 91711
- Sullivan, Scott
Univ. of North Texas
School of Visual Arts
Denton, TX 76203
- Wages, Sarah M.
4801 26th St.
Arlington, Va. 22207
- Yapou-Kromholz, Yonna
2530 Trophy Lane
Reston, VA 22091





HOFSTRA MUSEUM

May 26, 1988

Dr. Alfred Bader
Chairman
Aldrich Chemical Company
940 West Saint Paul Avenue
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53233

Dear Dr. Bader:

The exhibition at Hofstra is going extremely well, breaking all of our attendance records. I have enclosed some of the reviews that have come out in order to give you some additional insight about the exhibition.

However, I do have to also write about a small but important problem concerning one of your paintings. Our staff is well-trained to carefully observe all paintings in an exhibition constantly and note any and all changes. We noticed on "The Alchemist" by Cornelis Pietersz. Bega, a slight change in its condition. In an area of about 1/2" x 1/16" near the top right corner of the painting, the varnish is separating. Our conservator, who is on retainer, confirmed our opinions. He has suggested that this can happen from even slight environmental changes especially if the varnish is new. He has informed us that it is a minor task to restore it---application of a mild solvent in the area rebonds the varnish.

If you would like us to take care of it, we can do so immediately, but need permission from you in writing. If you have any questions the conservator, Mervin Honig, can be reached at (516) 334-6157 or of course, you can call me at (516) 560-5672.

We are truly sorry for any concern or anxiety this may evoke, but wish to reassure you that it is minor and can be taken care of easily.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely,



Gail Gelburd
Director





19

- 19 Abraham Storck
1644-c 1704, Amsterdam
View of Amsterdam oil on canvas
13 1/2 X 20 1/2 in
signed lower left, A. Storck fecit
Museum of Fine Arts, Springfield, Massachusetts, The James
Philip Gray Collection, 66 10

Storck was a member of the Amsterdam artistic community who primarily painted marines and naval battles. This harbor scene is busy with large trading ships and smaller boats transferring goods or transporting people. The foreground is occupied with two well dressed burghers and their three female companions strolling along the shoreline, a fisherman in his boat, two barelegged men who are chatting, and two others who are seated on the shoreline, oblivious to three swimmers in the water.

The same shoreline vantage point for observing the Amsterdam harbor, bustling with a man o'war amidst fishing boats and yachts, was featured in Storck's "Ships on a Calm Sea" (c 1684) at the Rijksmuseum Twenthe, in Enschede. Storck signed and dated (1684) a third painting which offers a view of Amsterdam harbor activities with boats at the dockside unloading fresh fish from wicker creels, also in the collection at Enschede.



20

- 20 David Teniers II
Antwerp 1610-1690 Brussels
Winter Landscape, c 1660
oil on canvas
41 1/2 X 67 in
signed lower right, DTF
Hofstra Museum, Gift of Mrs. Blanche P Billings Vander Poel
1949, HU 49 1

Teniers, named after his painter father, depicts a swineherd driving two pigs along the wintery road. The months of November and December were conventionally a period of time in which hogs were butchered. (See Dusart's mezzotint for November, cat. no. 52.) His output was prodigious, close to 2000 works. He had become an independent master by 1632, court painter and keeper of Archduke Leopold Wilhelm's picture gallery in the Spanish Netherlands by 1647, and founder of the Antwerp Academy which opened in 1664.

Teniers' "A Winter Scene with a Man About to Kill a Pig" is among the Old Master paintings at the Dulwich Picture Gallery. The two men holding long poles on the pathway behind the Hofstra swineherd painting are virtually identical to those in the Dulwich painting. He also used the image of butchering hogs in his scene of "Winter" at the Noord Brabant's Museum, 's Hertogenbosch.

Teniers, a Flemish painter, had considerable influence on a number of his Dutch peers, especially those working Rotterdam, (see Schneeman, 1982). He was a master of rural genre scenes.


- 21 Constantijn Verhout
Portrait of Cornelis Abrahamsz. Graswinckel, 166(?)
oil on panel
13 1/2 x 11 in
signed, C Verhout
Isabel and Alfred Bader Collection

Graswinckel (1582-1664) owned the brewery *De Drie Aekeren* in the Voorstraat in Delft. He also served as *kerkmeester* at both the Oude Kerk and Nieuwe Kerk in Delft. The identification of Graswinckel as the subject of this portrait has been made, in part, based on a portrait of Cornelis Abrahamsz. Graswinckel in the *Hofje van Gratie*, an old-aged women's pension house in Delft which the Graswinckel family supported. The artist is not particularly well-known. He is known to have lived in Gouda in 1666 and 1667. Only one other dated work by Verhout, a dated (1663) painting showing a student with a pile of books, is presently known; it is located at the Nationalmuseum in Stockholm.

This portrait, with the brewer's calm eyes looking downward as he reflectively holds a stein of beer, is one of considerable beauty. The texture of his beard, the fur on his hat, and the raised textures on the surface of the pottery stein are palpable. Anthony Clark, then Director of the Minneapolis Institute of Arts, said of the portrait when it was exhibited in 1967 at the Kalamazoo Institute of Arts that it "is as beautiful a piece of still life painting, and as original, daring, and elegant a work of art as anything I know. It is utterly clean and fresh."



21





DR. ALFRED BADER CBE

2A Holmesdale Road
Bexhill-on-Sea

East Sussex TN39 3QE
England

Phone/Fax: 01424-222223

Dr. Paul Gelburd

Executive Director

Council for Creative Projects.

Dec 10 1998

Dear Dr. Gelburd:


Your most remarkable letter dated November 13 reached me in England on December 7th, Pearl Harbor Day - remarkable for what it omits. You are writing about a conservator whose report you say was available ten years ago. It was available to you, but its very existence was not revealed to me. You fail to mention the serious discrepancies between your description to me of the damage as "a slight scratch only to the finish of the varnish" and your conservator's report which led to the substantial restoration so visible under UV. Do reread the conservator's report. Had you sent it to me (as you sent the Beza report describing a much lighter problem) I would, of course, have asked my own conservator for advice. I learned of the existence of this report for the first time in 1997!

My communication simply states the facts. If there implies less than professional handling on your part, perhaps you should re-examine your handling this, rather than my statements.

Despite the serious damage to my painting, I hope not to go to court, but rather to advise the art community of what had occurred. Please be assured, however, that if you or any other party involved choose otherwise, I will respond, including a full claim for damages.

Sincerely

Alfred Bader





FAX FROM

P.S. Ann sent a copy of this letter to Prof. Barnes at Jopetta.

DR. ALFRED R. BADER
White Gables
2A Holmesdale Road
Bexhill-on-Sea
East Sussex TN39 3QE
Telephone/Fax: 0424-22-22-23

A Chemist Helping Chemists

Date: Dec 7 98

Page 1 of 3

To: Marvin Keitner
Fax:

Dear Marvin:

I have just received Dr. Gelburd's astounding letter of Nov. 13


Please ask Ann to give you the entire package "How not to handle an accident in a museum". That will show you how mistaken Dr. Gelburd is. My first reaction is to reply that I have sent that package to many museum people, will continue to do so and would welcome the libel suit threatened in her letter.

where could she sue me?

You know that I have always enjoyed a fight when I thought I was right.

Kind regards

Alfred





DR. ALFRED BADER CBE
2A Holmesdale Road
Bexhill-on-Sea
East Sussex TN39 3QE
England
Phone/Fax: 01424-222223

December 10, 1998

Dr. Gail Gelburd
Executive Director
Council for Creative Projects

Dear Dr. Gelburd:

Your most remarkable letter dated November 13 reached me in England on December 7th, Pearl Harbor Day – remarkable for what it omits. You are writing about a conservator whose report you say was available ten years ago. It was available to you, but its very existence was not revealed to me. You fail to mention the serious discrepancies between your description to me of the damage as “a slight scratch only to the varnish of the Verhout” and your conservator’s report which led to the substantial restoration so visible under UV. Do re-read the conservator’s report. Had you sent it to me (as you sent the Bega report describing a much slighter problem) I would, of course, have asked my own conservator for advice. I learned of the existence of this report for the first time in 1997!

My communication simply states the facts. If these imply less than professional handling on your part, perhaps you should re-examine your handling this, rather than my statements.

Despite the serious damage to my painting, I chose not to go to Court, but rather to advise the art community of what had occurred. Please be assured, however, that if you or any other party involved choose otherwise, I will respond, including a full claim for damages.

Sincerely,

Alfred Bader
c: Marvin Klitsner, Esq.



Dr. Alfred Bader
2961 North Shepard Avenue
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53211

December 29, 1998

Dr. Gail Gelburd
Executive Director
Council for Creative Projects
17 Main Street
Lee, MA 01238

Dear Dr. Gelburd,


I was astounded to receive your letter of November 13th
which was forwarded to me to England and to which I replied
on December 10th.

As I have not heard from you, I wonder whether my letter
has gotten lost in the Christmas mail or you were unable
perchance to read my handwriting.

Hence, I enclose a copy of my letter of December 10th, now
typed.


I look forward to hearing from you and remain

Yours sincerely,




Alfred Bader
AB/az

c: Marvin Klitsner, Esq.







Dr. Alfred Bader
2961 North Shepard Avenue
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53211

December 29, 1998

Dr. Gail Gelburd
Executive Director
Council for Creative Projects
17 Main Street
Lee, MA 01238

Dear Dr. Gelburd,

I was astounded to receive your letter of November 13th
which was forwarded to me to England and to which I replied
on December 10th.

As I have not heard from you, I wonder whether my letter
has gotten lost in the Christmas mail or you were unable
perchance to read my handwriting.

Hence, I enclose a copy of my letter of December 10th, now
typed.


I look forward to hearing from you and remain

Yours sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Alfred Bader".

Alfred Bader
AB/az

c: Marvin Klitsner, Esq.





Dr. Alfred Bader
924 East Juneau, Suite 622
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202
Phone: 414/277-0730
Fax: 414/277-0709
E-mail: baderfa@execpc.com

A Chemist Helping Chemists

August 12, 1999

Dr. William W. Robinson
Curator of Drawings
Harvard University Art Museums/Fogg Art Museum
32 Quincy Street
Cambridge, MA 02138

Dear Bill,


I have known for a great many years that you are one of my best art historian friends and I often look at your photograph next to Sumowski's and Otto Naumann's in my autobiography.

Of course I do not need confirmation of that, but if I did it would be given by your letter to Donna Barnes of July 20th.

Donna has sent you a subsequent correspondence between David Christman and myself. Of course he made a serious mistake taking his attorney's advice and writing that I had no case. But, Bill, we all make mistakes and perhaps we should just advise Hofstra to change attorneys.

David Christman has apologized but the Director of the old regime, Dr. Gail Gelburd, has remained quite unrepentant and as you will see from the enclosed correspondence, has actually threatened to sue me for libel. I have been hoping she would, because it would make a very interesting case, though the negative side of such a fight would be that it would also involve Hofstra.

Isabel and I much look forward to Donna's visit to us the weekend of October 16th and I have told her that I will actually be willing to loan paintings to her exhibits provided that she herself would be responsible.





Dr. William Robinson
August 12, 1999
Page Two


You know from my autobiography that I enjoy fights. Just now I am involved in an interesting fight with the Amsterdam police, described in the enclosed. I have had to buy *Rembrandt's Mother* back and I now much enjoy it in our house.

I wish that you and your family would visit us before long to inspect many new acquisitions. As you know, we have two guest rooms in the attic and while you have to climb dark stairs, the beds are comfortable.

With many, many thanks for your thoughtfulness and best regards from house to house I remain

Yours sincerely,

Alfred Bader
AB/az
Enc.



Donna R. Barnes, Ed.D.

1000 ...
1000 ...

1000 ...

1000 ...

1000 ...

1000 ...

1000 ...
1000 ...

1000 ...


1000 ...

1000 ...
1000 ...
1000 ...

1000 ...

1000 ...

1000 ...



THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

PHILOSOPHY DEPARTMENT

PHILOSOPHY 101

LECTURE NOTES

BY [Name]

DATE [Date]

1. Introduction

2. The Philosophy of Language

3. The Philosophy of Mind

4. The Philosophy of Action

5. The Philosophy of Law

6. The Philosophy of Politics

7. The Philosophy of Economics

8. The Philosophy of Science

9. The Philosophy of Religion

10. The Philosophy of Art

11. The Philosophy of Education

12. The Philosophy of History

13. The Philosophy of Social Science

14. The Philosophy of Medicine

15. The Philosophy of Environment

16. The Philosophy of Technology

17. The Philosophy of Gender

18. The Philosophy of Race

19. The Philosophy of Disability

20. The Philosophy of Aging

21. The Philosophy of Death

22. The Philosophy of Life

23. The Philosophy of Love

24. The Philosophy of Friendship

25. The Philosophy of Family

26. The Philosophy of Community

27. The Philosophy of Society

28. The Philosophy of Culture

29. The Philosophy of Civilization

30. The Philosophy of Progress

31. The Philosophy of the Future

32. The Philosophy of the Past

33. The Philosophy of the Present

34. The Philosophy of the Self

35. The Philosophy of the Other

36. The Philosophy of the World

37. The Philosophy of the Universe

38. The Philosophy of the Cosmos

39. The Philosophy of the Earth

40. The Philosophy of the Sky

41. The Philosophy of the Sea

42. The Philosophy of the Land

43. The Philosophy of the Air

44. The Philosophy of the Fire

45. The Philosophy of the Water

46. The Philosophy of the Earth

47. The Philosophy of the Sky

48. The Philosophy of the Sea

49. The Philosophy of the Land

50. The Philosophy of the Air

51. The Philosophy of the Fire

52. The Philosophy of the Water

53. The Philosophy of the Earth

54. The Philosophy of the Sky

55. The Philosophy of the Sea

56. The Philosophy of the Land

57. The Philosophy of the Air

58. The Philosophy of the Fire

59. The Philosophy of the Water

60. The Philosophy of the Earth

61. The Philosophy of the Sky

62. The Philosophy of the Sea

63. The Philosophy of the Land

64. The Philosophy of the Air

65. The Philosophy of the Fire

66. The Philosophy of the Water

67. The Philosophy of the Earth

68. The Philosophy of the Sky

69. The Philosophy of the Sea

70. The Philosophy of the Land

71. The Philosophy of the Air

72. The Philosophy of the Fire

73. The Philosophy of the Water

74. The Philosophy of the Earth

75. The Philosophy of the Sky

76. The Philosophy of the Sea

77. The Philosophy of the Land

78. The Philosophy of the Air

79. The Philosophy of the Fire

80. The Philosophy of the Water

81. The Philosophy of the Earth

82. The Philosophy of the Sky

83. The Philosophy of the Sea

84. The Philosophy of the Land

85. The Philosophy of the Air

86. The Philosophy of the Fire

87. The Philosophy of the Water

88. The Philosophy of the Earth

89. The Philosophy of the Sky

90. The Philosophy of the Sea

91. The Philosophy of the Land

92. The Philosophy of the Air

93. The Philosophy of the Fire

94. The Philosophy of the Water

95. The Philosophy of the Earth

96. The Philosophy of the Sky

97. The Philosophy of the Sea

98. The Philosophy of the Land

99. The Philosophy of the Air

100. The Philosophy of the Fire

101. The Philosophy of the Water

102. The Philosophy of the Earth

103. The Philosophy of the Sky

104. The Philosophy of the Sea

105. The Philosophy of the Land

106. The Philosophy of the Air

107. The Philosophy of the Fire

108. The Philosophy of the Water

109. The Philosophy of the Earth

110. The Philosophy of the Sky

111. The Philosophy of the Sea

112. The Philosophy of the Land

113. The Philosophy of the Air

114. The Philosophy of the Fire

115. The Philosophy of the Water

116. The Philosophy of the Earth

117. The Philosophy of the Sky

118. The Philosophy of the Sea

119. The Philosophy of the Land

120. The Philosophy of the Air

121. The Philosophy of the Fire

122. The Philosophy of the Water

123. The Philosophy of the Earth

124. The Philosophy of the Sky

125. The Philosophy of the Sea

126. The Philosophy of the Land

127. The Philosophy of the Air

128. The Philosophy of the Fire

129. The Philosophy of the Water

130. The Philosophy of the Earth

131. The Philosophy of the Sky

132. The Philosophy of the Sea

133. The Philosophy of the Land

134. The Philosophy of the Air

135. The Philosophy of the Fire

136. The Philosophy of the Water

137. The Philosophy of the Earth

138. The Philosophy of the Sky

139. The Philosophy of the Sea

140. The Philosophy of the Land

141. The Philosophy of the Air

142. The Philosophy of the Fire

143. The Philosophy of the Water

144. The Philosophy of the Earth

145. The Philosophy of the Sky

146. The Philosophy of the Sea

147. The Philosophy of the Land

148. The Philosophy of the Air

149. The Philosophy of the Fire

150. The Philosophy of the Water

151. The Philosophy of the Earth

152. The Philosophy of the Sky

153. The Philosophy of the Sea

154. The Philosophy of the Land

155. The Philosophy of the Air

156. The Philosophy of the Fire

157. The Philosophy of the Water

158. The Philosophy of the Earth

159. The Philosophy of the Sky

160. The Philosophy of the Sea

161. The Philosophy of the Land

162. The Philosophy of the Air

163. The Philosophy of the Fire

164. The Philosophy of the Water

165. The Philosophy of the Earth

166. The Philosophy of the Sky

167. The Philosophy of the Sea

168. The Philosophy of the Land

169. The Philosophy of the Air

170. The Philosophy of the Fire

171. The Philosophy of the Water

172. The Philosophy of the Earth

173. The Philosophy of the Sky

174. The Philosophy of the Sea

175. The Philosophy of the Land

176. The Philosophy of the Air

177. The Philosophy of the Fire

178. The Philosophy of the Water

179. The Philosophy of the Earth

180. The Philosophy of the Sky

181. The Philosophy of the Sea

182. The Philosophy of the Land

183. The Philosophy of the Air

184. The Philosophy of the Fire

185. The Philosophy of the Water

186. The Philosophy of the Earth

187. The Philosophy of the Sky

188. The Philosophy of the Sea

189. The Philosophy of the Land

190. The Philosophy of the Air

191. The Philosophy of the Fire

192. The Philosophy of the Water

193. The Philosophy of the Earth

194. The Philosophy of the Sky

195. The Philosophy of the Sea


196. The Philosophy of the Land

197. The Philosophy of the Air

198. The Philosophy of the Fire

199. The Philosophy of the Water


200. The Philosophy of the Earth



I sent an information packet to Dr. Ira Kukin, my friend from Harvard chemistry, who knew many people at Hofstra. He pursued the matter with a Hofstra board member, Mr. Frank Zarb, who took up the matter with David Christman. The comment was made that Al Bader was riled up (if only they knew how much) and it would be best to settle the dispute. On June 16, 1998 David Christman offered me \$300.00. Charles Munch was charging me \$1150.00 to conserve the painting properly. The \$300.00 offer was a slap in the face. We had another 100 photographs made to send along with the horror story.

Many of the art historians I contacted sent replies to me, some to Hofstra. The strongest and most helpful came from a very good friend, Dr. William Robinson at Harvard who replied to Dr. Barnes' request for loans of paintings on July 20, 1999 as follows:

"This is no reflection on your work, but I have to tell you that I cannot recommend to Mr. and Mrs. Abrams that they grant loans to the Hofstra Museum in light of the museum's unprofessional handling of the damage to Dr. Bader's Verhout in the *People at Work* show. I have seen the correspondence on this matter, which records the museum's succession of mistakes, cover-ups, and evasion of responsibility from the time of the exhibition in 1988 until last year. It would be convenient if we could blame the old régime, but one of the worst documents in this exchange is a 1998 letter to Dr. Bader from David Christman. I feel sorry for you, because it was



not in any way your responsibility, but the record of this incident is so appalling that I would not send Fogg drawings to Hofstra, nor could I recommend that the Abrams' drawings be exhibited there."

It was Professor Barnes who kept working with David Christman, Hofstra University and me, trying to find an acceptable solution. She surprised me by telling me that David Christman was actually a good human being. She told me that his response to my claim was at the direction of the University's lawyer, Emil Cianciulli, who said my claim had no merit. I accused David Christman of hiding behind Professor Barnes' skirts, for he never gave me the courtesy of a personal letter or a phone call or even a "we're sorry". I told Professor Barnes that after much thought I had decided not to sue Hofstra for damages. Charles Munch had completed the restoration to my satisfaction and at my expense. While I enjoy a good fight, especially when I am unequivocally right, I preferred to keep sending the information packets cautioning art collectors rather than initiating a lengthy lawsuit.

On October 6, 1998 I sent one of my information packets to Dr. Gail Gelburd, the former Director of the Hofstra Museum, now the Executive Director for the Council for Creative Projects in Lee, Massachusetts. She wrote on November 13, accusing me of professional libel and urging me immediately to cease general dissemination of my complaints containing her name. She, as Director of the Hofstra Museum at the time of the damages,



was only an employee of Hofstra University, and my misfortunes were clearly a University matter, to be addressed and resolved by them. This was certainly not her problem she believed.

It seemed as though I might soon be on the brink of a lawsuit, but I was confident that all of my statements pertaining to the former Director were truthful, and stated only the facts. I wrote Dr. Gelburd of my decision not to take Hofstra University to Court, but if she or any other party chose otherwise, I would proceed with a full claim for damages.

Professor Barnes ultimately prevailed upon David Christman and me to find a happier solution. David Christman wrote me on December 9, explaining his response as directed by the University attorney. He apologized for the damage to my Verhout and offered full reimbursement of the conservation fees I had paid Charles Munch, agreeing that it was the Museum's obligation to conserve the work in an agreeable manner. It seems that Professor Barnes was right about David Christman being a good human being after all. His extremely cordial letter unruffled my tail feathers – it was time for both of us to end this nonsense.

Actually, there was a real silver lining to this affair. Charles Munch found that Mervin Honig, Hofstra's conservator, had used too much gesso and overpaint which was so visible under UV. Now, properly restored, the scratch is no longer visible under UV. And with the entire painting cleaned, it looks far better than it did before. ^(fig 2) Charles pointed out that his charge of



\$1150.00 was not only for the repair of the scratch, but also included cleaning the entire painting. And so I returned \$500 to Hofstra University on April 9, 1999.

Naturally I informed the art historians to whom I had written about this happy ending.

Dr. Barnes later asked me to loan two of my paintings, a Pieter Claesz still life of 1642 and the now beautifully cleaned Verhout to an exhibition entitled *A Matter of Taste* at the Albany Institute of History & Art in 2002. Donna had visited us in Milwaukee in October 1999. We had become friends and of course I consented to the loan and told her that I would even loan my fine Jacob van Ruisdael winter landscape to a Hofstra University Dutch winter landscape exhibition if she were responsible for that exhibition.

The Verhout looked beautiful in Albany though not in the really well written catalog because many of the color reproductions were off color - the Verhout looked a sickly green. The catalog was manufactured in China, and it is almost impossible to get good color reproductions when the printer cannot see the actual painting. Museums can be pennywise and pound foolish - and museums are not alone.





PEOPLE AT WORK:
Seventeenth Century Dutch Art

HOFSTRA UNIVERSITY

Cover: Hendrick Heerschop, *The Alchemist*, oil on panel,
22 1/4 x 17 1/4 in., Isabel and Alfred Bader Collection.

© The Hofstra Museum, 1988. Permission to reproduce any
portion of this catalog must be obtained from The Hofstra
Museum, Hofstra University, Hempstead, N.Y. 11550.

PEOPLE AT WORK:
SEVENTEENTH CENTURY
DUTCH ART

APRIL 17-JUNE 15, 1988

HOFSTRA MUSEUM
HOFSTRA UNIVERSITY, HEMPSTEAD, NY

Essays by Donna R. Barnes, editor and Linda Stone-Ferrier



Frontispiece: Quiring Gerritsz. van Brekelenkam, *Old Woman Scraping Carrots*, oil on panel, 11 1/2 x 14 1/2 in., New Orleans Museum of Art, Gift of Allen H. Johness, Jr., 76.306.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Hofstra University, located in Hempstead, New York, has always extolled its Dutch heritage and the importance of the Dutch on Long Island. President James Shuart has championed this concern for our tradition and has been extremely supportive. This exhibition gives us the opportunity to celebrate the spirit and heritage of those individuals who worked hard to develop this area of New York. The paintings, drawings, and prints in "People at Work: Seventeenth Century Dutch Art" are by some of Holland's most outstanding artists of the seventeenth century. The works represent many diverse vocations of seventeenth-century Dutch men and women.

We are indebted to Donna Barnes for curating this exhibition and bringing some of the best of Holland back to Hofstra.

No art exhibition comes to pass at a university art museum without the cooperation of many people. Donna Barnes and I on behalf of Hofstra wish to acknowledge the continuing support for this project which Terry Baker and Lois Beilin have provided. Gracious assistance has been provided by the Reference Department staff of the Hofstra Library. Secretarial assistance has been provided by Darlene Allen, Carol Effron, Lois Moriarity, Paola Possaglia, and Myrna Turkel. Special assistance was provided by Richard Bennett in enlisting the cooperation of the Consulate General of the Netherlands and the Netherlands Board of Tourism. He and Eric J. Schmertz, Dean of the Hofstra School of Law, helped to solicit financial support for the exhibition project.

Support in underwriting the expenses of the exhibition, its catalogue, and accompanying scholarly symposium, as well as educational materials provided to visiting school groups came from many sources. They included labor organizations, corporations, schools and divisions within the university and private individuals. They include: Louis A. Balbo, Ruth and Donovan Barnes, Phyllis Glassman, Susan Schwartz, Heather and Joseph Shapiro, Felix F. Strauss; John J. Walz, Harold L. Wattell, City Employees Union, Local 237, Correction Officers Benevolent Association, Education and Cultural Fund of the Electrical Industry, New York City Housing Patrolmen's Benevolent Association; Office and Professional Employees International Union, Local 153; Patrolmen's Benevolent Association of New York City; Uniformed Firefighters Association of Greater New York; United Automobile Workers, A.F.L.-C.I.O., District 65; Hofstra University School of Law; and the H. Alan Robinson Reading Research Fund. KLM Royal Dutch airlines has provided assistance with transportation. National Westminster Bank, USA, has assisted through its "Arts in the Community" program.

Colleagues, museum curators and staff members, art historians, private collectors, and art dealers in America and abroad, have offered invaluable suggestions, recommendations, introductions, and assistance. We wish to thank them and their institutions. They include: Clifford S. Ackley, Jan E. Adlmann, Robin Adèr, Svetlana Alpers, Alfred Bader, Katherine Baetjer, Jacob Bean, David Becker, Edgar Peters Bowron, Christopher Brown, E. John Bullard, Jean Cadogan, E. Ebbinge, William Fagaly, Richard S. Field, Ellen Fleurbaay, Jeroen Giltay, Maxine Greene, J.M. de Groot, Stephanie Grunberg, Bob Haboltd, Egbert HaverkampBegemann, Ellen Jacobowitz, R. Jager, A.A.M. de Jong, Eddy de Jongh, Michiel Jonker, S.M.C. Jüngeling-Windt, Steven Kern, Michiel Kersten, Zeno Kolke, Walter A. Liedtke, Robert van Lit, A.W.F.M. Meij, J.A.L. de Meyere, A.M. Meyerman, Helen Mules, E. Domela Nieuwenhuis, Carlotta J. Owens, Eval Ornstein van-Slooten, Sandra Phillips, Lotte C. van de Pol, Juriaan Poot, Joseph J. Rishel, Franklin W. Robinson, William W. Robinson, Andrew S. Robison, Barbara Ross, Peter Schatborn, Nadine Shanler, Dirk P. Snoep, Linda Stone-Ferrier, Carl Strehlke, Peter Sutton, J. van Tatenhove, P.J.J. van Thiel, R. Vorstman, Roberta Waddell, Barry Walker, James A. Welu, Arthur K. Wheelock, Jr., J. Witt, and M.L. Wurfain.

Special thanks are reserved for Otto Naumann who has offered his advice, his time, his knowledge of American and European collections of seventeenth-century Dutch art works, and his encouraging support for this project from its earliest days.

Collaboration and advice have been provided by Eleanor Rait, Mary Wakeford, Karen Albert, and Cindy Purpura from the Hofstra Museum. Patricia Boice, an art history major at Hofstra University, has served as the research assistant for this exhibition. Her dedication, patience, conscientiousness, hardwork, willingness to press forward on all sorts of detail, and love for the works of seventeenth-century Dutch artists have been much cherished.

Donna Barnes would also like to extend her deep-felt gratitude to Barbara Miller who has been committed to this project from its inception, and has clearly understood the significance of "people at work." "People at Work: Seventeenth Century Dutch Art" is dedicated to the memory of Anne Wadsworth, who believed that art illuminates life.

Gail Gelburd, *Director*
Hofstra Museum

Donna Barnes, *Guest Curator*
Ed.D., Hofstra University

LENDERS TO THE EXHIBITION

Maida and George Abrams
Amsterdams Historisch Museum
Isabel and Alfred Bader
The Brooklyn Museum
Seena and Arnold Davis
Harvard University Art Museums, (Fogg Art Museum)
Metropolitan Museum of Art, New York City
Museum of Fine Arts, Boston, Massachusetts
Museum of Fine Arts, Springfield, Massachusetts
National Gallery of Art, Washington, D.C.
New Orleans Museum of Art
New York Public Library
Philadelphia Museum of Art
The Pierpont Morgan Library, New York
Princeton University Art Museum
Private Collection
Vassar College Art Gallery, Poughkeepsie, New York
Wadsworth Atheneum, Hartford, Connecticut

PEOPLE AT WORK: *Seventeenth Century Dutch Art*

Donna R. Barnes

INTRODUCTION:

Dutch artists working in the seventeenth century found receptive audiences for the paintings, drawings, and prints which they produced. It became a matter of considerable pride for many Dutch people to collect paintings with which they decorated their homes. 1 The Dutch collectors of paintings took special pleasure in seeing the world which they knew intimately, the world which they could observe with their own eyes, depicted in fine detail.

Not surprisingly, wealthy families sometimes commissioned artists to paint portraits. Groups of socially prominent, charitable community leaders who governed hospitals, poor-houses, and orphanages established for those in need had themselves immortalized in group portraits, as did those leading men in the burgher groups who formed part of the community militia or watchpatrol (known as *schutters*).

Many artists found ready markets for paintings and prints which captured details of the rural landscape; or changes taking place in the skyline and architectural structures of the developing cities; or celebrated the growing Dutch seapower in mighty trading vessels, whaling fleets, and warships.

Some artists found customers for richly detailed paintings of flower bouquets, or still-life arrangements of game birds and hares, or "banquet pieces" or "breakfast pieces" depicting foods, platters, and drinking vessels.

All those works helped to reflect back selected aspects of the world which seventeenth-century Dutch men and women knew and cherished. But perhaps some of the most prized art works were those which depicted the Dutch people engaged in activity—at work and at play.

PEOPLE AT WORK: *Artist Interests and Intentions*

During Holland's "Golden Age" of the seventeenth century, many Dutch artists took special delight in portraying people at work in paintings, prints, and drawings. Dutch artists found a ready audience for their visual accounts of cobblers, tailors, weavers, peasant farmers, cooks, milkmaids, fishermen, gravediggers, lacemakers, ratcatchers, blacksmiths, pancake bakers, traveling musicians and entertainers, prostitutes and procuresses, soldiers, ship builders, vegetable market vendors, fishwives, alchemists, wet nurses, fortune tellers, swineherds, astronomers, geographers, dentists, doctors, quacks and charlatans playing the local fairs and markets, tavern wenches, bakers, and women performing household tasks, such as spinning, darning, ironing, cooking, scouring pots and pans, or caring for children.

Sometimes these depictions of people at work were executed with wit and not-so-hidden commentary on the comedy of human life. Human foibles, frailties, and vanities were mocked, sometimes gently, as is the case in many paintings by Jan Steen. In this exhibition, Steen pokes some fun at the credulity of country people consulting a fortune teller (cat. no. 16); as well as the doctor visiting a "love-sick" young woman (cat. no. 18).

Sometimes human weaknesses were portrayed more cruelly, as is the case in certain brawling peasant paintings by Adriaen Brouwer or in paintings and prints which capture old women as "hags." Hags were thought to be slovenly—as is the case in the etching by Hendrick Bary of "dirty" or "sleazy" Bessie (cat. no. 49) emptying her chamber pot out the window, presumably onto passersby or the local walkways—or lascivious, as seems to be the case in many bordello accounts of the procuress, represented in this exhibition by Hendrick Pot (cat. no. 13).

Today there exists a growing body of literature by contemporary art historians which points to a controversy about whether seventeenth-century Dutch artists' works should be "read" as illustrative of certain cultural norms and values, often contained in what were called emblem books. 2 Those books, illustrated with prints, contained advice, aphorisms, rhymes, and sayings. They addressed such matters as the importance of parents raising children properly, farmers harvesting what one sows, women keeping homes clean so as to avoid the devil's temptations, fishermen carefully mending their nets, and cobblers recognizing that those who earned their livelihoods mending soles needed to take care to mend their own souls.

Intellectually provocative interpretations of the symbolical or emblematical meanings of many seventeenth-century Dutch works have been championed especially by Professor Eddy de Jongh of the University of Utrecht. He has pressed the point that such "moral lessons" or social commentaries were readily grasped by many educated Dutch art patrons in the seventeenth century who understood that the works were more than they seemed. 3 From de Jongh's point of view, those meanings were not "hidden" to the artists' contemporaries, although they may not be quite so "discernible" to twentieth-century viewers.

On the other hand, rather like Eugene Fromentin (1876) who claimed that seventeenth-century Dutch paintings were "portraits" of the Dutch people which reflected their lives, interests, and countryside, Professor Svetlana Alpers (1983) has recently pressed the case that Dutch art can best be understood as "descriptive" of visual reality. 4

Seymour Slive of Harvard University (1962) has also argued against an excessive preoccupation with symbolic meanings in seventeenth-century Dutch art, although he did acknowledge that symbolism was often there:

"...we must not forget that Dutch painters also broke with the old tradition of disguising symbols under the cloak of real things. They painted the world for its own sake more frequently than they used it for allegorical and moral significance... They scrutinized and dallied over the familiar, the insignificant, and the commonplace without moralizing or depreciating it." 5

Whatever the artists' intentions, seventeenth-century Dutch works were executed with artistic skill which continues to enchant twentieth-century viewers, much as these works delighted those middle class Dutch families who purchased



60



25

or collected paintings 6, single prints 7, books with prints 8, and drawings 9 in the seventeenth century. And we know that the world depicted in those paintings, prints, and drawings is one warranting our closer scrutiny.

PEOPLE AT WORK: *Diverse Modes of Depiction*

Paintings, prints, and drawings of people at work are often grouped today under the rubric of "genre" works, although this term was not used by seventeenth-century Dutch artists or by those recording inventories of paintings. 10 Genre works are usually taken to mean scenes of daily life, but it is also the case that people have been shown working, or surrounded by the tools of their occupations, in other kinds of art works as well.

Landscapes, cityscapes, marines, and river scenes often depict people working as a way of providing both visual and human interest in the rendition of the environment.

For example, Jacob van Ruisdael's frequent use of the bleaching fields near Haarlem as a landscape theme (cat. no. 15) was enlivened by small figures of the women who laid out the fabrics to be bleached by the sun, water, and application of buttermilk solution which gave them their special "whiteness" so prized by cloth merchants in England and Germany.

Portraits occasionally depicted the subject surrounded by the tools, implements, or symbols of his or her occupation. This exhibition features Constantijn Verhout's portrait painting of Cornelis Abrahamsz. Graswinckel, a brewer, who is reflectively quaffing beer from a stein (cat. no. 21). In addition, Rembrandt's portrait print of Jan Uytenbogaert, the receivergeneral (or tax collector), makes it quite clear that account books, balance scales, and sacks of coins are some of the "tools" or "symbols" of his position (cat. no. 60).

Self-portraits by artists assumed particular importance during the seventeenth century. It is significant that Judith Leyster's self-portrait shows her at her easel (cat. no. 11), and the work which she is ostensibly painting is one which earned her high praise.

Church interiors, typically prized for their architectural detail and the manipulation of light and space, often provide a small glimpse of people going about daily activities. While some parishioners might be praying (or talking, visiting, or disciplining children), there are paintings which show the gravedigger busy about his tasks of shoveling out new graves, preparing to lower coffins, removing bones, replacing or cleaning grave stones; or the preacher admonishing his parishioners. Emanuel de Witte's account of the Nieuwe Kerk in Amsterdam is a case in point (cat. no. 24).

Kitchen interiors often provide glimpses of the housewife and/or the kitchen maid preparing vegetables, scouring pots and pans, pouring milk from jugs, or sitting amidst a still-life arrangement of pots and pans or baskets of fruits and vegetables. Paintings by Pieter van den Bosch, Quiringh Gerritsz. van Brekelenkam, and Emanuel de Witte are good examples (cat. nos. 4,5,23).

Market scenes are sometimes more nearly cityscapes, as is the case with the Emanuel de Witte view of Amsterdam's fishmarket (cat. no. 25). At other times, the artist was more focused upon the activity of those in the market. See, for example, Jacob Toorenvliet's drawing of the man cutting up fish to be sold in pieces, as his female customers watch him carefully (cat. no. 47) or Jan Steen's account of the woman selling produce in the "Vegetable Market" (cat. no. 17).

CONCLUSION:

The seventeenth century in the Netherlands was marked by the growth of Protestantism; the flourishing economic inventiveness of the Dutch mercantile system based in considerable measure upon the sea power achieved by the Dutch trading ships which traveled to Asia, Africa, the Americas, and Caribbean Islands, in addition to European ports; the formation of a nation of United Provinces which had successfully broken away from the governance of Catholic Spain; important developments in the sciences of medicine, optics, and botany; and the proliferation of artists working in major cities.

Earlier sixteenth-century Netherlandish artists, Pieter Bruegel, Pieter Aertsen and his nephew Joachim Beuckelaer, and Lucas van Leyden had begun to plumb that interest in representations of rural laborers and market sellers. Jost Amman of Nuremberg had made woodcuts and his collaborator, Hans Sachs, had written moralizing verses for the 1568 volume of *Eygentliche Beschreibung Aller Stände Auff Erden* (commonly known as the *Ständebuch* or *Book of Trades*). The works of these earlier Northern European artists were well known to the Dutch artists of the seventeenth century, who borrowed freely from and expanded upon the "delight" and "instruction" to be had in depictions of people at work.

In many respects, the most important achievement of the Dutch people in the seventeenth century was the development of a uniquely Dutch culture. 11 A cornerstone of that Dutch culture was the importance which Dutch people attached to work. And that interest in, and respect for, "people at work" was amply demonstrated in the paintings, prints, and drawings produced by Dutch artists for an interested consuming public.



11

END-NOTES:

1 J. Michael Montias' (1982) survey and analyses of seventeenth-century inventories in Delft corroborated impressions reported by visitors to Holland in the seventeenth century. Peter Mundy (1640) and John Evelyn (1641) are two Englishmen oftquoted as reporting that even the blacksmiths and tailors kept paintings in their stalls or shops, and that many people decorated the street-side rooms of their houses with paintings. Paintings by Vermeer, Metsu, De Witte, Steen, and others often show that the rooms occupied by people reading or writing letters, playing musical instruments, or feasting at parties and family gatherings were hung with paintings prominently displayed. Even Brekelenkam's tailorshop is depicted from time to time with a painting.

2 Among the most popular authors of seventeenth-century Dutch emblem books was Jacob Cats. His typical formula consisted of an image, a motto underneath the image, and then an explanation of the visual allusions to ancient and popular wisdom. The first collected edition of Jacob Cats' work was put together in 1658 by his publisher, Jan Jacob Schipper in Amsterdam, at the time the author was in his 80th year and living in retirement. It included his most popular, and later most frequently published work, *Spiegel van den Ouden en Nieuwen Tyt*. According to Otto Naumann, "Father" Cats' "mirrors" for his countrymen were thought to buttress family morality.

3 In 1976, Eddy de Jongh curated an important exhibition for the Rijksmuseum in Amsterdam which explored the possibility of "disclosing" some of the "lessons" which seventeenth-century Dutch adults "read" from art works. *Tot Lering en Vermaak* argued that there was "instruction" to be had from Dutch artistic "pleasures and amusements."

4 See Svetlana Alpers' central argument in *The Art of Describing: Dutch Art in the Seventeenth Century* (1983). Her point of view has prompted considerable controversy and generated lively exchanges among scholars and museum curators. For example, see Frima Fox Hofrichter's catalogue for the Rutgers University exhibition, *Haarlem: The Seventeenth Century*, (1983); Linda Stone-Ferrier's catalogue for the Spencer Museum's exhibition, *Dutch Genre Prints of Daily Life* (1983); Christopher Brown's *Images of a Golden Past* (1984); Peter Sutton's *Masters of Seventeenth-Century Dutch Genre Painting* (1984); and Simon Schama's *The Embarrassment of Riches* (1987).

5 Seymour Slive discussed this issue in "Realism and Symbolism in Seventeenth-Century Dutch Painting" in *Daedalus*, Volume 91, pages 469-500.

6 Otto Naumann (1984) argued persuasively that paintings were within affordable means for many tradesmen and merchants, and that ownership of paintings was a mark of upwardly mobile status. Naumann accepts the visual "veracity" of Brekelenkam's tailors owning paintings. In fact, we observe that there is a marine painting on the back wall of the kitchen in which Brekelenkam's old woman is scraping carrots (cat. no. 5).

7 William W. Robinson (1980) has characterized the seventeenth-century Dutch as having a "passion for prints." Wealthy collectors set aside special rooms in their homes as "print cabinet." Artists executed and collected prints of other artists work so as to hone their skills, and remember the details of paintings. We also know from certain genre drawings and prints, that individual prints were sold cheaply at village fairs and markets.

8 We know that many books illustrated with prints were sold and found in middle-income family collections. Copper-plate printing and book publishing went hand-in-hand and grew at striking rates in the Netherlands during the seventeenth century. See Jan Luyken's drawings of the book printer and the copperplate printers for *het Menselyk Bedryf* (cat. nos. 37,38).

9 Artists had need of drawings and there was also an appreciative audience for drawings. Artists used drawings as a means to improve their drafting and compositional skills, to work preliminary sketches for projects, to build a "store-house" of visual imagery to which they could later turn, to execute (often in smaller scale) the details of works which they later rendered into paintings, to copy works by others so that they could "remember" how others had treated particular themes, to teach students, and to have "presentation pieces" which could be sold or given to clients and prospective patrons, as well as to esteemed friends. (For a discussion of Netherlandish artists' use of drawings in the sixteenth century see the essay by William W. Robinson and Marth Wolf (1986). Franklin W. Robinson's discussions (1969 and 1977) of Dutch drawings underscore their importance to both artist and collectors in the seventeenth century.)

10 For an illuminating discussion of the idea of "genre" works, and the prices these "witty" or "droll" paintings commanded when compared with loftier subject matter, see Peter Sutton's discussion in his introductory essay to the catalogue, *Masters of Seventeenth-Century Dutch Genre Painting*, page xiii-xviii.

11 Simon Schama's *The Embarrassment to Riches* (1987) provides a brilliant and detailed analysis of the ingredients which led to the creation of a uniquely Dutch culture in the seventeenth century.

SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY

prepared by Donna R. Barnes, Ed.D.

- Ackley, Clifford S. *Printmaking in the Age of Rembrandt*. Exhibition catalogue, Museum of Fine Arts, Boston, 1980. Essay by William Robinson.
- Alpers, Svetlana. *The Art of Describing: Dutch Art in the Seventeenth Century*. Chicago, 1983.
- Amman, Jost and Sachs, Hans. *Ständebuch*. 1568. Reprint. Translated by Stanley Appelbaum, under the title the *Book of Trades*. New York, 1973. Introduction by Benjamin A. Rifkin.
- Amsterdam, Rijksmuseum. *Tot Lering en Vermaak*. September 16-December 5, 1976; catalogue by E. de Jongh et al.
- Bader, Helen. *Selections from the Bader Collection*. Introduction by Wolfgang Stechow. Milwaukee, Wisconsin, 1974.
- Bernt, Walther. *Die Niederlandischen Maler Des 17 Jahrhunderts*. Munchner, Verlag Bisher F. Bruckmann, 1948. 3 volumes.
- Bernt, Walther. *Die Niederlandischen Zeichner Des 17 Jahrhunderts*. Munchner, Verlag F. Bruckmann, 1958. 2 volumes.
- Bernt, Walther. *The Netherlandish Painters of the Seventeenth Century*. Translated by P.S. Falla. 3 vols. London, 1970.
- Bols, Laurens J. and Keyes, George S. *Netherlandish Paintings and Drawings from the Collection of F.C. Butot*. Catalogue by F.C. Butot. London, Southeby Parke Bernet Publications, 1981.
- Boxer, C.R. *The Dutch Seaborne Empire*. 1600-1800. 3rd ed. London, 1977.
- Brown, Christopher. *Dutch Genre Painting*. Oxford and New York, 1976.
- Brown, Christopher. *Images of a Golden Past: Dutch Genre Painting of the 17th Century*. New York, 1984.
- Burke, Peter. *Venice and Amsterdam: A Study of Seventeenth Century Elites*. London, 1974.
- Carasso-Kok, Marijke. *Amsterdam Historisch*. Bussum, Holland, 1975.
- Donson, Theodore B., Ltd. *Country Life in Holland's Golden Age: Etchings by Adriaen van Ostade*. New York, 1987.
- Durantini, Mary Frances. *The Child in Seventeenth Century Dutch Painting*. Studies in the Fine Arts. Iconography: no. 7. Ann Arbor, 1983.
- Francken, Daniel and van der Kellen, Johann Ph. *L'oeuvre de Jan van de Velde, 1593-1641*, (first edition, Paris, 1883), Amsterdam, 1968.
- Franits, Wayne. "Domesticity is a Woman's Crowning Ornament: Women at Work in Dutch Genre Painting" Paper presented at the Symposium of the Royal Academy, London, November 1984; publication forthcoming.
- Fromentin, Eugene. *The Old Masters of Belgium and Holland*. Introduction by Meyer Schapiro. New York, 1963.
- Gaskell, Ivan. "Gerrit Dou, His Patrons and the Art of Painting." *Oxford Art Journal*, vol. 5, no. 1 (1982), pp. 15-23.
- Gaskell, Ivan. "Art for the Obligarchs." *Art History*, vol. 6, no. 2 (June 1983), pp. 236-41.
- Geyl, Pieter. *The Netherlands in the Seventeenth Century*. 2 vols. London, 1961-64.
- Haak, Bob. *The Golden Age: Dutch Painters of the Seventeenth Century*. New York, 1984.
- Haarlem, Frans Halsmuseum. *Frans Halsmuseum Haarlem*. (Catalogue) 1969.
- The Hague, Mauritshuis. *The Royal Cabinet of Paintings: Illustrated General Catalogue*, 1977.
- The Hague, Mauritshuis. *Dutch Painting of the Golden Age from the Royal Picture Gallery*. Travelling exhibition 1982-84. Catalogue translated by Peter Sutton.
- Hand, J.O., Judson, J.R., Robinson, W.W., and Wolff, M. *The Age of Bruegel: Netherlandish Drawings in the Sixteenth Century*. National Gallery of Art, Washington, D.C., 1986.
- Hartford, Wadsworth Atheneum. *Life in Seventeenth Century Holland*. November 21, 1950-January 14, 1951. Introduction by C.C. Cunningham.
- Hartford, Wadsworth Atheneum. *Wadsworth Atheneum Paintings. Catalogue I. The Netherlands and the German-Speaking Countries, Fifteenth-Nineteenth Centuries*. Edited by Egbert Haverkamp-Begemann. 1978.
- Hind, Arthur M. *Catalogue of Drawings of Dutch and Flemish Artists in the British Museum*. 4 volumes. London, 1926.
- Hind, Arthur M. *A Catalogue of Rembrandt's Etchings*. New York, 1967.
- Hofrichter, Frima Fox. "Judith Leyster's Proposition: Between Virtue and Vice." *The Feminist Art Journal*, vol. 4, no. 3 (Fall 1975), pp. 22-26.
- Hofrichter, Frima Fox. "Judith Leyster, 1609-1660." Diss., Rutgers University, 1979.
- Hofrichter, Frima Fox. *Haarlem: The Seventeenth Century*. Exhibition catalogue, The Jane Voorhees Zimmerli Art Museum, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, New Jersey, 1983.
- Hollstein, F.W.H. *Dutch and Flemish Etchings, Engravings and Woodcuts*. Vols. I-Amsterdam, 1949-.
- John G. Johnson Collection. *Catalogue of Flemish and Dutch Paintings*. Edited by Barbara Sweeny. Philadelphia, 1972.
- de Jongh, E. *Tot Lering en Vermaak*. Rijksmuseum. Amsterdam, 1976.
- Kahr, Madlyn Millner. "Vermeer's Girl Asleep: A Moral Emblem." *Metropolitan Museum Journal*, vol. 6 (1972), pp. 115-32.
- Kahr, Madlyn Millner. *Dutch Painting in the Seventeenth Century*. New York, 1978.
- Kalamazoo Institute of Arts. *Alfred Bader Collection: 17th Century Dutch and Flemish Paintings*. Exhibition catalogue, Kalamazoo, Michigan, 1967.

- Koorn, Florence W.J. and Roodenburg, Herman W. "Cupping Women and Quacks in the Seventeenth Century," Paper presented at the Symposium of the Royal Academy, London, Nov. 1984; publication forthcoming. Translated by Judy Marcure.
- Koningsberger, Hans. *The World of Vermeer, 1632-1675*. New York, 1967.
- Ter Kuile, O. *Catalogus van der Schilderijen*. Rijksmuseum Twenthe Enschede, 1974-76.
- Larsen, Erik and Davidson, Jane P. *Calvinistic Economy and 17th Century Dutch Art*. Lawrence, Kansas., 1979.
- Liedtke, Walter. *The Golden Ambience: Dutch Landscape Painting in the Seventeenth Century*. The Appeal of Conscience Foundation, Minskoff Cultural Center, New York, 1985.
- London, The National Gallery. *Art in Seventeenth Century Holland*. September 30-December 12, 1976. Catalogue by Christopher Brown et al.
- London, The Queen's Gallery, Buckingham Palace. *Dutch Pictures from the Royal Collection*. 1971. Introduction by Oliver Millar.
- Maclaren, Neil. *The National Gallery Catalogues: The Dutch School*. London, 1960.
- Martin, W. "The Life of a Dutch Artist in the Seventeenth Century," Parts 1-3. *The Burlington Magazine*, vol.7 (May, September 1905), pp.125-28, 416-27; vol. 8 (October 1905), pp. 13-24. Translated by Augusta von Schneider.
- Martin, W. "How a Dutch Picture Was Painted." *The Burlington Magazine*, vol. 10, no. 45 (December 1906), pp. 144-54. Translated by Campbell Dodgson.
- McTavish, David. *Pictures from the Age of Rembrandt: Selections from the Personal Collection of Dr. and Mrs. Alfred Bader*. Exhibition catalogue. Agnes Etherington Art Centre, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, 1984.
- Miedema, Hessel. "Realism and Comic Mode: The Peasant." *Simiolus*, vol. 9, no. 4 (1977), pp. 205-19.
- Milwaukee Art Center. *The Bible Through Dutch Eyes*. Exhibition catalogue, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, 1976.
- Mojzer, Miklos. *Dutch Genre Painting*. New York, 1967.
- Montias, J.M. "The Guild of St. Luke in the 17th Century Delft and the Economic Status of Artists and Artisans." *Simiolus*, vol. 9, no. 2 (1977), pp. 93-105.
- Montias, J.M. *Artists and Artisans in Delft in the Seventeenth Century: A Socio-Economic Study of the Seventeenth Century*. Princeton, 1982.
- Moxey, Keith P.F. *Pieter Aertsen, Joachim Beuckelaer, and the Rise of the Secular Painting in the Context of the Reformation*. New York, 1977.
- Murphy, Alexandra R. *European Paintings in the Museum of Fine Arts, Boston: An Illustrated Summary Catalogue*. Boston, 1985.
- Nash, J.M. *The Age of Rembrandt and Vermeer: Dutch Painting in the Seventeenth Century*. London, 1972.
- Naumann, Otto. "Frans van Mieris as a Draughtsman." *Master Drawings*, vol. 16, no. 1 (Spring 1978) pp. 3-34.
- Naumann, Otto. *Frans van Mieris, the Elder (1635-1681)*. 2 vols. Doornspijk, 1981.
- Naumann, Otto. "Laborers and Tradesmen In Seventeenth Century Dutch Painting." Paper presented at the Symposium of the Royal Academy, London, November 1984; publication forthcoming.
- New Brunswick, New Jersey, The Jane Voorhees Zimmerli Art Museum, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey. *Haarlem: The Seventeenth Century*. February 20-April 17, 1983. Catalogue by Frima Fox Hofrichter; introduction by Egbert Haverkamp Begemann; essay by J.J. Temminck.
- New York, The Pierpont Morgan Library. *Dutch Genre Drawings of the Seventeenth Century*. 1972-73. Introduction by K.G. Boon; catalogue by Peter Schatborn.
- New York, Noortman and Brod. *Adriaen Brouwer, David Teniers the Younger*. October 7-30, 1982. Also shown at Maastricht, Noortman and Brod, November 19-December 11, 1982. Catalogue by Margaret Klinge.
- Paine Art Center, Oshkosh, Wisconsin. *Dutch Art of the 1600's*. Exhibition catalogue, September 24-October 30, 1968.
- Pallottino, Massimo, ed. et. al. *Encyclopedia of World Art*. McGraw Hill Books Co, Inc. New York, Toronto Canada, 1959. 12 volumes.
- Paris, Musee des Arts Decoratifs. *La Vie en Hollande au XVIIe siecle*. January 11-March 20, 1967. Introduction by Paul Zumthor.
- Philadelphia Museum of Art. *Jan Steen: Comedy and Admonition*. January 15-July 3, 1983 Bulletin of the Philadelphia Museum of Art, vol. 78, nos. 337-38 (Winter 1982/Spring 1983). Catalogue by Peter C. Sutton with Appendix by Marigene H. Butler.
- Philadelphia, John G. Johnson Collection. *Catalogue of Flemish and Dutch Paintings*. Philadelphia, 1972. Catalogue by Barbara Sweeney.
- Robinson, Franklin W. *Gabriel Metsu (1629-1667): A Study of His Place in Dutch Genre Painting of the Golden Age*. New York, 1974.
- Robinson, Franklin W. *Seventeenth Century Dutch Drawings from American Collections*. Washington D.C., 1977.
- Robinson, Franklin W. *Selections from the Collection of Dutch Drawings of Maida and George Abrams*, Wellesley College Museum. Wellesley, Massachusetts, 1969.
- Robinson, William W. "This Passion for Prints" in Ackley, *Printmaking in Rembrandt's Holland*. Exhibition catalogue, Boston, 1980.
- Robinson, William W. and Wolff, Martha. "The Functions of Drawing in the Sixteenth Century" in *The Age of Bruegel*, National Gallery of Art, Washington D.C., exhibition catalogue, 1986.
- Rosenberg, Jakob, Slive, Seymour, and ter Kuile, E.H. *Dutch Art and Architecture, 1600-1800*. Originally published in Harmondsworth and Baltimore, 1966; 3rd edition, 1979.
- Schama, Simon. "The Unruly Realm: Appetite and Restraint in Seventeenth Century Holland." *Daedalus*, vol. 108, no. 3 (Summer 1979), pp. 103-23.
- Schama, Simon "Wives and Wantons: Versions of Womanhood in 17th Century Dutch Art." *The Oxford Art Journal*, vol.3, no. 1 (April 1980), pp. 5-13.

- Schama, Simon. *The Embarrassment of Riches, An Interpretation of Dutch Culture*. New York, 1987.
- Schloss, Christine Skeeles. *Travel, Trade, and Temptation: The Dutch Italianate Harbor Scene, 1640-1680*. Ann Arbor, 1982 [revision of Diss., Yale University, 1978].
- Schneeman, Liane T. "Hendrick Martensz. Sorgh: A Painter at Rotterdam." Diss., The Pennsylvania State University, 1982.
- Schwartz, Gary. *Rembrandt: His Life, His Paintings*. New York, 1985.
- Slatkes, Leonard J. *Vermeer and His Contemporaries*. New York, 1981.
- Slive, Seymour. "Notes on the Relationship of Protestantism to Seventeenth Century Dutch Painting." *The Art Quarterly*, vol. 19 (1956), pp. 3-15.
- Slive, Seymour. "Realism and Symbolism in Seventeenth Century Dutch Painting." *Daedalus*, vol. 91 (1962), pp. 469-500.
- Stechow, Wolfgang. *Dutch Landscape Painting of the Seventeenth Century*. London, 1966.
- Steel, David. "Dutch Art in the Age of Rembrandt." Exhibition notes, North Carolina Museum of Art, October 25, 1986-February 15, 1987. Raleigh, North Carolina, 1986.
- Stone-Ferrier, Linda A. *Images of Textiles: The Weave of Seventeenth Century Dutch Art and Society*. Ann Arbor, Michigan, 1985.
- Stone-Ferrier, Linda A. *Dutch Genre Prints of Daily Life: Mirrors of Life or Masks of Morals?*, Spencer Museum of Art, University of Kansas. Lawrence, Kansas, 1983.
- Sutton, Peter C. "Jan Steen: Comedy and Admonition," *Philadelphia Museum of Art Bulletin*, Winter 1982/Spring 1983.
- Sutton, Peter C. *Pieter de Hooch: Complete Edition with a Catalogue Raisonné*. New York, 1980.
- Sutton, Peter C. *Masters of Seventeenth-Century Dutch Genre Painting*. Exhibition catalogue. Philadelphia Museum of Art, 1984.
- Van Thiel, Pieter et al. *All the Paintings of the Rijksmuseum in Amsterdam*. Catalogue, 1976.
- Timmers, J.J.M. *A History of Dutch Life and Art*. Translated by Mary F. Hedlund. London, 1959.
- Valtiner, W.R. "Early Drawings by Nicolaes Maes." *The Burlington Magazine*, vol. 43, no. 244 (July 1923), pp. 16-22.
- Valtiner, W.R. "Pieter de Hooch." Parts 1,2. *Art in America*, vol. 15, no. 1 (December 1926), pp. 45-64; vol. 15, no. 2 (February 1927), pp. 67-77.
- Valtiner, W.R. "Paintings by Pieter de Hooch and Jacobus Vrel." *Bulletin of the Detroit Institute of Arts*, vol. 9, no. 7 (April 1928), pp. 78-81.
- Valtiner, W.R. "Dutch Genre Painters in the Manner of Pieter de Hooch. II. Jacobus Vrel." *Art in America*, vol. 17, no. 2 (February 1929), pp. 86-92.
- Varriano, John L. *Baroque Painting in the Low Countries: Selections from the Bader Collection*. Exhibition catalogue. Mount Holyoke College Art Museum, South Hadley, Massachusetts, 1979.
- De Vries, Jan. *The Dutch Rural Economy in the Golden Age, 1500-1700*. New Haven and London, 1974.
- Wagner, Margarete. *Jan Luyken: Die Skizzen zum Ständebuch*. Essay and commentary on the drawings prepared by Jan Luyken for the publication of "Spiegel van het Menselyk Bedryf," published in Amsterdam, 1694; concluding essay by Michiel Jonker. Freiburg, Basel, and Vienna, 1987.
- Walsh, John Jr. and Schneider, Cynthia P. *A Mirror of Nature: Dutch Paintings from the Collection of Mr. and Mrs. Edward William Carter*. Los Angeles County Museum of Art, Museum of Fine Arts, Boston, The Metropolitan Museum of Art. Los Angeles, 1981.
- Washington, D.C., National Gallery of Art. *Collection for a King: Old Master Paintings from the Dulwich Gallery*. April 14-September 2, 1985. Catalogue by Giles Waterfield et. al.
- Washington, D.C., National Gallery of Art. *Gods, Saints and Heroes: Dutch Paintings in the Age of Rembrandt*. November 2, 1980-January 4, 1981. Catalogue by Albert Blankert et. al.
- Welu, James A. "Card Players and Merrymakers: A Moral Lesson." *Worcester Art Museum Bulletin*, n.s., vol. 4, no. 3 (May 1975), pp. 8-16.
- Welu, James A. "Job Berckheyde's 'Baker'." *Worcester Art Museum Bulletin*, n.s., vol. 6, no. 3 (May 1977), p. 1-9.
- Welu, James A. *Seventeenth Century Dutch Painting: Raising the Curtain on New England Private Collections*, Worcester Art Museum. Worcester, Massachusetts, 1979.
- Wheelock, Arthur K. *Perspectives, Optics, and Delft Artists Around 1650*. New York, 1977 (Diss. Harvard University, 1973).
- White Christopher. *Rembrandt as an Etcher*, 2 vols. London, 1969.
- Wilenski, R.H. *Dutch Painting*. New York, 1955.
- Wilson, Charles. *The Dutch Republic and the Civilization of the Seventeenth Century*. New York and Toronto, 1977.
- Wright, Christopher. *The Dutch Painters: 100 Seventeenth Century Masters*. New York, 1978.
- Wright, Christopher. *A Golden Age of Painting: Dutch, Flemish, German Paintings, Sixteenth-Seventeenth Centuries, from the Collection of the Sarah Campbell Blaffer Foundation*. San Antonio, 1981.
- Zumthor, Paul. *Daily Life in Rembrandt's Holland*. Translated by S.W. Taylor. London, 1962, New York, 1963.

ORIGINS AND FUNCTIONS OF SEVENTEENTH CENTURY DUTCH IMAGES OF LABOR

Linda Stone-Ferrier

Visitors to fine museums and collections of seventeenth-century Dutch paintings and prints readily notice that certain themes enjoyed great popularity to the exclusion of other subjects. One such theme is people working at various professions, trades and tasks. The sheer number of extant images attests to the interest shown in the depiction of work. All different strata of society were represented from itinerant tradesmen, to owners of small shops or businesses, to the liberal professions, such as doctors and lawyers, to representatives of various industrial occupations and the entrepreneurial merchants who oversaw them.

Although today we recognize the group of images as having the theme of work or labor in common, the paintings and prints were not thought of as a distinct genre or category of images in the seventeenth-century. Inventories listing the possessions and paintings at the time of the head of the household's death do not refer to any work of art as an image of labor.¹ Instead, paintings in which a trade, task or profession are featured were more generally described as a portrait, a landscape, a festive scene, or occasionally as a history painting.² Such vague descriptions in inventories were also used to refer to works of art with subjects very different from the depiction of people at work. The one exception to such general references in inventories can be found in the documentation left by guilds that commissioned paintings in which labor was depicted. Such guild-commissioned images of work, however, are surprisingly rare.³

A study of Dutch paintings and prints that depict people at work is complicated by the fact that our own notion of what constitutes labor does not always correspond with the seventeenth-century understanding of the same word. It is difficult to come to any conclusions about the meaning and function of a group of images that depict people at work if we do not understand exactly what the seventeenth-century Dutch would have considered the rubric to include. For purposes of this short discussion, let us include any image in which a figure occupies himself or herself at his daily tasks for the purpose of employment or fulfillment of a role. Some of the individual tasks, therefore, that can be included might surprise the modern viewer. The so-called "sturdy beggar" or "beggar rogue," for example, referred to a fairly large group of professional beggars who feigned physical handicaps as they went door-to-door in order to convince those to whom they appealed of their need.⁴

The "oldest profession in the world," prostitution, was also depicted by seventeenth-century Dutch artists, such as in H.G. Pot's *Scene in a Bordello* (cat. no. 13). Although today we still recognize both begging and prostitution as ways in which some people support themselves, our attitudes toward them are not necessarily the same as those expressed by the seventeenth-century Dutch. Foreign to Americans, for example, is the unofficial seventeenth-century Dutch acceptance of prostitution. What has been recently termed "a kind of constructive civic hypocrisy" allowed for brothels in the seaports of Amsterdam and Rotterdam so

that housewives would not fear the sexual assaults of the resident sailors.⁵ In the Hague, prostitutes openly associated themselves with the theaters and concert halls that were frequented by fringe circles of the court. Existing laws against prostitution relaxed even further at annual fairs and markets.⁶

The widespread seventeenth-century Dutch interest in paintings and prints that depicted labor, which was more respectable than that of sturdy beggars and prostitutes, might be simply explained as a reflection of the belief in the Calvinist work ethic.⁷ Such an explanation is much too simplistic, however, to account for the richness and complexity of the images' various appearances, meanings, functions and the markets for which they were created. Although the Northern Netherlands was predominantly Calvinist, the country was more accurately characterized as a new nation of different faiths which included the many forms that Protestantism took in the seventeenth century. Other religions, such as Catholicism and Judaism, while not officially sanctioned, were unofficially condoned. The range of contexts in which images of labor appeared, the number of faiths to which artists of such images belonged, and the large group of patrons of various religions to whom the images appealed demonstrate that such paintings and prints could not have been produced only as a reflection of respect for the Calvinist work ethic.

The large number of images of people at work misleads the viewer into thinking that all professions and trades were depicted by the seventeenth-century Dutch artist. To the contrary it is surprising to discover that some occupations were depicted repeatedly while others, even those that were economically important, were never depicted. Haarlem brewing, for example, was the most important industry of the city, as extolled by many including Samuel Ampzing in his 1621 *Het Lof der Stadt Haarlem in Hollandt* (In Praise of the City of Haarlem in Holland). Pictorial celebration of brewing, however, was extremely rare. Only a few portraits of successful brewers, such as Constantijn Verhout's portrait of Cornelis Abrahamsz. Graswinckel (cat. no. 21), an etching, and the unusual grisaille view of a brewer's country home juxtaposed with his brewery attest visually to the

Note: Linda Stone-Ferrier, Professor of Art History at the University of Kansas (Lawrence) is well known for her outstanding scholarship on seventeenth-century Dutch art which was manifested in *Images of Textiles: The Weave of Seventeenth-Century Dutch Art and Society*, published in Ann Arbor, Michigan, in 1985. She explored many different occupations in preparation for that discussion, a portion of which was focused on those who worked with textiles. Additionally, Professor Stone-Ferrier curated an exhibition of seventeenth-century Dutch genre prints in 1983. The exhibition catalogue, *Dutch Prints of Daily Life: Mirrors of Life or Masks of Morals?* was published by the Spencer Museum of Art at the University of Kansas. Some of the ideas explored or materials initially cited in either of those two works have been incorporated into this essay. They will not be foot-noted in this discussion. An * refers the interested reader to those sources.

industry's success. In contrast, Haarlem's second most important industry, linen bleaching, was commemorated again and again by Jacob van Ruisdael in the famous "Haarlempjes," which were bird's-eye view landscape paintings of the city and the surrounding bleaching fields.⁸

It is not possible to know exactly why one industry or profession would be depicted by artists and another equally lucrative and successful industry was not. Clearly a market existed for the one, but not for the other. In Ruisdael's case, the artist developed a visual vocabulary based on established pictorial conventions. Ruisdael found in earlier and contemporary maps of Haarlem and the surrounding bleaching fields an artistic precedent on which he could draw.* In the case of brewing, no pictorial precedents existed from which artists could evolve a contemporary pictorial celebration of the industry.^{9*}

Ultimately, the question of why one profession or industry was depicted and another was not is comparable to "which came first: the chicken or the egg?". We do not know whether a market for imagery first stimulated artists to find a pictorial vocabulary, or whether artists first determined a pictorial vocabulary that increasingly stimulated a market for the imagery. In any case, the depiction of any profession or trade would have been short-lived without a subsequent market for it. In general, the demand for such images of trades and professions was determined by the "open market" rather than by specific commissions. The exception to this included portraiture of successful merchants, doctors, lawyers, religious leaders, teachers, and so on, shown busy at their professional tasks. Also commissioned were rare guild paintings and ornamental objects which depicted the various steps in the manufacture of a product.

Even when a particular occupation was depicted by seventeenth-century Dutch artists to the exclusion of another equally important trade, the featured occupation was often limited to its depiction in one medium or another. Certain itinerant tradesmen, for example, such as the ratcatcher by Cornelis Visscher (cat. no. 64), or the spectacles salesman by Adriaen van Ostade (cat. no. 55), were invariably depicted in prints but not in paintings. This may be explained in terms of the relatively low value placed on the print medium in contrast with paintings. The depiction of a lowly profession like a ratcatcher or spectacles salesman was more appropriate in a print rather than in an aggrandizing painting. Such an explanation, however, is contradicted by the fact that other lowly itinerant tradespeople, such as the pancake maker, were depicted in both paintings and prints (cat. no. 50).

Although no generalizations can be made about why one trade or task might have been depicted in only one medium, each case may be understood in terms of the unique artistic, social, economic and historical circumstances surrounding that occupation. The female tasks of spinning, lacemaking and embroidering, for example, which could have either positive or negative connotations concerning the female worker's character, were depicted in both paintings and prints by Dutch artists.¹⁰ On the one hand, female handwork was considered to be virtuous as it emulated the activity of the Virgin's spinning.¹¹ On the other hand, female handwork, specifically the motion of the worker's tools, also became a Dutch metaphor for love making.¹² Thus, images of the spinner, lacemaker and embroiderer could show her either in a lascivious pictorial context, or in

a chaste, virtuous light, such as in A.J. Klomp's *Classical Landscape with Shepherdess* (cat. no. 10), Jacob Vrel's *Interior with a Woman Darning* (cat. no. 22), and Pieter Stevens' *Two Seated Women Making Lace* (cat. no. 45).

A survey of prints and paintings of the three female tasks reveals that while spinners were depicted *only* in a positive light in paintings, and sometimes in a positive and sometimes in a negative light in prints, lacemakers and embroiderers were depicted in negative and positive contexts in *both* paintings and prints. The discrepancy may be explained in terms of the fact that spinning was regarded as a basic, no-frills step in the production of cloth and deserved to be consistently depicted in a positive light in the more sophisticated medium of painting. In contrast with the fundamental importance of the thread that the spinner spun, the products of the lacemaker and the embroiderer were considered by some to be superfluous and luxurious decoration.¹³ In the eyes of at least some viewers, therefore, lacemakers and embroiderers found an appropriate place in a painting or a print showing lascivious activity, which could result from indulgence in the sensuousness of unnecessarily elegant finery.

Limitations set on the depiction of occupations or trades could have been established by traditional pictorial formats from the fifteenth- and sixteenth-centuries in which the depiction of a profession first appeared. Print series of the elements, months, seasons, senses, or labors of the months constitute some of the best examples of such pictorial conventions from which some of the seventeenth-century Dutch individual prints and paintings of professions and trades may have evolved.¹⁴ Certain occupations became traditionally associated with one or more of the elements, months, seasons, senses, or labors of the months and were depicted repeatedly by different artists. The pancake maker represents the sense of taste, for example, in two separate seventeenth-century print series by Jan Both (cat. no. 50) and by Cornelis Dusart.¹⁵ Repeatedly the fisherman represented the element of water, such as in Jacob de Gheyn's print series of the elements.¹⁶ Cornelis Dusart's late seventeenth-century print representing *November* depicts the activity traditionally associated with that month: the slaughtering of a pig (cat. no. 52).

Sixteenth- and seventeenth-century print series of trades and professions may have had an even bigger impact on the conception of individual seventeenth-century images of workers and laborers than did the print series of the elements, months, seasons, senses and labors of the months in which various professions sometimes appeared. Although the print series of the trades are fewer in number than the other kinds of series, they offer a wealth of specific sources for the depiction of laborers in later single prints and paintings. The 1568 print series of trades, *Ständebuch*, by Jost Amman and Hans Sachs depicts 114 different occupations each accompanied by an inscription beneath the image. Amman conceived of each of the workers objectively, whereas Sachs's texts sometimes provide editorializing comments on the relative virtuousness of the particular profession. The tone of the editorializing inscriptions, however, is not supported by the pictorial characterizations of the worker. The print of the respectably appearing lawyer, for example, is inscribed underneath that he "often defends an unjust cause in court, using shrewd ploys and obtaining delays; if his client loses, the lawyer has still filled his own



22


purse."¹⁷ The dentist, we are told, "removes aching teeth painlessly 'as one bears children.'"¹⁸

The Amman/Sachs print series is organized in a social, political and economic hierarchy. It begins with the Pope and members of the Catholic church, proceeds to royalty, including the Holy Roman Emperor and noblemen, continues by depicting the physician, pharmacist, astronomer and on to less skilled professions, such as the butcher, the tailor, the carpenter and the fisherman. The series ends with the money fool, the gluttonous fool, the jester and the natural fool who "has no sense, acts and speaks without thinking and cannot follow advice."¹⁹

Seventeenth-century Dutch print series of trades illustrate some of the same occupations as in the Amman/Sachs images, but do not copy the earlier sixteenth-century series.

The ca. 1635 print series of trades by Joris van Vliet includes only eighteen images and limits itself to a narrower social group, including such occupations as the blacksmith, locksmith (cat. no. 66), mason, carpenter, basketworker, broom-maker (cat. no. 67), shoemaker, baker, sail maker (cat. no. 68), weaver, cooper and so on. Excluded from Van Vliet's series, therefore, are the two extremes of the social spectrum, beggars and members of the Church and royalty, that Amman and Sachs chose to include. Political changes in seventeenth-century Netherlands undoubtedly account for the exclusion of the representatives of the Catholic church and royalty from Van Vliet's series.

The 1694 print series of 100 trades and professions, *Het Menselyk Bedryf* (The Human Profession) by Jan Luycken, includes many of the occupations previously depicted by



Amman and Van Vliet, but it also omits others and introduces new ones. Drawings, which served as designs for the plates, include those for the ship carpenter, book printer and diamond cutter (cat. nos. 36, 37 & 39). The exclusion from Luycken's series of many of those professions depicted in Amman/Sachs's *Ständebuch*, such as members of the Catholic Church, the kettledrummer, pilgrims, the spurmaker and the peddler, can be understood in terms of the political, social and religious changes that took place over a century and a half. Similarly, the inclusion of several occupations in Luycken's series that were not depicted in Amman/Sachs's *Ständebuch*, such as five different trades within the cloth industry and five within the shipping industry, reflects significant economic developments in the Netherlands over the seventeenth-century. Although several of Luycken's new additions to his series of occupations represent a modernization of the contents, by the end of the seventeenth-century the artist's inclusion of moralizing inscriptions beneath each of the images constituted an old-fashioned mode.

In addition to traditional pictorial sources, such as the print series, certain seventeenth-century images of occupations could have found their source of inspiration in theatrical, proverbial and literary traditions in which certain trades or professions were characteristically featured. The doctor and the servant, for example, enjoyed stock roles in the popular *commedia dell'arte* and in the amateur groups of performing rhetoricians known as *rederijkers*.²⁰ Every depiction of a doctor as in, for example, Pieter Quast's *The Doctor's Shop* (cat. no. 14), or Jan Steen's *Love-Sick Maiden* (cat. no. 18), did not necessarily have its source in the theater, but identifying characteristics, such as costuming, reveal that many did. The interpretive question that subsequently presents itself is to what extent does the image of the doctor or servant in a painting or print have the same meaning or function as the comparable character in the theater.

Similarly, proverbs in which members of certain occupations played a role may have provided a source for seventeenth-century images of tradespeople. The Flemish proverb, for example, "a usurer, a miller, a banker and an exciseman are the Devil's four evangelists," demonstrates certain common attitudes toward those professions.

Depictions of trades and professions appeared as well in didactic seventeenth-century emblem books and moralizing prints with accompanying inscriptions.²¹ Such literary contexts provide another way in which to understand contemporary paintings and prints of occupations that lack explanatory or didactic inscriptions. The depiction of the same profession in an emblem book as well as in a painting, however, does not ensure that the two images had the same function and meaning.²² It is difficult to determine what the relationship of the moralizing emblematic image might be to the painting or print of the same occupation. A judgement must be shaped by an assessment of the overall tone or mood of the pictorial context in which the unscripted image of the profession is depicted.

Typical Dutch holiday traditions provide an additional context in which to understand the origin, meaning and function of certain depictions of trades and professions. Although pancake makers could be identified with the element of taste in print series of the senses, as is the case in the print by Jan Both (cat. no. 50), they were also an integral part of the real-life celebration of Shrove Tuesday, which

preceded Lent.²³ Prints or paintings of a pancake maker could, therefore, make reference to either the sense of taste, the holiday of Shrove Tuesday, both traditions, or neither. Again, the larger context in which the artist rendered the pancake maker and the tone or mood that as established would be interpretive clues to understanding the meaning and function of the particular image.

In addition to the various pictorial and literary traditions that helped to determine the selection and appearance of occupations in seventeenth-century Dutch paintings and prints, economic and social circumstances tied to specific professions and trades also had an impact on the imagery. It is surprising, however, that such economic and social conditions did not have an even greater influence on the production of depictions of trades and professions.

The Dutch textile industry and Dutch horticulture, including the related marketing of vegetables, provide two examples in which economic and professional success were reflected in images of trades and professions. Both industries reached the height of their international fame at the same time as paintings were produced in which the industries were celebrated.²⁴ Paintings of the bleaching fields outside Haarlem, such as Jacob van Ruisdael's *Bleaching Fields Near Haarlem* (cat. no. 15), paintings of weavers in their workshops by Haarlem painters, and a large number of paintings of vegetable women, such as Jan Steen's *Vegetable Market* (cat. no. 17), attest to the pride taken by the general Dutch public in such developments.²⁵ Literary praise of the textile industry and of horticulture found in city histories and travelers' chronicles parallels the pictorial expression in the paintings of bleachers, weavers and vegetable market sellers.

Consistently favorable social attitudes toward some economically successful industries, like bleaching, seemed to have provided the initial impetus for the creation of many celebratory and commemorative paintings in which the industry was shown. Other trades or professions experienced changes in attitudes toward them from negative to positive which may have influenced comparable changes in the ways such professions were depicted. Rembrandt's etching of a *Ratcatcher*, 1632, for example, presents a scruffy, whiskered itinerant who, like the dead rat that he holds up, is rebuffed with disgust by the customer at the open half-door.²⁶ Two decades later, however, Cornelis Visscher presented a much more refined ratcatcher in his 1655 engraving (cat. no. 64). The beard, clothes and demeanor attest to the more respectable role that the ratcatcher then played in Dutch society.*

Although such idiosyncratic issues concerning seventeenth-century Dutch economic and social history may be raised by the depictions of trades and professions, the interpretive challenges of such images represent a microcosm of the richness of seventeenth-century Dutch art as a whole. Various pictorial and historical contexts have been suggested here for understanding the derivation, meaning and function of such imagery, but the most visually engaging images tend not to be understood in terms of any one of these contexts, but rather in terms of a network of sources, attitudes and influences. As in the viewer's occupation with other aspects of seventeenth-century Dutch art, one of the most pleasurable tasks or labors he or she may have is to work toward an understanding and appreciation of the seventeenth-century Dutch images of trades and professions.



15

1. John Michael Montias has written most extensively on the subject of inventories of collections of seventeenth-century Dutch, more specifically Delft, art collections. He classified 9623 paintings into 56 subject groups which he then combined into 12 major categories. Montias went on to discuss difficulties he encountered in classification. John Michael Montias, *Artists and Artisans in Delft: A Socio-Economic Study of the Seventeenth Century*, Princeton, N.J., 1982, pp. 238 & 240

2. Shop signboards offer another context in which trades and professions were depicted. One can imagine that such signboards were common in the seventeenth century even though very few are extant today. Aelbert Cuyyp's copper signboard (45.5 x 52 cm.) for a wineshop provides a fine example. On one side, the artist depicted tasting the wine; on the other side of the signboard, workers barrel the wine. See: *All the Paintings of the Rijksmuseum in Amsterdam. A Completely Illustrated Catalogue by the Department of Paintings of the Rijksmuseum*, Maarssen, The Netherlands, 1976, p. 184.

3. The only two examples known to me were commissioned

by textile guilds. In the late sixteenth-century, Jan Swart of Groningen produced a painting for the weavers' guild that depicted two working weavers in the bottom foreground subordinated to the scene behind them of the guild's patron saint, Bishop Severus, entering the Church. Between 1594 and 1612, Isaac van Swanenburgh produced four paintings for the Leiden say guildhall that depict the steps in the production of say. *Saai* weaving or "say" in English, was actually only one of several *nieuwe* (new) draperies produced in Leiden at the end of the sixteenth-century. The *nieuwe* draperies were distinguished from the old draperies, or "pure" woolen cloth, by the preparation of the wool or by the mixing of the woolen yarn with other fibers, such as cotton or silk.

4. Lucinda Kate Reinold, *The Representation of the Beggar as Rogue in Dutch Seventeenth-Century Art*, Ph.D. Dissertation, University of California, Berkeley, 1981, pp. 1-2.

5. Simon Schama, *The Embarrassment of Riches, An Interpretation of Dutch Culture in the Golden Age*, New York, 1987, p. 467. See also: Paul Zumthor, *Daily Life in Rembrandt's Holland*, London, 1962, p. 132.

6. Schama, op. cit. (note 5), p. 468. In 1611, the bailiff of Wassenaar turned down an offer of eighty guilders for his permission to set up a brothel of Amsterdam prostitutes at the horse fair because the sum seemed insufficient for the lucrative business opportunity. A. Th. van Deursen, *Het kopergeld van de Gouden Eeuw*, Vol. II, *Volkscultuur*, Amsterdam, 1978, p. 36; cited in *Ibid.*
7. Some art historians have argued that Calvinism "showed the path" toward the depiction of secular subjects, in contrast with religious subjects, that characterize so much of seventeenth-century Dutch art. Erik Larsen with the collaboration of Jane P. Davidson, *Calvinistic Economy and 17th Century Dutch Art*, University of Kansas Humanistic Studies, 51, Lawrence, KS, 1979, p. 59.
8. Linda Stone-Ferrier, "A Reconsideration of Ruisdael and Rembrandt," *Art Bulletin*, LXVII, Nr. 3 (September 1985), pp. 417-436.
9. The discrepancy between the lack of pictorial commemoration of a successful industry and the wealth of images celebrating another comparably successful one may possibly be due to the fact that some industries, such as Amsterdam's ship building and sugar refining, remained outside the control of a guild. Instead, the government regulated their affairs. Charles Singer, ed., *A History of Technology*, Oxford, 1957, p. 151. The lack both of an organization and identification as a united industry may have affected adversely any chance for a market for self-congratulatory imagery. Smaller-scaled professions, such as book sellers, pharmacists, bakers, peddlers and others had been organized into guilds, but may not have been large enough organizations to afford to commission any art object for the guildhall larger than the traditional beakers, grave plaques and guildshrines.
10. Linda Stone-Ferrier, "Spinners of Virtue, the Lacework of Folly, and the World Wound Upside Down," in the forthcoming volume of selected papers from the conference, "Cloth and the Order of Human Experience," sponsored by the Wenner-Gren Foundation for Anthropological Research, to be published by the Smithsonian Press in their Studies in Ethnographic Inquiry series.
11. For a fuller account, see Durham, North Carolina, Duke University Museum of Art, *Recent Tapestries*, 11 March-23 April 1972, exhibition catalogue, and *Ciba Review*, XXVIII, December 1939, p. 986.
12. Such allusions occurred most frequently in emblems, as exemplified by one such image in Jacob Cats' *Sinne- en Minne-Beelden & Emblemata Amores Morelque spectantia*, Amsterdam, 1622, pp. 54-55, in which a fashionably dressed young woman embroiders while watched by cupid. The speaker relates: "Your needle bores a hole; your thread makes the stitch. Love, treat me in the same way; keep all the same strokes. You know I am wounded by your sweet mouth. Go on, heal the pain there where you gave me the wound."
13. In 1621-22, in his satire, *'T Costelick Mal* (The Costly Folly), for example, Constantijn Huygens criticized women who could not resist elegant apparel. Cited in Rosalie L. Colie, "Some Thankfulness to Constantine" *A Study of English Influence upon the Early Works of Constantijn Huygens*, The Hague, 1956, p. 40.
14. Such series derived from Medieval imagery found, for example, in the sculptural programs of Gothic cathedrals and in illuminated manuscripts.
15. F.W.H. Hollstein, *Dutch and Flemish Etchings, Engravings, and Woodcuts*, Amsterdam, 1949, Vol. VI, p. 76.
16. *Ibid.*, Vol. VII, p. 119.
17. The translation is taken from Jost Amman and Hans Sachs, *The Book of Trades (Ständebuch)*, with an introduction by Benjamin A. Rifkin, New York, 1973, p. 22.
18. *Ibid.*, p. 60.
19. *Ibid.*, p. 122. Interestingly, the image of the natural fool is exactly the same as that of the peddler who was depicted much earlier in the series.
20. S.J. Gudlaugsson, *The Comedians in the Work of Jan Steen and His Contemporaries*, Soest, The Netherlands, 1975, pp. 8-23, 50-54; A. Heppner, "The Popular Theatre of the Rederijkers in the Work of Jan Steen and His Contemporaries," *Journal of the Warburg and Courtauld Institutes*, Vol. 3; (1939-40), pp. 22-48; and Barbara Stanton-Hirst, *The Influence of the Theatre on the Works of Pieter Jansz. Quast*, Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Wisconsin, Madison, 1978, pp. 114-129, 133-154.
21. Herman Saftleven's 1647 etching of an itinerant spectacles salesman, for example, is inscribed "Bedrieger," or deceiver. In an example from an anonymous Amsterdam emblem book from 1704, the depiction of a young female who spins is inscribed: "Domesticity is women's crown jewel, such a crown to ornament a woman as dutifully running the peaceful house." Anonymous, *Emblemata Selectiora*, Amsterdam, 1704, p. 4.
22. Prof. Eddy de Jongh of the art history institute of the University of Utrecht was the first to promote the ways in which emblematic images and their didactic inscriptions could function as keys to unlocking the meaning of seemingly realistic seventeenth-century Dutch paintings. Since his ground-breaking publications, including the exhibition catalogue, *Tot Lering en Vermaak* (Amsterdam, Rijksmuseum 1976), much scholarly discussion has ensued concerning the value and limitations of such a methodology. See, for example, Svetlana Alpers, *The Art of Describing: Seventeenth-Century Dutch Art*, Chicago, 1983, pp. 229-233.
23. Jonathan Markel, entry on Jan Steen's *Pancake Maker*, in *Dutch Life in the Golden Century, An Exhibition of Seventeenth Century Dutch Painting of Daily Life*, catalogue essay and notes by Franklin W. Robinson, Museum of Fine Arts, St. Petersburg, Florida and Atlanta, Georgia, 1975, pp. 41-42.
24. For a discussion of the success of Dutch horticulture, see Jan de Vries, *The Dutch Rural Economy in the Golden Age, 1500-1700*, New Haven and London, 1974, pp. 153-164; W.J. Sangers, "Amsterdams' betekenis voor de groententeelt in de 17de eeuw," *Tijdschrift voor economische en sociale geographie*, 38 (1947), pp. 52-55; W.J. Sangers, *De ontwikkeling van de Nederlandse tuinbouw tot het jaar 1930*, Zwolle, The Netherlands, 1952, pp. 111-134; and Stone-Ferrier's unpublished study, "Gabriel Metsu's Vegetable Market at Amsterdam."
25. *Ibid.*
26. Hollstein, op. cit. (note 15), Vol. XVIII, pp. 63-64 and Vol. XIX, p. 116.



1



2



3

People at Work: Seventeenth Century Dutch Art CATALOGUE

PAINTINGS:

1. Pieter Aertsen circle
1508-1575, Amsterdam
Market Scene
oil on canvas
41 1/4 X 54 1/2 in.
Hofstra Museum, Gift of Robert Chapellier, Chapellier Gal-
leries, 1969, HU 69.1

Pieter Aertsen and his wife's nephew, Joachim Beuckelaer, utilized what later art historians have termed "genre themes" in some of their paintings. Aertsen's "Kitchen Scene" and Beuckelaer's "Kitchen Scene with Jesus in the House of Mary and Martha" (1566), both at the Rijksmuseum in Amsterdam, are noteworthy for the realistic handling of human figures and the still-life quality of the arranged foodstuffs which are being prepared.

Beuckelaer's "Market Wives with Poultry and Vegetables" (1561) at Kunsthistorisches Museum in Vienna, and his "Fish Market" (1574) in Antwerp are similar in feeling to "The Market Scene" in Hofstra's collection, painted either by Aertsen, Bueckelaer, or one of Aertsen's followers, which shows that same scrupulous attention to detail. This market scene is devoid of religious overtones. It is an important precursor to many seventeenth-century Dutch artists, such as Joachim Wtewael, H. M. Sorgh and Emanuel De Witte, who took special delight in capturing the details of fish markets, vegetable markets, or poultry markets.

2. Cornelis Pietersz. Bega
1631/32-1664, Haarlem
The Alchemist, 1660
oil on canvas
16 1/4 X 15 in.
signed lower right, C bega
Isabel and Alfred Bader Collection

Cornelis Bega, son of a wood sculptor father and grandson of the painter, Cornelis van Haarlem, whose illegitimate daughter was Bega's mother, studied with Adriaen van Ostade. He entered the Guild of Saint Luke in Haarlem in 1653. Bega painted peasant interiors in the manner of his teacher.

The alchemist, a humbly dressed, bare-legged man, ignores the clutter and confusion of jugs, books, bottles, retort, and mortars and pestles, in his modest "laboratory" while he focuses his attention on the task of weighing cinnabar (mercuric sulfide). Lit by sunlight entering through the window on the left, the darkness of the interior is contrasted by the roof-tops of buildings seen through the window. This version of "The Alchemist" is almost identical to a smaller version of "The Chemist" on panel in the collection of the J. Paul Getty Museum, in Malibu. The painting on canvas is thought to be the earlier version. Dr. Bader's analysis of the sequencing of the two works was published in *Aldrichimica Acta*, Volume 4, Number 2, 1971.

3. Hendrik Bloemaert
1601-1672, Utrecht
Grocery Seller with Boy, 1623
oil on canvas
28 X 23 in.
initialed and dated on basket
Isabel and Alfred Bader Collection

The wrinkled, lined face of the toothless old woman holding the basket of apples contrasts sharply with the smooth skin and delicate ear of the young boy. Simon Schama (1987) has observed that Dutch artists lingered over every wrinkle and blemish in elderly female subjects, painting or etching a "moral topography." One interpretation of this painting by the oldest son of Abraham Bloemaert hints at erotic possibilities implicit in the seductive connotations of women offering apples (as Eve offered to the innocent Adam) and in the position of the woman's index finger, others are inclined to note the Dutch artist's fascination for texture and surface, highlighting the vegetable's leafiness, the wicker bands surrounding the fruit basket, and the fresh, crisp appearance of the boy's lace collar in contrast to the flatter and worn texture in the collar surrounding the old market woman's creased neck.



4. Pieter van den Bosch
Amsterdam 1613-1663, London
Kitchen Interior with a Woman Scouring Pans
oil on panel
15 1/2 X 21 3/4 in.
New Orleans Museum of Art, Bequest of Bert Piso, 81.226

In this simple interior, the artist created two images of women's domestic activity. On the left, the spinning wheel awaits with a cushioned chair and nearby footwarmer for the woman who is expected to sit and spin. On the right, the busy woman scours a pan, surrounded by a still-life arrangement of metal cooking pots, pottery milk jugs, and a leafy cabbage. Her role as an exemplar of domestic virtue is echoed in the adoring look cast her way by the young child at her right elbow. Hearth and home, coupled with cleanliness, helped to define the work world, and work ethic, of the good *huisvrouw*. While this kitchen seems sparsely furnished, there is a portrait hung over the chimney piece.

A strikingly similar rendition by van den Bosch of the woman scouring pots and other cooking utensils is to be found at the National Gallery, London. In the London painting, "Serving Maid with Pots and Pans," the attention is focused exclusively on the activities of scrubbing; no visual reference is made to spinning, or childcare. Schama (1987) quotes Thomas Nugent's *Grand Tour* account (1738) as saying that the Dutch were "perfect slaves to cleanliness."

5. Quiringh Gerritsz. van Brekelenkam
(Quiryng Breklenkan/Breklenkam)
ca. 1625-1667/68, Leyden
Old Woman Scraping Carrots or Old Woman Sitting at her Fireplace
oil on panel
11 1/2 X 14 1/2 in.
New Orleans Museum of Art, Gift of Allen H. Johness, Jr., 76.306

Brekelenkam painted many pictures of "ordinary" people working, notably tailors in their household workshops assisted by apprentices and their wives who spun, and women preparing foods. In this painting, the spinning wheel as an "emblem" of domestic virtue is displayed, but attention is directed to the task which the old woman is performing, scraping carrots for a meal. The carrots and other vegetables at her feet will ultimately be cooked and served in the utensils which have been arranged at her feet. The Dutch were regarded by other Europeans as very well fed; culinary historians confirm that impression.

6. Aert de Gelder
1645-1727, Dordrecht
The Artist in His Studio
oil on canvas
18 1/2 X 22 1/4 in.
Isabel and Alfred Bader Collection

Aert de Gelder was one of Rembrandt's last students, along with Carel Fabritus, and remained faithful to his master's style long after it had ceased to be fashionable. In this quiet account of the artist seated at his easel, painting the portrait of a seated man posed with his right arm resting on the top of the chair, we cannot help but notice the imposingly large sized canvas propped on the easel, the T square hanging on the rear wall, the suspended draperies with which the artist could regulate the light streaming in through the window on the left, and the apprentice grinding colors at the rear of the studio. Many artists often crammed "props" into their studio space for use in "setting the scene" when painting interiors or genre scenes. De Gelder's artist's studio has very few of these items, and a calm, serene atmosphere is created. That serenity is reinforced by the muted use of color.



5



6




7

7. Hendrick Heerschop
The Alchemist oil on panel
 22 1/4 X 17 1/4 in.
 Isabel and Alfred Bader Collection

Heerschop's ruddy faced alchemist is pausing in his labors to draw on his pipe. A small anvil, tongs, retorts, bellows, glass vials, copper kettle, a brass basin, pottery jugs, and much-worn books surround him as he sits at his work bench. He has been distilling some liquid and his eyes seem riveted on the slowly filling container. The red draped table covering echoes the reddish jacket of the alchemist. His draped work apron and leggings are reminiscent of the white draped cloth on the work bench. The work demonstrates a masterful command of painterly technique.

Christopher Brown's *Images of a Golden Past* claimed that "the foolish alchemist" had become a "threadbare visual cliché" which was no longer tied to "observations of contemporary life." In Heerschop's depiction, there is very close fidelity to the equipment actually used in small chemistry laboratories or workshops; and there is virtually no indication that Heerschop considered this alchemist "foolish."



8

8. Pieter de Hooch
 Rotterdam 1629-1684 Amsterdam
Interior of a Dutch House, 168(?)
 oil on canvas
 22 5/8 X 27 1/2 in.
 signed lower left, Pd. Hoogh/A 168(?)
 Museum of Fine Arts, Boston, Bequest of Susan Cornelia Warren, 03.607

De Hooch was born in Rotterdam and studied with Nicolaes Berchem at the same time as Jacob Ochtervelt. He worked primarily in Delft and Amsterdam. His interiors and court-yards captured the Dutch housewife, kitchen maid, and serving girls busy at domestic household work. But the atmosphere was almost always calm and peaceful. Pieter de Hooch was fascinated with the play of light and shadows, and the creation and delineation of interior spaces.

In this painting, which Sutton assumes to have been done some time during the last four years of the artist's life, a woman kneeling by a fireplace, with her back to the viewer, is talking to a standing woman with a basket in her hand. At the right, a door opens onto another room flooded with sunlight playing on a tiled floor through a series of windows and an open door. Beyond the exterior doorway, trees can be seen in the background.

This painting at Boston is very similar to a representation of "Two Women by a Fireplace" located at the Musée Granet in Aix-en-Provence. Both of them show some of the tendencies toward stylization and mannerism which characterized de Hooch's later work after he moved to Amsterdam. On the other hand, while his painting style had changed, the theme of domestic interiors with women working, often accompanied by little children, had begun in his earlier years in Delft where he worked between 1652 and 1660.



9

9. Samuel van Hoogstraten
 1627-1678, Dordrecht
The First Born oil on canvas
 27 1/2 X 22 1/4 in.
 signed, on cradle
 Museum of Fine Arts, Springfield, Massachusetts, The James Philip Gray Collection, 52.02

Samuel van Hoogstraten, who had studied initially with his father, Dirck Hoogstraten, and later with Rembrandt in Amsterdam, worked in Dordrecht and The Hague. In this painting, a young mother wearing a white, rich, silken garment with a yellow collar over a yellow laced bodice is seated beside a wicker cradle from which a young, open-eyed baby peers. The child is nestling amidst linens under a fur rug. Standing behind the mother is an older woman, possibly the grandmother, dressed in a red velvet robe. Both women are gazing at the child with obvious pride and pleasure. On the left wall, partially disclosed by a twisted drape, is a flower painting. At the rear right, a door is opened revealing a room with a large wooden chest standing in front of a richly embossed leather wall covering. Clearly, the artist was a master of texture.

Such mastery would be in keeping with his own commentary on the painter's art as providing a deceptive, albeit praiseworthy and amusing, "mirror of Nature!"

The representation of a woman, usually a young mother, with a child in a cradle, was a theme explored by other artists. See, for example, Pieter de Hooch's "A Woman Beside a Cradle" at the Gemäldegalerie in Berlin, or Gerrit Dou's "The Young Mother" (c.1655) also in Berlin.



10

10. Albert J. Klomp
1618-1688, Amsterdam
Classical Landscape with Shepherdess
oil on canvas
14 X 20 in.
Seena and Arnold Davis Collection

This Amsterdam painter worked in the tradition of many Dutch painters, who visited Italy and were taken with bucolic vistas of shepherds, shepherdesses, and cowherds. Klomp's shepherdess sits amidst her flock, quietly spinning with a distaff. The arched ruin suggests the long lost glories of the Roman Empire which had particular appeal for a number of Dutch artists.

11. Judith Leyster
Haarlem 1609-1660 Heemstede
Self-Portrait, c. 1635
oil on canvas
29 3/8 X 25 5/8 in.
National Gallery of Art, Washington, Gift of Mr. and Mrs Robert Woods Bliss, 1949.6.1 (1050)

Judith Leyster, the daughter of a Haarlem brewer, who had studied with Frans Hals, was admitted to the Haarlem Guild in 1633 and attracted students of her own. Her marriage in 1636 to the painter Jan Miense Molenaer resulted in many of her works being misattributed to him or Frans Hals. She was a fully competent painter in her own right.

Her obvious pride in her abilities shines through in this self-portrait of the artist at her easel, working on "The Merry Fiddler", around the time she had been admitted to the Guild of St. Luke. The Fiddler was one figure in "The Merry Trio" (c.1629-31) now in the collection of Mr. and Mrs. P. L. Galjart in the Netherlands.



11

12. Egbert van der Poel
Delft 1621-1664 Rotterdam
Farmhouse on a Canal, 1648
oil on oak panel
18 3/4 X 21 3/4 in.
The Art Museum, Princeton University, Museum Purchase, 29-20

While ducks swim about or stand at the canal's edge, ready for the plunge, they pay no attention either to the peasant woman (on the right) down on her knees washing out a pan at the edge of the canal or to the peasant man (on the left) walking into the yard of the farmhouse where a number of large caldrons, pottery jugs, and wooden buckets are arranged. The artist has wittily signed and dated the painting on a stick floating in the canal at the lower right side.

Strikingly similar renditions of the barnyard filled with chipped and battered pottery, crockery, barrels and jugs can be seen in van der Poel's "Barnyard Scene with Two Figures and a Cart" at the Worcester Art Museum. A third oil on panel of the "Barnyard Scene," dated 1649, appeared in a 1975 Leo Spik auction in Berlin. Its present whereabouts is unknown. (See James A. Welu, 1979.)



12



13

13. Hendrick Gerritsz. Pot
c.1585-1657, Haarlem
Scene in a Bordello
oil on oval panel
14 1/2 X 19 in.

New Orleans Museum of Art, Bequest of Bert Piso, 81.265

Hendrick Pot, the Haarlem-born painter who moved to Amsterdam around 1650, was a painter of *bordeeltjes*, merry companies, and guardroom scenes. He captured well the "low-life" dimensions of brothels. Here the leering procurer is encouraging the lecherous soldier (whose uniform jacket and sword have been unceremoniously draped over a chair) to fondle the prostitute. They've obviously been drinking and eating oysters (thought to be an aphrodisiac, and typically associated with sexual overtures.) While his hands are playing with the woman's bodice and waist, her left hand is stretched back to reach toward the procurer for the money bag which the cavalier has given for favors about to be received. The large bedstead behind the procurer at the right, with its curtains parted, make clear the next step in this progression. Often bordello scenes were thought to refer to the "Prodigal Son." In Pot's painting, there seems not the slightest indication of eventual remorse.

Lotte van de Pol (1984) commented that *bordeeltjes* were "found in the halls and livingrooms of respectable people, without causing much embarrassment," although she noted that prostitution, adultery, and procuring were criminal offenses. This ambivalence toward *hoererij* (whoredom) is reflected in many bordello scenes.



14

14. Pieter Quast
1605/06-1647, Amsterdam
The Doctor's Shop, 1632(?)
oil on copper
17 3/4 X 21 1/2 in.

The Art Museum, Princeton University, Gift of Frederic Fairchild Sherman, 80

Pulling teeth and letting blood were practiced by doctors, dentists, barber-surgeons, and quacks, who were often mocked by seventeenth century Dutch artists. Occasionally, such activities were depicted to illustrate the sense of "touch," as is the case with Jan Both's etching (cat. no. 51). In Pieter Quast's painting, a very elaborately dressed cavalier (a mockery perhaps of the wealth of doctors) is working on the swollen-faced peasant whose tooth is probably infected or abscessed. The patient is clasping his hands beneath his muscular bare knee in an effort to withstand the pain. A second seated patient, leaning forward over a barber-surgeon's metal bowl, is having his skull trepanned by a bumptious assistant.

Behind the "doctor" to the left can be seen the grinning skeleton, "Death," who wears a cap and reminds the viewer that the jars of medicines and books of healing formulae are apt to be as painful and, ultimately, ineffective, as the procedures to which these rude patients are submitting. "The Foot Operation" by Quast, at the Rijksmuseum, Amsterdam, depicts an old surgeon operating on his rustic patient. There is a skull resting on an open book, prominently displayed at the left in the foreground. It is, as Christopher Brown (1984) observed, "...hardly an encouraging omen."



15

15. Jacob van Ruisdael
1628/29-1682, Haarlem
Bleaching Fields Near Haarlem
oil on canvas
13 3/8 X 16 3/8 in.

Wadsworth Atheneum, Hartford, Ella Gallup Sumner and Mary Catlin Sumner Collection, 1950.498

Jacob van Ruisdael, the greatest of the Dutch landscape artists, produced a number of works capturing the environs of his native, and much beloved, Haarlem. As many as 18 of the 33 *Haarlemptjes* featured a distant view of the city surrounded by its bleaching fields. At the left, small figures of women can be seen laying out the linens to be bleached. This occupation was thought to be a "respectable" one for Haarlem women, but it did not pay well, according to Schama (1987). Like many of van Ruisdael's landscapes, this one concentrates the portrayed activity and land mass on the lower third of the canvas, permitting the artist to explore and exploit the cloud formations which were an almost ever-present accompaniment to sunny days on towns bordering the North Sea.

While earlier Flemish artists, especially Brueghel and David Teniers, had painted bleaching fields, they tended to concentrate on the workers laying out the linens. Van Ruisdael's paintings, which were sought after by linen merchants, Haar-



16

lem citizens, and visitors to Haarlem, were panoramic views of the bleach works located on the wind-swept dunes. As such, they not only commemorated a prosperous industry which was the envy of English, French, German, and Danish cloth merchants, but they celebrated the town as well. (See Stone-Ferrier's discussion, 1985.) Van Ruisdael's followers, Jan Vermeer van Haarlem (after 1600-1670) and Jan Kessel (ca. 1641-1679) also painted views of Haarlem and neighboring towns, with bleaching fields

16 Jan Steen
1625/26-1679, Leyden
The Fortune Teller, c.1648-52

oil on canvas
39 3/4 X 16 1/2 in.
Philadelphia Museum of Art, W.P. Wilstach Collection,
W'02-1-21

Jan Steen's works frequently mock the behavior of his countrymen, usually in a humorous (rather than a biting) way. Here the credulity of rural peasants is commented upon by the depiction of the fortune teller at the lower left who is plying her trade with some gullible people who are consulting her. Other peasants are going about their work-a-day tasks, carrying goods, or going to the fields, paying no attention to the small drama taking place.

17 Jan Steen
The Vegetable Market

oil on panel
29 X 23 1/2 in.
signed lower right, i. S.
Private Collection on loan to the Museum of Fine Arts Boston,
128.1984

Vegetables and fruits were available in both quantity and variety in the Netherlands. Depending upon the season, customers could choose from among onions, parsnips, turnips, beets, white and savoy cabbages, peas, beans, cucumbers, leeks, apples, pears, plums, cherries, and figs (de Vries, 1974 and Schama, 1987). Many painters lovingly depicted this abundance. See, for example, Sorgh's "Vegetable Market" at the Rijksmuseum, Amsterdam, or Metsu's "The Vegetable Market in Amsterdam" at the Louvre, Paris.

Steen's teacher, Adriaen van Ostade, painted a "Fish Stall" (1672), located at the Rijksmuseum, in which the fishwife offering the fish for sale is standing in a position roughly analogous to Steen's *marktenster*.

18 Jan Steen
The Lovesick Maiden

oil on canvas
34 X 39 in.
signed, i. STEEN
The Metropolitan Museum of Art, Bequest of Helen Swift
Neilson, 1945, 46.13.2


The "doctor's visit" is a theme in 18 Jan Steen paintings. The maidens were typically suffering from lovesickness, or erotic melancholia, or pregnancy. In some Steen variations on this theme, the distressed young woman is pictured with a lascivious cat or dog, a chamber pot to indicate that the doctor was using uroscopy to make his diagnosis, an older woman gazing sympathetically or condemningly, and a somewhat ridiculous doctor wearing old-fashioned clothing. Occasionally, the alleged "suitor" is also present. (See Peter Sutton's 1982/83 discussion of Steen's treatment of this theme.)

In this painting, the seated, young, buxom-breasted, woman is having her pulse checked by the doctor, who is holding her right wrist, while she raises her left hand to her brow. The older woman, wringing her hands, looks concerned. The doctor does not look surprised in the slightest; and the dog on the pillow near the foot-warmer snoozes through the entire scene. The young woman's foot on the foot-warmer and the bed-warming pan and brazier at the lower left portend the answer. The heat of passion, which obviously led the women at one point into the bed with two pillows seen at the rear right, has produced the condition. Through the open door at the left there is a charming view of trees and the town's skyline. Above the door is a figure of Cupid with his bow and arrows; perhaps he is to blame for her malady.

The "doctor's visit" was explored by other painters as well, notably Gabriel Metsu and Samuel van Hoogstraten whose "doctors" at the Hermitage in Leningrad and the Rijksmuseum in Amsterdam are examining urine in flasks, as well as by Richard Brackenburg. While doctors did make house visits in seventeenth-century Holland, the artists of the time enjoyed poking fun at medical practitioners in these romantic farces.



18



19



20



21

19. Abraham Storck

1644-c.1704, Amsterdam

View of Amsterdam oil on canvas

13 1/2 X 20 1/2 in.

signed lower left, A. Storck fecit

Museum of Fine Arts, Springfield, Massachusetts, The James Philip Gray Collection, 66.10

Storck was a member of the Amsterdam artistic community who primarily painted marines and naval battles. This harbor scene is busy with large trading ships and smaller boats transferring goods or transporting people. The foreground is occupied with two well dressed burghers and their three female companions strolling along the shoreline, a fisherman in his boat, two barelegged men who are chatting, and two others who are seated on the shoreline, oblivious to three swimmers in the water.

The same shoreline vantage point for observing the Amsterdam harbor, bustling with a man o'war amidst fishing boats and yachts, was featured in Storck's "Ships on a Calm Sea" (c.1684) at the Rijksmuseum Twenthe, in Enschede. Storck signed and dated (1684) a third painting which offers a view of Amsterdam harbor activities with boats at the dockside unloading fresh fish from wicker creels, also in the collection at Enschede.

20. David Teniers II

Antwerp 1610-1690 Brussels

Winter Landscape, c.1660

oil on canvas

41 1/2 X 67 in.

signed lower right, DTF

Hofstra Museum, Gift of Mrs. Blanche P. Billings Vander Poel, 1949, HU 49.1

Teniers, named after his painter father, depicts a swineherd driving two pigs along the wintry road. The months of November and December were conventionally a period of time in which hogs were butchered. (See Dusart's mezzotint for November, cat. no. 52.) His output was prodigious, close to 2000 works. He had become an independent master by 1632; court painter and keeper of Archduke Leopold Wilhelm's picture gallery in the Spanish Netherlands by 1647; and founder of the Antwerp Academy which opened in 1664.

Teniers' "A Winter Scene with a Man About to Kill a Pig" is among the Old Master paintings at the Dulwich Picture Gallery. The two men holding long poles on the pathway behind the Hofstra swineherd painting are virtually identical to those in the Dulwich painting. He also used the image of butchering hogs in his scene of "Winter" at the Noord Brabants Museum, 's Hertegenbosch.

Teniers, a Flemish painter, had considerable influence on a number of his Dutch peers, especially those working Rotterdam, (see Schneeman, 1982). He was a master of rural genre scenes.

21. Constantijn Verhout

Portrait of Cornelis Abrahamsz. Graswinckel, 166(?)

oil on panel

13 1/2 x 11 in.

signed, C Verhout

Isabel and Alfred Bader Collection

Graswinckel (1582-1664) owned the brewery *De Drie Ackeren* in the Voorstraat in Delft. He also served as *kerkmeester* at both the Oude Kerk and Nieuwe Kerk in Delft. The identification of Graswinckel as the subject of this portrait has been made, in part, based on a portrait of Cornelis Abrahamsz. Graswinckel in the *Hofje van Gratie*, an old-aged women's pension house in Delft which the Graswinckel family supported. The artist is not particularly well-known. He is known to have lived in Gouda in 1666 and 1667. Only one other signed work by Verhout, a dated (1663) painting showing a sleeping student with a pile of books, is presently known; it is located at the Nationalmuseum in Stockholm.

This portrait, with the brewer's calm eyes looking downward as he reflectively holds a stein of beer, is one of considerable beauty. The texture of his beard, the fur on his hat, and the raised textures on the surface of the pottery stein are palpable. Anthony Clark, then Director of the Minneapolis Institute of Arts, said of the portrait when it was exhibited in 1967 at the Kalamazoo Institute of Arts that it "...is as beautiful a piece of still life painting, and as original, daring, and elegant a work of art as anything I know...It is utterly clean and fresh!"



22

22. Jacobus Vrel
1634-1662
Interior with a Woman Darning
oil on panel
11 1/4 x 9 1/2 in.
Isabel and Alfred Bader Collection

Jacobus Vrel, who was active 1654-62, in Delft and Haarlem, painted quiet interiors of women working at their daily chores and some street scenes and courtyards. He is thought to have been influenced by Pieter de Hooch. This painting, like others by Vrel, is deceptively simple. By reducing any background detail and flattening the depth, the viewer's attention is focused by the gentle light falling onto the woman's head and shoulders. As David McTavish (1984) noted "the gentle restraint of such features confers a sober dignity on the everyday event" of darning to repair clothing. Darning is a frugal domestic activity, and not subject to possible double-meanings in quite the same way as other needlework activities. (See Linda StoneFerrier's discussion of eroticism and needlework in *Images of Textiles*.)



23

23. Emanuel de Witte
Alkmaar 1615/17-1692 Amsterdam
Kitchen Interior, c. 1660
oil on canvas, mounted on panel
19 1/8 X 16 3/8 in.
signed center right on mantel piece: E. de Witte/166(?)
Museum of Fine Arts Boston, Seth K. Sweetser Fund, 47.1314

Like Pieter de Hooch who also worked in Delft, Emanuel de Witte was interested in the effects of air and light as well as perspective and the construction of space. Those traits are visible even in the rendering of kitchen interiors with women busy at work with domestic chores.

In this painting, a cooking pot hangs on a pot hook in the fireplace over a roaring fire and a reflecting bake oven is on the floor near the flames. A woman is leaving the kitchen through the door at the rear, although the little dog seems to anticipate her fairly speedy return. There are windows on the left through which the sun streams, casting shadows.

This kitchen is in a fairly prosperous home, as reflected in the book, stein, and drinking glass on the drop-leaf table at the left, as well as the cushion atop the rush-bottomed chair near the table, the brass mortar and pestle prominently displayed in the left foreground, and the wooden mantel. There is a large painting on the rear wall near the door on the right.

24. Emanuel de Witte
Interior of the Nieuwe Kerk, Amsterdam, 1677
oil on canvas
50 1/4 X 46 in.
signed lower right E. De. Witte/ A.1677
Museum of Fine Arts Boston, M. Theresa B. Hopkins Fund, 49.7

De Witte specialized in church interiors, both in Delft and in Amsterdam. Church interiors were also a major part of the oeuvre of Pieter Saenredam. Arnold Houbraken (1721) has been quoted by Peter Sutton (1984) for observing of de Witte that "...in the painting of churches, no one was his equal with regard to orderly architecture, innovative use of light, and well-formed figures."

Unlike Saenredam, who paid strict attention to the faithful rendering of architectural details, de Witte took considerable pleasure in manipulating interior space in churches, following certain innovative approaches initially begun in the 1650's by Gerard Houckgeest (c. 1600-1661) who had had an influence on Emanuel de Witte while they were both in Delft.

In this painting, two grave diggers are at work. One is in the grave, shoveling dirt out to open the space; and the second is standing on the floor with a shovel in hand. Both of the grave diggers are talking to a somberly dressed man, while a dog at the lower right urinates on the base of a column. There is a wheelbarrow at the extreme left and rollers to move the grave stone away. The church vaulting and columns and hanging brass chandeliers have been rendered in de Witte's characteristic style. The transitoriness of life might well be part of what de Witte wished to communicate.



24




25

25. Emanuel de Witte
The New Fishmarket, Amsterdam
 oil on canvas
 17 1/2 x 20 1/2 in.
 signed lower left, E De Witte
 Wadsworth Atheneum, Hartford, Ella Gallup Sumner and
 Mary Catlin Sumner Collection, 1949.447

Men are seen purchasing fish from a fishwife at the dockside market. A female customer, holding the hand of a young child in her left hand, is in the foreground at the right. Two storks at the left hand side ignore the activity, and seem as oblivious to the hustle and bustle of the market as they are to the unfurled sails of the ship in port.

De Witte, who had worked in Alkmaar, Rotterdam, and Delft, had moved to Amsterdam in 1651; he was buried there in 1692 following his suicide.



26

26. Thomas Wyck
 Beverwijk 1616-1677 Haarlem
The Scholar
 oil on panel
 13 1/4 x 11 in.
 Isabel and Alfred Bader Collection

Thomas Wyck's scholar is found working at a lectern, surrounded with books and manuscripts, so many that they are cascading onto the floor. Behind him is a globe, reminding us of the seventeenth-century Dutch fascination with cartography, and the Dutch exploration of sailing routes (and trading routes) across the globe. Other Dutch artists tended to use the globe as a symbol of scholarly activity, whether depicting geographers or astronomers.

In many respects, "The Scholar" parallels Wyck's panel painting of "The Alchemist" at the Rijksmuseum, Amsterdam, in which the same window, bird cage, desk or tabletop lectern, globe, books, large rope-girded pottery jar, and man's clothing are repeated. Important differences also exist between the two works. The Rijksmuseum alchemist is located within a more domestic setting, surrounded by a seated woman and standing boy in the background, and a boy assistant or apprentice standing near the alchemist's desk. "The Scholar" in the Bader Collection appears to be a more focused picture.

DRAWINGS:



27

27. Anonymous Dutch artist
Genre Scene by the Sea
 pen and brown ink and brown wash on cream antique laid paper
 7 1/2 x 12 3/4 in.
 Harvard University Art Museums (Fogg Art Museum), Bequest of Austin A. Mitchell, 1969.96

This sketch of many people engaged in various activities by the sea depicts sturdy women carrying woven baskets filled with laundry or linens to be scrubbed, fishermen with small dories, a horse drawn cart transporting passengers, a woman balancing a bundle on her head, and at least one woman holding a small child.



28

28 Jan Asselijn, attr
Dieppe 1610-1652 Amsterdam
An Artist Seated on the Ground, Sketching
black chalk
5 15/16 x 6 13/16 in
The Pierpont Morgan Library, New York, Purchase as the
Gift of Mrs. Catherine Warner, 1974.69

Asselijn, an artist perhaps best known for his Italianate landscapes, was a friend of Rembrandt's who etched his portrait. In this drawing, the artist is remarkably free from the usual stock in trade items which typically surrounded artists portrayed in their studios. There is no easel, no maulstick, no pots of color, nor apprentices grinding colors. Just a simple scene of the artist sketching.

29 Andries Both
Utrecht 1612/13-1641 Venice
The Artist Seated at His Easel, possibly c.1634
pen and brown ink
5 1/2 x 7 1/4 in.
National Gallery of Art, Washington, Gift of Alice Steiner,
1985.41.1 (GD)

Andries Both's quick sketch of a rustic painter at his easel, holding a series of brushes and a maulstick in his left hand, while preparing to make a few strokes with his right hand on the small canvas also directs our attention to the elderly woman, with a much wrinkled face, who is sitting for the artist. The possible identity of the third figure, located to the right side of the sketch, is ambiguous, although it might be an apprentice grinding colors.



29

30 Cornelis Dusart
1660-1704, Haarlem
The Chair Mender
pen and point of brush, brown ink and wash, over preliminary
indication in graphite
7 7/8 x 6 1/8 in
The Pierpont Morgan Library, New York, I,261

This itinerant artisan is depicted with a load of reeds under his left arm, while he uses his right arm to help balance some three-legged stools and chairs on his head. There is almost a whistled tune in the air as he strides along, looking for customers needing his services.


Dusart's chair mender was one of a number of itinerant artisans who made their livelihoods at markets, fairs, and in door-to-door hawking of their services, rather like the scissors grinders, spectacles sellers, or ratcatchers. Mending rush-bottomed chairs was not especially skilled or well-paying work, but did meet a need.

Dusart, one of Adriaen van Ostade's last and youngest pupils, seems to share his master's interest in low-life scenes of village life. Dusart has captured an almost jaunty and care-free attitude in the body position of this chair mender.



30

31



31. Jan van Goyen
Leyden 1596-1656 The Hague
The Beach at Egmond aan Zee, 1649
black chalk and gray wash on off-white antique laid paper
6 1/2 x 11 1/4 in.
signed, VG 1649
Harvard University Art Museums (Fogg Art Museum), Gift of
Mr. and Mrs. Samuel B. Grimson, from the Paul M. Warburg
Collection, 1968.69

A horse drawn cart, loaded with some barrels and passengers, is pulling away from the water's edge. In the lower left background, a number of fishing boats are beached at this shore on the North Sea, where many small figures of fishermen, and those who have come to meet the boats, can be seen.

Jan van Goyen captures the details of busy activity in a tranquil image which seems almost frozen in time.

32. Jan van Goyen
A Fair, c.1651-53
black chalk with brown wash on buff paper
6 3/4 x 11 3/4 in.
The Metropolitan Museum of Art, Rogers Fund,
1906.06.1042.1

A village fair has been set up with market stalls lining the market place. In the foreground, a farmer and his wife can be seen unloading their produce from a small boat at the water's edge. Customers are seen browsing through the stalls, examining the goods, and perhaps listening to the cries of hucksters as well as assorted vendors.

Jan van Goyen, who studied with a number of masters in Leyden and Hoorn, came to Haarlem to study with Esaias van de Velde, and later moved to The Hague, was much taken with river scenes and rural landscapes. His work is characterized by tiny figures and many small, quick lines.

33. Philips de Koninck
1619-1688, Amsterdam
A School in Session
pen and brown ink, brown wash, some corrections with white
tempera
7 3/16 x 8 in.
The Pierpont Morgan Library, New York, I,213c

Schools and schoolmasters were featured in a number of treatments by strikingly diverse artists. Jan Steen's "The Village School" (c.1663-65) at the National Gallery of Ireland in Dublin presents the spectacle of the seated teacher at his bench striking a ferule on the hand of a weeping student whose crumpled lesson is on the floor. The disciplined student is standing at the teacher's desk, while other pupils also stand there ready to recite. Steen went even further in depicting the chaos in a rowdy classroom in "The Unruly School," on loan to the National Gallery of Scotland, Edinburgh, from the Collection of the Duke of Sutherland.

Gerrit Dou painted at least two accounts of evening or night schools, one located at the Rijksmuseum, Amsterdam, the other at the Metropolitan Museum of Art. His students have an air of earnestness about them. Adriaen van Ostade's 1644 etching of "The Schoolmaster" has a seated teacher listening to the children who are standing near his desk ready to read and recite their lessons. Returning to this subject in his 1662 panel painting of "The Schoolmaster," at the Rijksmuseum, van Ostade pictures much younger students, some barely out of infancy, who play and study in the schoolroom.


Philips de Koninck, in this drawing, makes it clear that pupils of varying ages received instruction from the same schoolmaster. His schoolmaster has a ferule in his right hand as he talks to two small children standing at his desk. Two much larger, older pupils sit at the left, engaged in conversation. One of them has an alphabet book of letters (A,B,C,D) suspended from a belt at his waist. A shelf with a few books near the door of the room, and more pupils seated in the background reading books complete this scene.



32



33



34

34. Jan Luyken
1649-1712, Amsterdam
Weaver
pen and brown ink, gray wash
3 1/2 x 3 in
Amsterdams Historisch Museum, A13379

At the conclusion of the seventeenth century, Jan Luyken, and his son, Caspar, prepared a book of prints and verses in the tradition of the sixteenth century Amman/Sach's "ständebuch." The Luyken volume was called *Spiegel van het Menselyk Bedryf*. It was published in 1694. In the volume, some 100 different occupations are represented with moralizing commentary.

The weaver was one of the early representations in the series. Shown at his loom, he is working in his cottage, while a female customer looks through the Dutch door to speak with the weaver and his wife, who probably assisted in the sale of his cloth. Weavers were typically represented in this "cottage industry" fashion, rather than as members of the manufacturing guild except for the series of paintings which Isaak Nicolai van Swanenburgh was commissioned to execute for the "saie" guildhall in Leyden. (See Stone-Ferrier, 1985.) Weavers were thought of as industrious and hard-working. A number of paintings of weavers had been executed earlier by Cornelis Decker; invariably the weaver was working at or near his loom which dominated the workspace in his cottage.



35

35. Jan Luyken
Lanternmaker
pen and brown ink, gray wash
3 1/2 x 3 in
Amsterdams Historisch Museum, A13394

Number 30 in the series of drawings for *het Menselyk Bedryf*, the lanternmaker is seen in his shop, hammering away with his back to the viewer. Through the front of his shop, one can see the row of houses lining the canal where ducks swim. An apprentice wearing a heavy leather work apron is holding poles from which the lanterns will be hung. In the background at the left, are panes of horn for the lanterns. Clearly, the lanternmaker also molded other metal objects, such as buckets and funnels, for sale.

36. Jan Luyken
Ship's Carpenter
pen and brown ink, gray wash
3 1/2 x 3 in.
Amsterdams Historisch Museum, A13401

The ship carpenters were an important link in the economic prosperity of the Netherlands during the seventeenth century. It was they who built and repaired the man o' wars, whaling ships, and large trading vessels, as well as the more ordinary fishing boats, that assured Dutch merchant interests on the seas.

During the third quarter of the seventeenth century, the Amsterdam guild of ship carpenters commissioned funeral regalia, consisting of a series of four silver plaques used to decorate the coffin of a deceased guild member when his coffin was being borne to the church for funeral services and burial. These "begrafenisschilden" are in the Amsterdams Historisch Museum's collection. They show various stages of construction, from shaping felled timber to the eventual launching of the vessel. In many respects, Luyken's drawing captures those early tasks in the preparation of timbers to be steamed, shaped, and fitted onto the ribbing to create the ship hull. In the background of the drawing, ships closer to completion are shown with their masts in place.

An especially telling glimpse of the ship carpenters repairing a vessel can be seen in a marine painting by Ludolf Bakhuysen the Elder (1630-1708) now in the Amsterdams Historisch Museum. Otto Naumann (1984) noted that ship carpenters were never featured in genre paintings.



36



37

37. Jan Luyken

Book Printer

pen and brown ink, gray wash

3 1/2 x 3 in

Amsterdams Historisch Museum, A13426

Luyken executed four drawings related to the printing and publishing of books. They included depictions of the paper-maker, the book printer, the copper-plate printer, and the book binder

The book printer is operating the press in the foreground, which visually dominates the drawing. In the background, at the left, a second man is setting type into a form so that the metal can be inked, and the paper laid over it and pressed into sheets. Overhead, the printed folios have been hung up to dry, before they are bound together.

The book printers guild was established by 1662 as an offshoot of the artists' guild of St. Luke

38. Jan Luyken

Copper-plate Printer

pen and brown ink, gray wash


3 1/2 x 3 in.

Amsterdams Historisch Museum, A13427

Etched and engraved copper-plates were inked; moistened paper was laid over the plates; heavy rollers pressed the paper so that it picked up the impression incised into the plate

The proliferation of copper-plate printers made it possible for many artists to produce prints which could have wide distribution. Some artists simply designed the work and an engraver or etcher cut the plate to be printed; other artists like Rembrandt and Adriaen van Ostade were intimately involved in the engraving and etching process, and made changes in the plates as they passed through several different states. The action of the heavy rollers tended to cause a loss of some detail with subsequent impressions.

In Luyken's drawing, the physical force needed to slide the plate and paper under the roller is indicated by the printer's muscular arms and his stance; in the background, a man can be seen inking a plate.



38

39. Jan Luyken

Diamond Cutter

pen and brown ink, gray wash

3 1/2 x 3 in.

Amsterdams Historisch Museum, A13446

The Netherlands had become the center of the diamond industry and the pearl setting and grading industry by the seventeenth century. Initially, the skilled workers in the United Provinces had been drawn from among the Antwerp workers in the Spanish Netherlands. Luyken drew the diamond cutters who cut, faceted, and polished the stones. The gem stones were then set by jewelers. It is interesting to note that women were employed in this industry.



39



40

40 Nicolaes Maes
Dordrecht 1634-1693 Amsterdam
A Scolding Fishwife
pen and brush, brown ink and wash
6 3/4 x 7 1/2 in
The Metropolitan Museum of Art, Rogers Fund, 1947, 47.127.4

Maes had been a student of Rembrandt around 1650. The influence of Rembrandt is clearly seen in the lines of this lovely drawing, which depicts the seated fishwife berating her potential women customers. She grabs the apron of the woman on the right, who appears eager to be away. Fish was such a staple item in the Dutch diet, that customers were very demanding about its freshness.

41. Jan van Noordt
active in Amsterdam c.1644-1676, died after 1676
Kitchen Maid with a Knife
black and white chalk on beige antique laid paper
10 x 8 1/2 in
Harvard University Art Museums (Fogg Art Museum), Gift of Maida and George S. Abrams in memory of David Aloian, 1986.633

Kitchen maids were a frequent subject for genre paintings. Sometimes they were depicted as hard-working assistants to the woman of the house, scrubbing pots and pans, helping to prepare food, pouring out milk, or selecting fish for dinner from an itinerant fishmonger. On occasion, they were depicted as lazy, or eavesdropping. "The servant problem" was a rich topic for artistic exploration.

Jan van Noordt's genre drawing of the "Kitchen Maid with a Knife" captures her kneeling down at a work surface just as she's about to begin some chores. With a few deft lines, the artist gives a sense of the woman, capturing her strong arms, and her clothing with the laced bodice and apron over her skirt



41

42. Adriaen van Ostade
1610-1685, Haarlem
The Cobbler
pen and brown ink, gray wash, red chalk, over graphite
6 3/4 x 5 3/4 in.
The Metropolitan Museum of Art, Bequest of Edward C. Post, 1915, 30.58.23

Adriaen van Ostade, who is believed to have been a student at one time of Frans Hals his fellow townsman in Haarlem, celebrated the simple peasant and small craftsman in paintings, drawings, and etchings. This drawing is almost identical in size and detail to one of his last etchings, dated 1671, (cat. no. 54). Van Ostade transferred this composition to the metal plate for subsequent etching. The cobbler works at his street level stall, mending shoes, while a man seated on a three-legged stool, smoking a pipe, chats with him. A dog snoozes on the roof of the stall.

Such stalls in homes were forerunners to the shoemaker's shop, and differed from the provisions made for itinerant cobblers who plied their trade in the streets on market days (as seen in Jan Victor's "The Cobbler" at the National Gallery, London, or Mathijs Naiveu's cobbler repairing shoes in the market, on panel at the Amsterdams Historisch Museum) or who operated out of small stands along the market's edge.



42



43

43. Isaack van Ostade
1621-1649, Haarlem
Distribution of the Catch by Night
pen and bistre wash on paper
7 5/8 x 11 3/8 in.
The Metropolitan Museum of Art, Gift of Robert Lehman,
1941, 41.187.3

Born in Haarlem and baptized in 1621, this younger brother of Adriaen van Ostade studied with him. His earliest known picture is dated 1639. Isaack often borrowed from his brother's imagery, and did not have an opportunity to develop a clearly distinct style or oeuvre of his own, before his untimely death in 1649.

When fishing boats returned with the night tide, they were met by fishwives who sold the fresh fish to eager customers. The importance of fish to the Dutch daily menu cannot be overexaggerated. Isaack van Ostade captures some of the activity of simple fishing village, with its rustic quayside market, in this drawing.

44. Herman Saffleven
Rotterdam 1609-Utrecht 1685
Fishermen Pulling in Their Nets
black chalk and brown wash on off-white antique laid paper
6 4/5 x 10 2/3 in.
Harvard University Art Museums (Fogg Art Museum), Gift of Maida and George S. Abrams, 1982.133

This drawing by Saffleven, who left Rotterdam for Utrecht in 1632, hints at the influence which van Goyen had on his work (Saffleven was also influenced by Bloemaert, Breenbergh, Pollenbergh, and Both.) The sight of fishermen with their nets was one which was a familiar part of the experience of many Dutch residents of coastal towns, and served as an image to which a number of Dutch artists returned again and again.



44


45. Pieter Stevens
Antwerp 1567-Prague, after 1624
Two Seated Women, Making Lace
pen and brown ink, brown washes
6 1/4 x 8 3/4 in.
Maida and George S. Abrams Collection

Lacemaking was typically considered an activity for virtuous women, although there are some visual and literary allusions to sexual connotations to be found in needlework. And some moralists complained about vain extravagance in wearing lace at the neck and cuff.

Stevens' lacemakers would appear to be simple illustrations of domestic virtue, with busy hands engaged in activity. Clearly the lace being made is not the chief focus of the artist's interest; rather he is taken with the mass and volume of the female figures. Paintings which are more detailed and capture the intricate handwork and bobbins of the lacemakers include those by Caspar Netscher, in the Wallace Collection, London, Johannes Vermeer, at the Louvre, and Nicolaes Maes, at the Metropolitan Museum.



45



46

46 David Teniers II
 Antwerp 1610-1690 Brussels
Studies of Market Figures
 graphite
 8 1/2 x 12 1/2 in
 National Gallery of Art, Washington, Julius S. Hell Collection, Alisa Mellon Bruce Fund, 1983.74.19 (GD)

Teniers sketched a series of market figures based on works by Bruegel which he had seen. Artists frequently made such sketches to add to their own visual "memory." The inscribed name "Brugel" on the lower right acknowledges the inspiration for these figures. The women carrying rakes, vegetables, baskets and jugs on their heads, and the men driving carts and wagons pulled by horses do not seem to have been utilized later by Teniers in his own paintings.

In the collection of the Rijksmuseum Twenthe, in Enschede, there is an oil painting by a "follower" of Jan Bruegel which repeats many of the same figures. There might well be some connection, inasmuch as David Teniers II was married to Jan Bruegel's daughter, Anna



47

47 Jacob Toorenvliet
 1635/36-1719, Leyden
The Fish Seller
 pen and ink, black and red chalk, colored washes
 11 5/8 x 8 3/4 in
 signed lower left, J Toorenvliet. Fe
 Maida and George Abrams Collection

This muscular fish seller is cutting up fish for two customers while the women watch him carefully. The drawing is finely done, reflecting the influence of the *fijnschilders* (particularly Gerrit Dou, who had been his teacher, and Frans van Mieris, who had been his pupil) on his style

48 Willem van de Velde, the Younger
 Leyden 1633-1701 Westminster
Fishermen With Their Nets
 pen and brown ink, gray wash
 4 3/8 x 7 1/4 in.
 The Pierpont Morgan Library, New York, I,148

Willem van de Velde, well known for his marines, has drawn three fishermen, bending over their nets as they straighten them on the beach. In the background, the sails of at least six boats, including some fishing boats, can be seen

A student initially of his father, and possibly Simon de Vlieger, Willem van de Velde, the Younger, worked in Amsterdam with his father until war with the French resulted in both father and son moving to England to work in the service of Charles II.



48



49

PRINTS:

49. Hendrick Bary
c.1640-1707, Gouda
Old Woman Emptying a Chamber Pot
engraving
10 1/4 x 7 3/16 in.
signed in plate, F. Mieris pinx H. Bary sculpt.
Vassar College Art Gallery, Poughkeepsie, New York, The
Betsy Wilson Fund, 82.10

Hendrick Bary's engraving after Frans van Mieris, the Elder's, wrinkled old woman, sometimes called "Dirty" or "Sleazy Bessie" (*Goore Besje*), is a splendid example of the attention to facial detail which characterized a number of Dutch artists and engravers. The accompanying verse notes that she is dishonored and is casting her "filth" on respectable heads.

Whatever she did to deserve that condemnation, it is not without significance that women were the ones typically expected to empty chamber pots, as part of their house-cleaning chores, or to wipe the buttocks of children who had soiled themselves, whether at home or out in the market place. The Dutch, almost compulsive about cleanliness, expressed a ribald attitude toward human excrement and urine in some art works. For example, Jan Both's etching depicting the sense of "smell" shows people holding their noses while someone uses a latrine.

50. Jan Both
c.1615-1652
The Senses: Taste, c.1641
etching
8 4/5 x 7 in.
The Art Museum, Princeton University, Gift of Junius S. Morgan, 34.446

Andries Both prepared a series of drawings for the five senses which Jan, his brother, etched. The sense of taste is depicted as a woman baking pancakes, an image of great popular appeal in the Netherlands during the seventeenth century. It was an image explored in paintings and drawings as well as prints. Pancake bakers were typically depicted as women, preparing their pancakes for home consumption before the family fireplace and, less frequently, sometimes preparing and selling them at market fairs. Rembrandt's drawing of the pancake women (1635) at the Rijksmuseum in Amsterdam is strikingly different in feeling from the etching (1635) which had considerable currency.

Perhaps the most vivid, atypical, rendition is the harsh peasant man who prepares pancakes for a little standing child gulping up some of the batter with her grubby hands in Adriaen Brouwer's painting at the Philadelphia Museum. The rendition by the brothers Both is much more traditional.


51. Jan Both
The Senses: Touch, c.1641
etching
8 4/5 x 7 in.
The Art Museum, Princeton University, Gift of Junius S. Morgan, 34.447

Here the sense of touch is presented in the jocular representation of the tooth-pulling episode. It is the viewer, however, who is amused. The patient, and those who witness his great discomfort at the hands of the dentists, are not amused. Andries Both designed this image for his brother, Jan, to execute.

It is as painful a reminder of the village dentist's lack of adequate skills as is Jan Victor's 1654 account of "The Dentist," an oil on canvas at the Amsterdams Historisch Museum, or Jan Steen's 1651 version of "The Dentist" at Mauritshuis, in The Hague. Perhaps the only painless account of the dentist at work is to be found in Gerrit Dou's watercolor of a dentist and his female patient seen in a niche. That drawing is at the Teyler's Museum in Haarlem.

52. Cornelis Dusart
1660-1704, Haarlem
November, c.1690
mezzotint
8 9/16 x 6 1/4 in.
Philadelphia Museum of Art, Edgar Viguers Seeler Fund

The association of November with hog slaughtering was an old one in Europe, based on medieval "Labors of the Month" which often appeared in "Books of Hours." Dusart's mezzotint is one of a series of monthly labors and repeats the traditional iconography. Not surprisingly, Dusart who had



56

been one of Adriaen van Ostade's last and youngest pupils, shared some of his master's interest in depicting peasant life. On rural farms in the seventeenth century, hogs continued to be slaughtered so they did not have to be supplied with fodder, over the winter, and so that there would be an abundant supply of sausages, smoked hams, and salted, cured, or pickled pork to supply protein energy during the cold, damp winter months.

- 53 Adriaen van Ostade
1610-1685, Haarlem
The Baker, ca. 1664
etching
4 x 3 1/2 in. sheet
inscribed, A/Ostade
Museum of Fine Arts, Boston, Harvey D. Parker Collection, P8519

Adriaen van Ostade left an oeuvre of 50 etchings. Etching was a primary medium for him, not simply a supplement to his paintings and drawings. His works were popular because of the joyful quality in human activity which he depicted and because of his great skill as a draftsman. He printed relatively few, so that the plate's lines remained clean. Some 25 years after his death, Bernard Picart published an album of van Ostade's complete works from the plates which Picart had purchased.

The baker was a most important community tradesman who often took great pride in the breads he baked. The baker was frequently shown sounding his horn, apparently to notify townspeople that the bread had come from the oven and was ready for sale. This burly baker by van Ostade has a small tray of breads by his left elbow; his right arm raises the horn to his lips.

Job Berckheyde's painting of "The Baker" (c. 1681) at the Worcester Art Museum has the baker sounding his horn, surrounded by breads, rolls, and pretzels. Earlier, Jan Joris van Vliet's 1635 etching of the bakers depicted one using a peel to put bread into the oven and the second shaping loaves. At the end of the century, Jan Luyken again sketched the baker putting bread into the oven for *het Menselyk Bedryf*. The baker was the first image in this book devoted to human occupations, reflecting the importance of bread, and bakers, to daily life.

- 54 Adriaen van Ostade
The Cobbler, 1671
etching and engraving
7 x 6 in. sheet
inscribed, A. Ostade 1671
Museum of Fine Arts, Boston, Harvey D. Parker Collection, P8583

This 1671 etching and engraving was made using the drawing, also shown in this exhibition (cat. no. 42). A careful examination of the drawing and the etching indicates that some simplification of line was made, particularly in the texture of the house wall, the final shape of the broom straws, and shadow cast by the pump handle. The cobbler's services were much needed for repairs to shoes damaged by wear and tear.

- 55 Adriaen van Ostade
The Spectacles Seller, 1646?
etching
4 x 3 1/2 in.
The Art Museum, Princeton University, Gift of Junius S. Morgan, 34-500

An itinerant peddler stops at the doorway of a rural Dutch house. The woman of the house leans over the Dutch doors to examine the spectacles which the salesman is offering from a wicker display basket. A small child stands to the left of the doorway and the three figures form an interesting triangular composition. It might be noted in passing that the Dutch made great strides in the science of optics during the seventeenth century, and that many paintings and prints show older women wearing glasses in order to read books, letters, or account registers.

- 56 Adriaen van Ostade
The Painter in the High Cap, 1667
etching and engraving
8 1/4 x 6 3/5 in. image
Museum of Fine Arts, Boston, Harvey D. Parker Collection, P8598

Seated in a three-legged chair, using a maulstick to steady his right hand as he brings the brush over the canvas, van Ostade's painter works in a studio crowded with books, paint pots, props, and a plaster figure model. Light pouring in through



59



60

the windows at the left discloses apprentices under the stairwell grinding colors. No one is posing for the painter, who seems to be copying from a book propped up at his right.

57. Adriaen van Ostade
The Scissors-Grinder, ca. 1682
 etching and engraving
 3 1/3 x 3 in. plate
 inscribed, A Ostade
 Museum of Fine Arts, Boston, Harvey D. Parker Collection, P8608

The itinerant scissors-grinder or knife-grinder has wheeled his whetstone to the street just in front of the cobbler's stall. The cobbler is passing up a tool to be sharpened. The scissors-grinder turns the stone by the action of his foot on a pedal, and he must be careful to keep the stone wet with water from the bucket.

58. Adriaen van Ostade
The Charlatan, 1648
 etching and engraving
 5 4/5 x 4 4/5 in. plate
 inscribed on plate, Av Ostade
 Museum of Fine Arts, Boston, Harvey D. Parker Collection, P8625

Quacks were scorned by the "official" medical practitioners, pharmacists, surgeons, and university-trained doctors, but they were consulted by people looking for a quick cure for their ills. Country people were more likely to encounter quacks at market fairs, and greeted their often extravagant claims with mixed emotions.

Florence Koorn and Herman Roodenburg (1984) pointed out that quacks were often depicted at the edge of the market, thereby outside municipal regulation, and somewhat at the edge of social acceptability.

59. Rembrandt van Rijn
 Leyden 1606-1669 Amsterdam
Beggars Receiving Alms at the Door of a House, 1648
 etching, dry point and burin
 6 1/2 x 5 1/8 in.
 inscribed, Rembrandt.f.1648
 The Brooklyn Museum, Gift of Mrs. Charles Pratt, 57.188.55

A beggar woman's right hand is outstretched to receive the coin which is being given by the man in the house. A baby is strapped onto her back in a bundle; a small boy, wearing an over-sized hat, patched coat, and shabby leggings, with a tankard tied around his waist, stands with her as does a grised-faced man whose face is partially shaded by a very large, broad-brimmed hat.

Rembrandt, unquestionably the most renowned Dutch artist, produced some 300 different prints between 1626 and 1660. Some of his earliest works featured beggars. Although most of the beggars he etched were not as severely crippled and blinded as those who had earlier fascinated Hieronymous Bosch and Pieter Bruegel, it is clear that the prosperity which characterized seventeenth-century Holland did not extend to everyone.

Displaced, homeless people had no choice but to turn to the charity of others. Sometimes they sought relief in orphanages, asylums, old-age pensions, hospices, "guest houses," or prisons. On other occasions, they begged from door to door seeking funds, food, old clothing, or lodging and shelter from the rains and snow of winter.

60. Rembrandt van Rijn
Jan Uytenbogaert, 1639
 etching and drypoint
 9 7/8 x 8 in.
 The Brooklyn Museum, Gift of Mrs. Charles Pratt, 57.188.51

Jan Uytenbogaert was the Receiver-General who functioned as a tax collector and gold-wreger. In this etching, Rembrandt has devoted considerable skill to capturing the fur-trimmed garment he wears, as well as his velvet cap. He is weighing sacks of gold on a balance scale, and recording information in the ledger book on the lectern in front of him. The kneeling boy in the foreground is sharing space with wooden barrels and a metal-bound wooden trunk or strong box.

Some of the Receiver-General's prosperity is hinted at by the painting on the wall behind him. Two other figures in the background seem to be waiting to speak with him.



63

61. Geertruydt Roghman
 Amsterdam 1625-died before 1658
Woman Washing Dishes, 1650's
 engraving
 8 1/5 x 6 1/2 in.
 inscribed, Geertruydt Rogman invenit et Sculpsit
 Private Collection

This is one of a series of 5 engravings by this accomplished, but little known or acknowledged, woman. It is unclear whether she was the daughter, sister, or niece of Roelant Roghman; but it is clear that she understood some of the central domestic tasks expected of women: spinning and caring for children, sewing, cooking meals, and cleaning dishes. As Schama (1987) points out, it is also clear that she understood housework was "...an involuntary division of hard physical labor."

62. Jan van de Velde II
 1593-1641, Rotterdam
The Quack Doctor
 engraving
 9 1/2 x 8 3/5 in.
 The Art Museum, Princeton University, Gift of Junius S. Morgan, 34.566

The theme of the quack doctor, while one of considerable representation in Dutch paintings, prints, and drawings, was fairly unusual one for van de Velde to pursue. He was much more known for his landscape works.

Jan van de Velde II was born into a family of artists. He developed his skills as an engraver as a pupil of Jacob Matham. He belonged to the Haarlem Guild and engraved the works of many Haarlem artists, including Willem Buytewech, Frans Hals, Pieter Molijn, Pieter Saenredam, and his cousin, Esaias van de Velde.

63. Jan van de Velde II
Ignis
 engraving and etching
 7 3/8 x 11 3/8 in.
 inscribed in plate, j.v. velde. fec. CVisscher WB
 Vassar College Art Gallery, The Betsy Mudge Wilson Fund, 82.11

As one of a series representing the four elements—earth, air, fire, and water—this night battle, depicts cannoners with roaring guns and cannonballs, ramrods, and barrels of gunpowder at the ready. It is an engraving after Willem Buytewech the Elder.

64. Cornelis Visscher
 Haarlem 1629-1658 Amsterdam
The Ratcatcher, 1655
 etching and engraving
 14 4/5 x 12 2/5 in. plate
 Museum of Fine Arts, Boston, Harvey D. Parker Collection, P7790

Visscher's fine portraiture shows through in this elegant ratcatcher accompanied on his grisly chores by a young boy. The preliminary drawing for this print is at the Teyler's Museum in Haarlem. This ratcatcher is a far more elegant fellow than the scruffy, bearded ratcatcher etched by Rembrandt in 1632, or the humorous man, with rats climbing on his hat, hands, and walking stick, as well as on his dog's back, featured in Pieter de Bloot's painting, "The Ratcatcher with his Dog" at the Los Angeles County Museum of Art.

Rats were a problem in the port cities in the Netherlands. They gnawed their way into sacks of stored grain; they stole aboard ships sailing for distant ports; they beleaguered the housewife; and they frightened children walking along the streets. They also were involved in the spread of disease, particularly typhus which broke out from time to time in Dutch cities and ravaged the population. Those who trapped or killed rats by poisoning found a clientele for their services. But, as a painting by J.C. Droogsloot at the Musée des Beaux Arts in Dijon makes clear, they sometimes needed to advertise their skills.



66

65. Cornelis Visscher

The Traveling Musicians

engraving

14 x 12 1/5 in. plate

The Art Museum, Princeton University, Gift of Junius S. Morgan, 37-252

Traveling musicians played at fairs, weddings, taverns, markets, and wandered through the countryside to people's homes in an effort to earn small fees for their music. At times, the traveling musicians were one step ahead of being beggars; and, in some cases, they were beggars who had been blinded or injured in some fashion. David Vinckboons depicted a blind musician followed by a group of taunting children. Visscher's musicians are rendered in a more jocular mood.

66 Jan Joris van Vliet

Delft 1608/10-active until 1635 Amsterdam

The Locksmiths, 1635

etching

8 1/8 x 6 1/4 in.

inscribed, JG vliet fe 1635

New York Public Library, Miriam and Ira D. Wallach Division of Art, Prints and Photographs, Astor, Lenox and Tilden Foundations

Two locksmiths are working together in a forge. The one closest to the fire is hammering out a piece of metal which he has just begun to shape. The locksmith in the foreground is filing down a key clamped in a vise; his work table is covered with keys and a lock. Assorted tools and a full set of keys on a large ring are hanging on the wall behind the two working locksmiths.

Jan Joris van Vliet had been a pupil of Rembrandt's and learned many of his skills as an etcher and engraver from his master. It is thought that at one point Rembrandt might have intended to use van Vliet as an assistant.



67

67 Jan Joris van Vliet

The Broom-makers, 1635

etching

8 3/8 x 6 3/8 in.

inscribed, JG fe

New York Public Library, Miriam and Ira D. Wallach Division of Art, Prints and Photographs, Astor, Lenox and Tilden Foundations

Two rustic workers are binding straw onto broom handles. The use of straw, reeds, rushes, and strips of sapling wood was characteristic of broom-makers and basket-makers, who were also included in van Vliet's series of 18 artisans. Chair menders, represented in this exhibition by Cornelis Dusart's drawing (cat. no. 30) used similar materials. Each of these occupations, initially based on rural farm labors, had become specialized by the seventeenth century. Handwork "industries" had developed to make and sell these items, so essential to householders.

68 Jan Joris van Vliet

The Sail-makers, 1635

etching

8 5/16 x 6 7/16 in.

New York Public Library, Miriam and Ira D. Wallach Division of Art, Prints and Photographs, Astor, Lenox and Tilden Foundations

The specialized occupations that were linked to shipbuilding included bilge-pump makers, ship's carpenters, rope walkers, boom and mast makers, and the essential sail-makers. Sail-powered shipping was crucial to the development of Dutch mercantilism. Van Vliet's workers played an important role in the process, even though these workers were not well-paid.



68

HOFSTRA
UNIVERSITY
HEMPSTEAD, NEW YORK 11550

